# REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE FLORIN RESOURCE CONSERVATION DISTRICT 

Agenda<br>Wednesday, February 21, 2018<br>6:30 PM<br>9257 Elk Grove Blvd.<br>Elk Grove, CA 95624<br>Compliance with Government Code Section 54957.5

Public records, including writings related to an agenda item for an open session of a regular meeting of the Florin Resources Conservation District that are distributed less than 72 hours before the meeting, are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Administration building of Elk Grove Water District, located at 9257 Elk Grove Blvd. Elk Grove, California. In addition, such writings may be posted, whenever possible, on the Elk Grove Water District website at www.egwd.org.

The Board will discuss all items on the agenda, and may take action on any item listed as an "Action" item. The Board may discuss items that do not appear on the agenda, but will not act on those items unless there is a need to take immediate action and the Board determines by a two-thirds (2/3) vote that the need for action arose after posting of the agenda.

If necessary, the Meeting will be adjourned to Closed Session to discuss items on the agenda listed under "Closed Session." At the conclusion of the Closed Session, the meeting will reconvene to "Open Session."

## CALL TO ORDER, ROLL CALL AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Public Comment - Please complete a Request to Speak Form if you wish to address the Board. Members of the audience may comment on matters that are not included on the agenda. Each person will be allowed three (3) minutes, or less if a large number of requests are received on a particular subject. No action may be taken on a matter raised under "Public Comment" until the matter has been specifically included on an agenda as an action item. Items listed on the agenda will be opened for public comment as they are considered by the Board of Directors.

## 1. Proclamations and Announcements

## Associate Director Comment

Public Comment
2. Consent Calendar (Stefani Phillips, Secretary and Patrick Lee, Treasurer)
a. Minutes of Regular Board Meeting of January 17, 2018
b. FRCD Cash Flow Worksheet - January, 2018
c. Warrants Paid - January, 2018
d. Active Accounts - January, 2018
e. Bond Covenant Status for FY 2017-18 - January, 2018
f. Revenues and Expenses - Actual vs Budget FY 2017-18 - January, 2018
g. Cash Accounts - January, 2018
h. Consultants Expenses - January, 2018
i. Major Capital Improvement Projects - January, 2018

## Associate Director Comment

3. Committee Meetings (Stefani Phillips, Board Secretary)
a. Community Advisory Committee Meeting - January 10, 2018
b. Finance Committee Meeting - January 10, 2018

Associate Director Comment
Public Comment
Recommended Action: Accept the minutes of the Community Advisory Committee Meeting and the Finance Committee Meeting held on Wednesday, January 10, 2018
4. Elk Grove Water District Operations Report - January 2018
(Mark J. Madison, General Manager)
Associate Director Comment
Public Comment
5. Florin Resource Conservation District 2018 Outside Agency Representation
(Mark J. Madison, General Manager)
Associate Director Comment
Public Comment
Recommended Action: Appoint Directors as representation to the Association of California Water Agencies/Joint Powers Insurance Agency, the Regional Water Authority, and the Sacramento Central Groundwater Authority
6. Florin Resource Conservation District Board of Directors Benefits

Associate Director Comment
Public Comment
Recommended Action: Consider the proposed Board of Director Benefits and, if desired, direct staff to work with the Board of Director Benefits Ad-hoc Committee to establish a Board of Director Benefits policy
7. Legislative Update (Sarah Jones, Project Manager)

Associate Director Comment
Public Comment
8. Directors Comments
9. Closed Session
a. PUBLIC EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION (Section 54957)

Title: General Counsel
b. PUBLIC EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION (Section 54957) Title: General Manager

Adjourn to Regular Meeting - to be determined.

TO: $\quad$ Chairperson and Directors of the Florin Resource Conservation District
FROM: Stefani Phillips, Board Secretary

## SUBJECT: CONSENT CALENDAR

## RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Florin Resource Conservation District Board of Directors approve Florin Resource Conservation District Consent Calendar items a - i.

## SUMMARY

Consent Calendar items a - i are standing items on the Regular Board Meeting agenda.
By this action, the Board will approve Florin Resource Conservation District Consent Calendar items a - i.

## DISCUSSION

## Background

Consent Calendar items are standing items on the Regular Board Meeting agenda.

## Present Situation

Consent Calendar items a - i are standing items on the Regular Board Meeting agenda.

## ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS

There are no direct environmental considerations associated with this report.

## STRATEGIC PLAN CONFORMITY

Fiscal stability is in conformity with the District's Business Practice goals of the 2012-2017 Strategic Plan.

## CONSENT CALENDAR
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## FINANCIAL SUMMARY

There is no financial impact associated with this report.

Respectfully Submitted,


STEFANI PHILLIPS, BOARD SECRETARY

And


PATRICK LEE, TREASURER

Attachments

## MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE FLORIN RESOURCE CONSERVATION DISTRICT BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Wednesday, January 17, 2018
The regular meeting of the Florin Resource Conservation District Board of Directors was called to order at 6:30 p.m. by Tom Nelson, Chairperson, at 9257 Elk Grove Blvd., Elk Grove, CA.

Call to Order, Roll Call, and Pledge of Allegiance.
Directors Present: Bob Gray, Lisa Medina, Tom Nelson, Sophia Scherman, Jeanne

Directors Absent:
Staff Present:

Staff Absent:
Associate Directors Present: General Counsel Present:
Consultants Present:

## Sabin

None
Bruce Kamilos, Assistant General Manager; Stefani Phillips, Board Secretary; Patrick Lee, Finance Manager; Donella Murillo, Finance Supervisor; and Sarah Jones, Program Manager Mark J. Madison, General Manager
Shahid Chaudhry, Kenneth Strom
Ruthann G. Ziegler, Meyers Nave
Thomas Dainat, IT Consultant

## Public Comment

None

1. Proclamations and Announcements

Chairperson Tom Nelson announced General Manager Mark J. Madison was ill and unable to attend the night's meeting.
2. Consent Calendar
a. Regular Board Meeting Minutes of December, 2017
b. FRCD Cash Flow Worksheet - December, 2017
c. Warrants Paid - December, 2017
d. Active Accounts - December, 2017
e. Bond Covenant Status for FY 2017-18 - December, 2017
f. Revenues and Expenses - Actual vs Budget FY 2017- December, 2017
g. Cash Accounts - December, 2017
h. Consultants Expenses - December, 2017
i. Major Capital Improvement Projects - December, 2017

MSC (Scherman/Sabin) to approve FRCD Consent Calendar items a-i. 5/0: Ayes: Gray, Medina, Nelson, Sabin and Scherman.

## 3. Florin Resource Conservation District 2018 Election of Officers

Director Jeanne Sabin asked if Chairperson Tom Nelson and Vice-Chairperson Bob Gray were interested in serving the Calendar year 2018 again. They each replied they would like to serve again. Ms. Sabin stated that she felt the last year was ran smoothly.

MSC (Medina/Sabin) to elect Tom Nelson as Chairperson and Bob Gray as Vice-Chairperson for the 2018 calendar year. 5/0: Ayes: Gray, Medina, Nelson, Sabin and Scherman.

## 4. Florin Resource Conservation District 2018 Committee Appointments and Outside Agency Representation

Chairperson Tom Nelson stated that the Planning Committee has been inactive for a long time.

A discussion regarding the need for the Planning Committee occurred.
The Board agreed to eliminate the Planning Committee because other committees can serve in place of the Planning Committee and Ad-hoc Committees can be appointed for short-term assignments.

A discussion occurred regarding the Economic Development Corporation (EDC). A question was asked regarding whether the EDC was developed for the building or for the bonds. General Counsel Ruthann Ziegler will look into it and provide feedback at the February Regular Board Meeting.

A discussion occurred regarding how a majority of the Board should not comprise a committee in most cases.

A discussion regarding the Conservation Committee occurred. Ms. Scherman stated she would like to be an alternate.

A discussion occurred regarding Sacramento Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCo) and Ms. Scherman expressed she was no longer interested in serving on the Special District Advisory Committee (SDAC). The Board directed staff to write a letter to LAFCo to inform them that the District would no longer be participating with SDAC.

A discussion occurred regarding ACWA/JPIA representation.
The following questions were asked: how many representatives can there be; how many alternates can there be, what the voting rights are for alternates.

A discussion occurred regarding Sacramento County Groundwater Authority (SCGA) and the Board asked how many alternates can there be. General Counsel Ruthann Ziegler replied multiple alternates are permitted for SCGA.

Ms. Sabin expressed she thought it would be wise to start succession planning because there are no directors that have a great level of understanding of SCGA aside from Chairperson Tom Nelson.

A brief discussion occurred regarding Regional Water Authority (RWA) representatives. The Board members inquired how many alternates can there be.

Vice-Chairperson Bob Gray stated he has doubts and has raised the question with General Manager Mark Madison as to whether the District should continue as members of SCGA and whether we are getting value for our membership. Mr. Kamilos replied that it is too soon to make that determination.

A lengthy discussion occurred regarding the lack of information that has been conveyed regarding the SCGA. Director Lisa Medina stated she would like to take a field trip.

Ms. Scherman stated that she was interested in obtaining more information regarding ACWA/JPIA, SCGA, and RWA.

Program Manager Sarah Jones asked if the Board members were interested in attending the RWA meetings.

The Board directed staff to bring back a summary of outside agency representation, including alternates and what the representatives do to the February Regular Board Meeting.

MSC (Scherman/Medina) to appoint the entire FRCD Board of Directors as the Finance Committee; Directors Tom Nelson and Jeanne Sabin to the Conservation Committee and Lisa Medina and Sophia Scherman as Alternates; and Directors Bob Gray and Lisa Medina to the Infrastructure Committee. 5/0: Ayes: Gray, Medina, Nelson, Sabin and Scherman.

## 5. Committee Meetings

There were no committee meetings held in the month of December.
6. Elk Grove Water District Operations Report - December 2017

Assistant General Manager Bruce Kamilos presented the Elk Grove Water District Operations Report - December 2017 to the Board.

Summary Points:

- Door tags and shutoffs (13 \& 0, respectively). District practice is not to shutoff customers over the Christmas holiday.
- 4 pressure complaints in December (2 in Service Area 1, 2 in Service Area 2). All were unsubstantiated, 1 due to water softener problem.
- No water quality complaints.
- 150 hydrants checked. Hydrant maintenance target set at 133 per month (ea. hydrant once per year).
- 165 valves exercised. Valve exercising target set at 120 per month (every valve once per 3 years).
- Well 1D is back on line after rehabbing and converting to water lube. Dec. production number shown on chart is for flushing post-rehab.
- Well 13 (Hampton) ran predominantly through the $1^{\text {st }}$ half of Dec., then Wells 4D and 11D were main sources of supply the remainder of Dec. Well 9 also operated some.
- Production for Service Area 1 up by about 14\% compared to same month last year.
- Total customer usage for EGWD (SA1 and SA2) down by 28\% compared to July 2013. Compared to other agencies in our region, EGWD's reduction is about average.
- No new static and pumping water level data except for Well 1D, p. 17.
- All required bacti sampling performed with no positive results. We conducted 3 additional water quality tests related to project work.
- EGWD is now conducting fluoridation monitoring (p. 37) in Service Area 2 as part of our amended permit requirements.
- All preventative maintenance activities have been performed in compliance with our Standard Operating Procedures.
- Backflow prevention program. As of December, we have 9 delinquent customers.
- We had 3 formal safety meetings and it has been 698 days since we have had an injury with no lost time.
- No main line leaks and 2 service line leaks (1 pinhole, 1 due to cracked PVC elbow).
- Service Line Replacements - No service lines replaced in December as Utility Crew is finishing up the Kent St. Water Main project.
- Pressures in Service Area 1 stable in the 60 psi range. Pressures in Service Area 2 running high ( $70 \mathrm{psi}+$ ) a substantial portion of time.

Mr. Kamilos explained that the raw water at Hampton Water Treatment Facility has been tested for arsenic and the data point is at 15 parts per billion ( ppb ). They shut it down during the month of December. He stated currently it is being monitored closely.

Mr. Nelson's inquired if there is a benefit to running that well only half of the time. He stated maybe the District should collect more information on the pattern of the data points. Mr. Kamilos replied that he made a great point.

Mr. Kamilos informed the Board that staff has been in touch with the County of Sacramento regarding the Monthly Fluoridation Monitoring Report for Service Area 2. Staff is trying to decipher what is being reported and why.

Director Lisa Medina inquired the outcome of the building for the field crew. Mr. Kamilos responded that an "oops" happened with the color of the building. He informed the Board that there will not be an open house. Mr. Kamilos stated that he would be happy to bring the Board through when the building is completed.

## 7. Professional Services Agreement for Information Technology Services

Assistant General Manager Bruce Kamilos presented agenda item Professional Services Agreement for Information Technology Services. In summary, In August 2017, the FRCD/EGWD completed a security review of its information technology services and systems for the EGWD. One of the recommendations in that study included additional IT support. This proposed agreement with Infinite IT Solutions, Inc. is recommended and intended to provide the required level of support identified from the IT security review.

Mr. Kamilos stated that one important quality that Infinite IT Solutions has is Wonderware experience, which is the software program that runs the Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) system.

Director Jeanne Sabin inquired if the District ran the second interview with Infinite IT Solutions. Mr. Kamilos replied no. He continued, staff felt that the process should be expedited because additional help was needed.

A lengthy discussion occurred regarding the process of advancing a new Professional Services Agreement for Information Technology Services. Concern was raised by Director Sophia Scherman regarding the lack of communication in regard to the status of the IT Services Agreement. She expressed that perhaps in the future, the motion or resolution could have language that gives the General Manager discretion to make changes in the agreement that serve the Boards intent. Ms. Sabin explained she would have preferred a staff or Board member to have helped in vetting the services of the additional IT organization. Thomas Dainat, IT Consultant explained the agreement has a probationary, 30-day clause, which would allow the District to discontinue services if the arrangement does not work out.

MSC (Sabin/Medina) to approve a motion authorizing the General Manager to execute a professional services agreement with Infinite IT Solutions, Inc. in an amount not-to-exceed $\$ 250,000$ to provide information technology services; and appropriate $\$ 45,000$ from Elk Grove Water District Operating Reserves to contract services (5520-700) for unbudgeted IT support services to be incurred during the remainder of FY 2017-18.

## 8. Elk Grove Water District Fiscal Year 2017-18 Quarterly Operating Budget Status Report

Finance Manager Patrick Lee presented agenda item Elk Grove Water District Fiscal Year 2018-18 Quarterly Operating Budget Status Report. In summary, staff is presenting the
quarterly budget status report through the second quarter of Fiscal Year 2017-18. This report is to keep the Board and the public informed on the financial status of the EGWD.

Mr. Lee highlighted the following:

- Collected revenues for the second quarter total $\$ 7,784,124$
- Total operational expenses were $\$ 4,551,763$
- Personnel expenditures for the second quarter were $\$ 1,739,000$
- Seminars, conventions, and travel expenditures total \$14,914
- Office and Operational expenditures total $\$ 509,300$
- Estimated Purchased Water costs total \$1,654,472
- Outside Services expenditures total $\$ 439,815$
- Equipment Rent, Taxes and Utilities expenditures total \$194,262


## 9. Elk Grove Water District Fiscal Year 2017-18 Quarterly Capital Reserve Status Report

Finance Manager Patrick Lee presented agenda item Elk Grove Water District Fiscal Year 2018-18 Quarterly Capital Reserve Status Report. In summary, the total amount available at July 1, 2017 was $\$ 12,871,285$. Based on Board policy adopted August 22, 2012, the reserves are allocated first to the Operating Reserve ( 120 days of expenses), then to the Fiscal Year 2017-18 capital budget, followed by elections/special studies, with the balance allocated to future capital improvements and capital replacements in the ratio of $75: 25$. Through the second quarter of Fiscal Year 2017-18, the District expended $\$ 648,736$ for capital projects leaving a remaining total reserve balance at December 31, 2017 of $\$ 12,222,549$.

EGWD has appropriated Reserve Funds for FY 2017-18 as follows:

- Operations Reserves (120 days)
- FY 2017/18 Capital Improvement Fund
- FY 2017/18 Capital Replacement Fund
- Elections and Special Studies
- Future Capital Improvements
- Future Capital Replacements
\$4,700,729
\$1,130,000
\$ 626,000
\$ 120,000
\$4,720,917
\$1,573,639
\$12,871,285

Chairperson Tom Nelson questioned if the District spends as much as what is budgeted for in Capital in a particular year and then it is picked up the next year. Assistant General Manager Bruce Kamilos responded that is true, projects are starting sooner, sometimes they lag and then we have to play catch up in the second part of the year. For example, the backyard water mains will be a three-year project.
10. Florin Resource Conservation District Activities Report

Program Manager Sarah Jones presented agenda item Florin Resource Conservation District Activities Report. In summary, the Community Conservation Program is off to a great start with two (2) Community Conservation Education workshops completed and two (2) Student and Landowner Education and Watershed Stewardship (SLEWS) Program field days completed. The first quarterly grant invoice and report were submitted in October 2017 and were approved by the California Department of Conservation. The first grant reimbursement was received in December 2017. The next quarterly grant report and invoice is due January 30, 2018.

Director Lisa Medina inquired if there was enough funds for lunches to feed the students for the scheduled activity days. Ms. Jones replied a grant through Sacramento Municipal Utility District (SMUD) is funding the lunches for the rest of the scheduled activity days.

## 11. Legislative Update

Program Manager Sarah Jones presented agenda item Legislative Update. She highlighted AB746 - lead testing. Ms. Jones commented that the District is in compliance with this bill. She stated that SB623 - water tax, has three (3) components: 1) Need to develop a fee collection system; 2) Needs assessment; and 3) Map of high risk aquifers for unregulated water systems. SB623 would require a $2 / 3$ vote to pass and this appears to be a way to get a similar outcome with a budget trailer bill. AB401 which directed the State Water Board to put forth a framework for Low Income Rate Assistance was not mentioned in the budget proposal. There is speculation that this was put on the slow track awaiting the outcome of the budget. ACWA and RWA are actively following this "hot button," issue.

Ms. Jones stated there have been several resignations of the Assembly. The Democrats are down to 51 members due to recent resignations. The seats will be filled after a special edition election in June and will most likely stay with the Democrat party.

Ms. Jones also mentioned that Senator Hertzberg who is an author of SB606 - one of the water conservation bills, has recently been under scrutiny for being "too huggy" with people. How this will affect the long-term water conservation bills is uncertain.

## 12. Directors Comments and Information

Director Sophia Scherman commented that an HR Laws Update will be hosted by the Elk Grove Chamber of Commerce on January 26, 2018 during the chamber luncheon and she thought that someone from the District should attend. She also mentioned there will also be promotional tables for chamber members to display their organizations before the chamber meetings in January and February.

## 13. Closed Session

a. PUBLIC EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION (Section 54957)

Title: General Counsel
b. PUBLIC EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION (Section 54957)

Title: General Manager
No reportable action was taken.

Adjourn to regular meeting on February 21, 2018 at 6:30 p.m.
Respectfully submitted,

## Ostefani Dhillips

Stefani Phillips, Board Secretary

# FRCD Cash Flow <br> For the Month Ended January 31, 2018 

| Cash in Bank - Beginning | $\mathbf{\$ 3 4 , 3 4 2 . 8 9}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Grant Reimbursements: | $\mathbf{\$ 6 , 7 5 8 . 5 7}$ |
| Disbursements: |  |
| Check \# 1041-Card Services | $\mathbf{- \$ 9 0 . 4 9}$ |
| SLEWS |  |

Check \# 1042-EGWD -\$ 439.42 SLEWS

Check \# 1043-VOID
Check \# 1044-EGWD
-\$ 3,147.76
Reimbursement of Auto \& General Ins.
Check \# 1045-EGWD
-\$ 529.72
PM Salary Allocation

Cash in Bank - Ending
\$ 36,894.07
Check History Report
1/1/2018 to $1 / 31 / 2018$
Elk Grove Water District




 PREMIUM TITLE OF CALIFORNIA INC
PLACER TITLE COMPANY SUSAN FARLEY THERESA BOBER THERESAB BICER CARD SERVICES CARD SERVICES CARD SERVICES CARD SERVICES
CSDS SACRAMENTO CARD SERVICES JOHN \& CATHY COBB ELK GROVE FORD FRONTIER COMMUNICATIONS INTERSTATE OIL COMPANY JAN-PRO CLEANING SYSTEMS OF LAKE VUE ELECTRIC, INC NATIONAL NOTARY ASSOCIATION NORWOOD CONSTRUCTION NTS MIKEDON. LLC O'REILLY AUTO PARTS PACE SUPPLY CORP PROFILES INTERNATIONAL RADIAL TIRE OF ELK GROVE REPUBLIC SERVICES \#922 ROTH STAFFING COMPANIES, L.P SACRAMENTO COUNTY THE SHERWIN WILLIAMS CO. SIERRA OFFICE SUPPLIES SMUD
SMUD SMUUD SMUD SMUD $\sum_{\infty}^{n} \sum_{\infty}^{0}$ $\sum_{\infty}^{0}$


Audited Account-Refund Issued-Pleasant Grove High School
Software License for VM host Servers
Materials \& Supplies-Treatment
Rental Equipment-Kent Street
Materials \& Supplies-Kent St
Materials-Kent St/Distribution
Temporary Customer Service Help
Materials-Distribution/Treatment/Utility Crew
Materials-Utility Crew

Overhead Allocation-Oct/Nov 2017 Account Closed- Customer Refund Account Closed- Customer Refund punfəy дəسołsn૭ -pəsol૭ łunooง $\forall$ Account Closed- Customer Refund Account Closed- Customer Refund Account Closed- Customer Refund





Water System Fees-07/01/2017-06/30/2018

## Medical Benefits-January 2018 <br> Daily Tasks/Help Tickets <br> Sampling-Treatment Materials \& Supplies- Utility



SOUTHWEST ANSWERING
AIR WORKS INC
SWRCB
TOSHIBA FINANCIAL SERVICES
VERIZON WIRELESS
WAYNE RODRIQUES
HDS WHITE CAP CONST SUPPLY
A. TEICHERT \& SON, INC
CB\&T/ ACWA-JPIA
AFLAC
SOLUTIONS BY BG INC.
BSK ASSOCIATES
CAPITAL RUBBER
COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO
ELK GROVE POWER EQUIPMENT
ELK GROVE UNIFIED SCHOOL



> Account Closed-Customer Refund
Account Closed-Customer Refund
Account Closed-Customer Refund

Temporary Customer Service Help







| JULY | AUG | SEPT | OCT | NOV | DEC | JAN | FEB | MAR | APR | MAY | JUNE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11,787 | 11,811 | 11,786 | 11,812 | 11,789 | 11,784 | 11,806 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 527 | 526 | 527 | 527 | 527 | 527 | 530 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 175 | 175 | 177 | 178 | 177 | 177 | 177 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12,489 | 12,512 | 12,490 | 12,517 | 12,493 | 12,488 | 12,513 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| JULY | AUG | SEPT | OCT | NOV | DEC | JAN | FEB | MAR | APR | MAY | JUNE |
| 11,670 | 11,674 | 11,671 | 11,800 | 11,784 | 11,779 | 11,780 | 11,782 | 11,792 | 11,801 | 11,805 | 11,803 |
| 520 | 521 | 523 | 525 | 524 | 525 | 524 | 526 | 528 | 524 | 525 | 528 |
| 174 | 174 | 175 | 175 | 175 | 175 | 175 | 175 | 176 | 175 | 175 | 175 |
| 12,364 | 12,369 | 12,369 | 12,500 | 12,483 | 12,479 | 12,479 | 12,483 | 12,496 | 12,500 | 12,505 | 12,506 |

Elk Grove Water District
Active Account Information Active Account Information
$1 / 31 / 2018$
Elk Grove Water District
Active Account Information
FY 2016/2017
Water Accounts:
Metered
Metered
Residential
Commercial
Water Accounts:
Metered
Residential
Commercial
Fire Service

Total Accounts
Fire Service
Total Accounts

# Elk Grove Water District Bond Covenant Status <br> For Fiscal Year 2017-18 <br> As of January 31, 2018 <br> Adjusted for Prepayments and Unbilled Revenue 

Operating Revenues:
Charges for Services
Operating Expenses:
Salaries \& Benefits (2) ..... 2,158,879
Seminars, Conventions and Travel ..... 16,210
Office \& Operational ..... 583,653
Purchased Water ..... 1,847,782
Outside Services ..... 475,246
Equipment Rent, Taxes, an Utilities Total Operating Expenses ..... 5,299,283
Net Operating Income
\$ 3,572,176
Annual Interest \& Principal Payments\$3,823,3492,230,287 (1)
Debt Service Coverage Ratio, YTD Only: ..... 1.60
Required ..... 1.15
Notes:

1. Reflects budget divided by number of months year to date.However, first Principal/Interest Payments made in September.Projected Annual Budget Coverage Ratio is1.41
2. Reflects only YTD due to CaIPERS, not entire prepayment for year.

## Elk Grove Water District

Year to Date Revenues and Expenses Compared to Budget
As of January 31, 2018

|  | General Ledger Reference | YTD <br> Activity | 7/12=58.33\% |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Annual <br> Budget | Variance | \% <br> Realized |
| Revenues | 4100-4900 | 8,871,459 | 14,294,096 | $(5,422,637)$ | 62.06\% |
| Salaries \& Benefits | 5100-5280 | 2,317,450 | \$4,109,177 | (\$1,791,727) | 56.40\% |
| less Capitalized Labor |  | $(103,714)$ | $(\$ 560,829)$ | \$457,115 | 18.49\% |
| Less CaIPERS Prepayment for Remainder of Year: (3) |  | $(54,857)$ |  | (\$54,856.67) |  |
| Adjusted Salaries and Benefits: (3) |  | 2,158,879 | 3,548,348 | $(1,389,469)$ | 60.84\% |
| Seminars, Conventions and Travel | 5300-5350 | 16,210 | \$50,500 | (\$34,290) | 32.10\% |
| Office \& Operational | 5410-5494 | 583,653 | \$984,881 | (\$401,228) | 59.26\% |
| Purchased Water est. (4) | 5495-5495 | 1,847,782 | \$3,010,765 | (\$1,162,983) | 61.37\% |
| Outside Services | 5505-5580 | 475,246 | \$896,110 | (\$420,864) | 53.03\% |
| Equipment Rent, Taxes, Utilities | 5620-5760 | 217,513 | \$409,000 | (\$191,487) | 53.18\% |
| Total Operational Expenses |  | 5,299,283 | 8,899,604 | $(3,600,321)$ | 59.55\% |
| Net Operating Inome |  | 3,572,176 | 5,394,492 | -\$1,822,316 | 66.22\% |
| Non-Operating Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest Received (5) | 9910-9910 | 42,013 | 110,000 | $(67,987)$ | 38.19\% |
| Unrealized Gains/Losses | 9911-9911 | $(79,356)$ | - | $(79,356)$ | - |
| Other Income/Expense | 9920-9973 | $(95,739)$ | 14,900 | $(110,639)$ | -642.55\% |
| Total Non-Operating Revenues |  | $(133,083)$ | 124,900 | $(257,983)$ | -106.55\% |
| Non-Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |
| Election Costs | 9950-9950 | - | - | - |  |
| All other Non-Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital Expenses (2): |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital Improvements |  | 522,595 | 980,000 | $(457,405)$ | 53.33\% |
| Capital Replacements |  | 347,162 | 576,000 | $(228,838)$ | 60.27\% |
| Equipment | 1705-1760 | 22,647 | 100,000 | $(77,353)$ | 22.65\% |
| Unforeseen Capital Projects |  | - | 100,000 | $(100,000)$ | 0.00\% |
| Capital Expenses: |  | 892,404 | 1,756,000 | $(863,596)$ | 50.82\% |
| Bond Interest Accrued | 7300-7300 | 1,060,287 | 1,833,349 | $(773,062)$ | 57.83\% |
| Total Non Operating Expenses |  | 1,952,691 | 3,589,349 | $(1,636,658)$ | 54.40\% |
| Revenues in Excess of All Expenditures, including Capital |  | 1,486,402 | 1,930,043 | $(443,641)$ | 77.01\% |
| Bond Retirement (1): |  | 1,990,000 | 1,990,000 | - | 100.00\% |
| Net Position after Capital and Debt Retirement Expenditures |  | $(503,598)$ | $(59,957)$ | $(443,641)$ |  |

Notes:

1. Bond retirement payments are made two times a year in September and March
2. YTD Activity includes $\$ 103,714$ in capitalized labor charged to capital projects.
3. The District prepays CaIPERS for the employers' share of retirement costs for the entire year.

By doing this, the District saves approximately $3.7 \%$ in its total CaIPERS payments for the year.
The adjusted salaries and benefits above shows what salaries and benefits would be if
only the amount due to CaIPERS YTD was paid YTD, with no prepayment.
4. There is a lag in water billings from the Sacramento Water District. Included above
is an estimate of costs to date based on water used.
5. Interest revenue also includes unrealized gains and losses to adjust investment holdings to FMV at the end of each month.








## MM Mutual Fund MM Mutual Fund <br> Dreyfus Inst Treasury Dreyfus Inst Treasury

칵



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Cash on Hand } \\
& \text { F\&M 08-032009-01 CHECKING ACCOUNT } \\
& \text { F\&M 08-032017-01 OPERATING ACCOUNT } \\
& \text { F\&M 08-032912-01 CREDIT CARD ACCOUNT } \\
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\end{aligned}
$$

Office of the Treasurer - Sacramento California


 2/30/2019 3/1/2020
 9/30/2021
10/28/2021

CALTrust Medium Term


Investment | \% of Portfolio |
| :---: |
| $1.25 \%$ |
| $6.260 \%$ |
| $12.540 \%$ |

 1.24\%


G/L Account Fund
HELD BY BOND TRUSTEE:
1110-000-20 Water

1112-000-20 | HELD BY F\&M BANK: |
| :--- |
| $1011-000-10$ |
| FRCD |
| 1011-000-20 |
| 1031-000-20 |
| Water |
| 1061-000-20 |
| 1071-000-20 | Water $\quad$ Water

1001-000-20 Water
1082-000-20 Water
HELD BY BOND TRUSTEE 1

## $\frac{\text { INVESTMENTS }}{1080-000-20 \text { Water }}$

1081-000-20 Water qtrly $=$ quarterly
cont. $=$ continuous
Fiscal Retainer Contracts

| Consultant | Description | Current Month | Paid to date | Budget/Contract Amount | Percent of year (59\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Meyers Nave Professional Law Corp | Task orders |  | 103,684 | 130,000 | 79.76\% |
| Solutions by BG, Inc. | Task orders | 10,695 | 79,539 | 127,920 | 62.18\% |
| Major Contracts* |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consultant | Description | Current Month | Paid to date | Budget/Contract Amount | Percent of Contract Amount |
| Eaton Pumps Sales \& Service | Well 1D Rehab |  | \$87,718 | 86,968 | 100.86\% |
| HDR Engineering, Inc | Water Rate Study |  | \$2,980 | 77,370 | 3.85\% |
| Norwood Construction Services | Meeting \& I.T. BLDG | 159,390 | \$483,913 | 554,811 | 87.22\% |

(1) Includes $\$ 103,714$ in capitalized labor through $1 / 31 / 18$

TO: $\quad$ Chairperson and Directors of the Florin Resource Conservation District
FROM: Stefani Phillips, Board Secretary
SUBJECT: COMMITTEE MEETINGS

## RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Florin Resource Conservation District Board of Directors accept the minutes of the Community Advisory Committee Meeting and the Finance Committee Meeting held on Wednesday, January 10, 2018.

## SUMMARY

The Board has requested a monthly summary of committee meetings. There were two (2) committee meetings in the month of January. The Community Advisory Committee (CAC) and the Finance Committee both met on Wednesday, January 10, 2018 for the 2018-2022 Water Rate and Connection Fee Study.

## DISCUSSION

## Background

At the Regular Board Meeting held on May 27, 2015, the FRCD Board of Directors determined that the committee meeting minutes will be brought to the FRCD Regular Board Meeting and placed under agenda item Committee Meetings. The agenda item Committee Meetings, were placed after Consent Calendar for approval. This item may be moved within the agenda, if necessary, by direction from Chairperson. The committee meeting minutes shall be accepted by the FRCD Board of Directors.

## Present Situation

Two committee meetings were held in the month of January. Both the CAC and the Finance Committee held their first meetings on Wednesday, January 10, 2018 to kick off the 2018-2022 Water Rate and Connection Fee Study.

Associate Board Members Ken Strom and Shahid Chaudhry, Shawn Koorn, Water Rate Consultant, HDR, and several members of staff attended the first CAC Meeting and
meeting minutes were prepared (Attachment 1). Following the CAC Meeting, a Finance Committee Meeting was held. The FRCD Board was in attendance, Shawn Koorn, Water Rate Consultant, HDR, and members of staff. Meeting minutes of the Finance Committee Meeting were also prepared (Attachment 2).

## ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS

There are no direct environmental considerations associated with this report.

## STRATEGIC PLAN CONFORMITY

This item is in keeping with the District's Business Practice goals of the 2012-2017 Strategic Plan.

## FINANCIAL SUMMARY

There is no financial impact associated with this item at this time.

Respectfully Submitted,

# COMMUNITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES OF THE FLORIN RESOURCE CONSERVATION DISTRICT/ ELK GROVE WATER DISTRICT 

Wednesday, January 10, 2018

\author{

Attendance: <br> Committee Members Present: Robert Blank, Gary Crotwell, Jerry Cummings, Mark Freathy, Tim Hoy, Ken Strom, Shahid Chaudhry and Dwight Weathers <br> \begin{tabular}{ll}

Staff Present: \& | Mark J. Madison, General Manager; Patrick Lee, Finance |
| :--- |
| Manager; Stefani Phillips, Board Secretary; Bruce Kamilos, |
| Associate Civil Engineer; Donella Ouellette, Finance | <br>

Supervisor; and Sarah Jones, Program Manager
\end{tabular}

}

## 1. 2018-2022 Water Rate and Connection Fee Study

General Manager Mark J. Madison opened the meeting and initiated introductions.
Mr. Madison provided background to the Community Advisory Committee (CAC) regarding who the District is.

A question and answer period occurred regarding the relationship between the Florin Resource Conservation District and the Elk Grove Water District to establish the history and the background of the water rates.

Shawn Koorn, HDR gave an overview of a water rate study. Mr. Koorn continued with providing information on Prop 218, which is a constitutional amendment designed to protect taxpayers by limiting the methods by which local governments can create or increase taxes, fees, and charges without taxpayer consent.

A question and answer period occurred regarding setting rates.
Mr. Koorn informed the CAC the status of the 2018-2022 Water Rate and Connection Fee Study:

## Revenue Requirement

- $65 \%$ complete
- Need to finalize key assumptions with staff


## Cost of Service Analysis

- Analysis is $40 \%$ complete
- Reviewing and updating allocation methodology with staff
- Need to determine any changes to the residential tier sizes


## Rate Design

- Analysis has started
- Need to confirm key assumptions (e.g., tier sizes) to complete draft results

Mr. Madison stated it may take more than one meeting to determine the assumptions because many assumptions will be considered. He also mentioned that in February, the Board will have to decide on what assumptions will be used in the water model to determine the water rates.

A discussion occurred regarding establishing a subsidy for customers needing assistance. History on the Districts previous policy was provided, as well as what limitations Prop 218 has on subsidy programs.

The CAC established that Tuesdays were the preferred meeting day and narrowed down Tuesday, February 13, 2018, from 4:00 PM - 6:00 PM as the next meeting date.

Respectfully submitted,

## Ostefani Dhillips

Stefani Phillips, Board Secretary

Adjourn to next Community Advisory Committee Meeting: to be determined.

# MINUTES OF THE FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING OF THE FLORIN <br> RESOURCE CONSERVATION DISTRICT BOARD OF DIRECTORS 

## Wednesday, January 10, 2018

The regular meeting of the Florin Resource Conservation District Board of Directors was called to order at 6:00 p.m. by Tom Nelson, Chairperson, at 9257 Elk Grove Blvd., Elk Grove, CA.

Call to Order, Roll Call, and Pledge of Allegiance.

| Directors Present: | Bob Gray, Lisa Medina, Tom Nelson, Sophia Scherman, Jeanne <br> Sabin |
| :--- | :--- |
| Directors Absent: | None |
| Staff Present: | Mark J. Madison, General Manager; Bruce Kamilos, Assistant |
|  | General Manager; Stefani Phillips, Board Secretary; Patrick Lee; |
|  | Finance Supervisor; and Donella Murillo, Finance Supervisor |
| Associate Directors Present: | Shahid Chaudhry, Kenneth Strom |
| General Counsel Present: | Ruthann G. Ziegle, Meyers Nave <br> Consultants Present: |
| Shawn Koorn, HDR |  |

## 1. 2018-2022 Water Rate and Connection Fee Study

General Manager Mark Madison provided background on the Community Advisory Committee and introduced Shawn Koorn, HDR, Rate Study Consultant.

Mr. Koorn explained to the Board why we are doing a rate study.
Mr. Koorn discussed the challenges of working with Proposition 218, which defines that fees shall not exceed the reasonable cost of providing the service. Mr. Koorn also explained the process of setting cost-based rates.

Chairman Tom Nelson inquired if there was data regarding the assumption trends to help determine the potential increase in costs. Mr. Madison responded yes, and that data will have to be reviewed.

Director Lisa Medina inquired what assumptions are taken into consideration in the rate model. Mr. Koorn responded growth (customers), trends in consumption, operating and maintenance expenses.

Assistant General Manager Bruce Kamilos provided a handout from the previous rate study that listed the following assumptions:

- Growth Projections
- Inflation/Escalation Factors
- Materials and Supplies
- Treatment
- Purchased Power
- Salaries and Benefits
- Pension Costs
- Purchased Water
- Other Production
- Administration and General
- Miscellaneous
- Capital Expenditure
- Capital Improvement Costs funded through Rates and Reserves

Mr. Koorn explained that the assumptions will need to be determined by February 2018.
The Board will need to have a future discussion regarding reserves.
A discussion occurred regarding increasing medical benefit costs.
Mr. Nelson commented that the District should probably check to see what other Districts are offering for medical benefits and relative caps.

Mr. Nelson commented that he would like the Board to revisit the methodology for the cap on medical premiums. Discussion continued.

Mr. Madison stated that everything will need to be completed by the middle of May 2018.
A discussion occurred regarding a meeting date the second week of February (possibly February 13,2018 ) to determine assumptions, as well as having a meeting the last week of February to firm up assumptions. There was no particular date determined. The Board did agree it would be most cost effective to schedule the Community Advisory Committee Meetings on the same day as the Finance Committee Meetings.

A discussion occurred regarding the tier levels.
Mr. Koorn explained how important documentation will be in how the rates were established.
Mr. Madison commented while completing the 2018-2022 Water Rate and Connection Fee Study, keep in mind, "the least amount of change is better for the customers".

Director Bob Gray commented the District will be forced at some point in time to answer a question from a reporter regarding the percentage of the rate increase,

Mr. Madison presented rate comparisons from 1999-2018. He commented "if it's not broke don't fix it".

Mr. Madison stated that he would like to have conversations with each Board member separately regarding the following topics:

- Inactive account changes/standby fees
- Private fire services
- Assumptions
- Reserve balances

Mr. Koorn explained a tiered rate structure is going to be a necessary structure to have in the water rate model.

Director Jeanne Sabin inquired if the water rates could be mixed, for example could the fixed rates be increased during the summer and keep the tiered system in place. Mr. Koorn responded "you can, I have never done that and I do not know that I have ever seen that, but it can be done".

Continued discussions occurred regarding the tiered rate structure and the methodology behind it.

Director Sophia Scherman inquired if the District could establish a low income rates policy. Mr. Koorn responded that Proposition 218 does not allow the subsidization of rates. Mr. Madison explained that the only way to offer low income programs would be through a different revenue source, such as a grant.

Mr. Koorn replied Proposition 218 does not allow it (not through rates). The District would have to use another source of income to be able to subsidize rates.

A discussion followed.
Ms. Sabin inquired if the FRCD combined with the EGWD and brought combined funds, could that affect the study. Mr. Madison stated that he will discuss that topic with Mr. Koorn.

Adjourn to Regular Board Meeting on January 17, 2018.

Respectfully submitted,
Ostefani Dhillips
Stefani Phillips, Board Secretary

## SUBJECT: ELK GROVE WATER DISTRICT OPERATIONS REPORT - JANUARY 2018

## RECOMMENDATION

This item is presented for information only. No action by the Board is proposed at this time.

## SUMMARY

The Elk Grove Water District (EGWD) Operations Report is a standing item on the regular board meeting agenda.

All regulatory requirements were met for the month of January. Other notable events are described below.

## DISCUSSION

## Background

Every month, staff presents an update of the activities related to the operations of the District. Included for the Board's review is the EGWD's January 2018 Operations Report.

## Present Situation

The EGWD January 2018 Operations Report highlights are as follows:

- Operations Activities Summary - Notable items in the activities summary are that the District hung 914 door hangers for past due balances which resulted in 94 shutoffs. There was 1 water quality complaint and no pressure complaints. Upon further inspection, no complaints were validated.
- Production - The Combined Total Service Area 1 production graph on page 13 shows that production during the month of January increased 2.62 percent compared to January 2017, and is 9.83 percent less than what was produced in 2013. The Total Demand/Production for both service areas on page 14 shows that
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customer use during the month of January, compared to January 2013, was down by 8.38 percent.

- Static and Pumping Level Graphs - The first quarter soundings are shown and indicate that all of the static water levels in deeper zones have decreased as compared to 2013.
- Treatment (Compliance Reporting) - All samples taken during the month are in compliance with all regulatory permit requirements. No exceedances of any maximum contaminant levels were found and all water supplied to the District's customers met or exceeded safe drinking water standards.
- Preventative Maintenance Program - The tables included in this section of the report also include certain activities completed to date. Below is a list of out-ofordinary maintenance work completed in January:
- Staff completed the filter train media replacement project.
- Staff facilitated the installation of a new tank level transducer on the Hampton WTP backwash tank.
- Staff installed new piping/fittings and check valves on the backwash return pumps at Hampton WTP.
- Backflow Prevention Program 2018 - There were 42 notices issued for the month. From the initial testing notices 31 devices passed and 4 failed. There were 5 secondary notices issued, of which we have received 2 passing tests. There is a total of 6 outstanding devices as of this month, including carryover from 2017, which will require further investigation.
- Safety Meetings/Training - There were 2 safety training sessions conducted for the month. Only 2 safety sessions are required by OSHA standards.
- Service Line Replacement Map - The District installed 20 residential service lines in the month of January as part of the Kent St. water main replacement project.
- Service and Main Leaks Map - There were 3 service line leaks reported for the month.
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## ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS

There are no direct environmental considerations associated with this report.

## STRATEGIC PLAN CONFORMITY

The District's Strategic Plan addresses responsible business practices and the importance of providing the community with safe drinking water. The EGWD Operations Report is a key document for managing the District's distribution and treatment system. The EGWD Operations Report assists the District toward its responsibility of delivering safe drinking water.

## FINANCIAL SUMMARY

There is no financial impact associated with this report.
Respectfully Submitted,


MARK J. MADISON
GENERAL MANAGER
MJM/ah

# EGWD OPERATIONS REPORT January 2018 

Elk
Grove Water District

© Florin Resource Conservation District

## Elk Grove Water District Operations Report
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## Operations Activities Summary

| Service Requests: | January-18 |  | YTD (Since Jan. 1, 2018) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department | Service Request | Hours | Service Request | Hours |
| Distribution |  |  |  |  |
| Door Hangers | 914 | 44.50 | 914 | 44.50 |
| Shut offs | 94 | 22.50 | 94 | 22.50 |
| Turn ons | 107 | 18.75 | 107 | 18.75 |
| Investigations | 25 | 16.50 | 25 | 16.50 |
| USA Locates | 156 | 39 | 156 | 39 |
| Customer Complaints |  |  |  |  |
| -Pressure | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -Water Quality | 1 | 0.50 | 1 | 0.50 |
| -Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Work Orders: | January-18 |  | YTD (Since Ja |  |
| Department | Work Orders | Hours | Work Orders | Hours |
| Treatment: |  |  |  |  |
| Preventative Maint. | 19 | 58 | 19 | 58 |
| Corrective Maint. | 10 | 31 | 10 | 31 |
| Water Samples | 22 | 57 | 22 | 57 |
| Distribution: |  |  |  |  |
| Meters Installed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Meter Change Out | 4 | 3.5 | 4 | 3.5 |
| Preventative Maint. |  |  |  |  |
| -Hydrant Maintenance | 156 | 59.50 | 156 | 59.50 |
| -Valve Exercising | 123 | 43 | 123 | 43 |
| -Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Corrective Maint. |  |  |  |  |
| -Leaks | 3 | 46 | 3 | 46 |
| -Other | 13 | 74.50 | 13 | 74.50 |
| Valve Locates | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Utility: |  |  |  |  |
| Service Line Replacement | 20 | 171.60 | 20 | 171.60 |
| Corrective Maint. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |












Elk Grove Water District Water Usage
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| 2015 | January | February | March | April | May | June | July | August | September | October | November | December |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GW（SA1） | 62，684，574 | 57，365，413 | 86，489，437 | 88，984，850 | 106，158，389 | 114，555，359 | 127，038，586 | 125，052，315 | 117，883，208 | 99，385，733 | 64，079，715 | 57，508，787 |
| Purchased（SA2） | 28，648，400 | 30，029，208 | 36，876，400 | 51，626，212 | 52，734，000 | 62，368，240 | 71，273，928 | 75，055，068 | 70，123，504 | 63，526，892 | 46，873，420 | 34，399，772 |
| Total | 91，332，974 | 87，394，621 | 123，365，837 | 140，611，062 | 158，892，389 | 176，923，599 | 198，312，514 | 200，107，383 | 188，006，712 | 162，912，625 | 110，953，135 | 91，908，559 |


| 2016 | January | February | March | April | May | June | July | August | September | October | November | December |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GW（SA1） | 54，579，679 | 53，455，693 | 56，776，025 | 80，317，655 | 110，937，338 | 148，518，660 | 164，758，463 | 159，501，571 | 140，200，584 | 99，019，629 | 63，087，762 | 59，635，559 |
| Purchased（SA2） | 27，516，676 | 26，507，624 | 27，531，636 | 34，054，196 | 51，071，196 | 75，541，268 | 96，246，656 | 93，992，184 | 86，904，136 | 75，682，640 | 37，088，084 | 28，894，492 |
| Total | 82，096，355 | 79，963，317 | 84，307，661 | 114，371，851 | 162，008，534 | 224，059，928 | 261，005，119 | 253，493，755 | 227，104，720 | 174，702，269 | 100，175，846 | 88，530，051 |


| 2017 | January | February | March | April | May | June | July | August | September | October | November | December |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GW（SA1） | 59，973，881 | 50，320，832 | 61，080，559 | 68，658，752 | 137，599，305 | 155，472，951 | 180，086，739 | 173，684，119 | 152，475，400 | 131，390，808 | 76，619，642 | 67，874，741 |
| Purchased（SA2） | 26，951，188 | 28，184，640 | 28，756，860 | 34，167，892 | 48，653，660 | 87，003，620 | 96，535，384 | 104，766，376 | 98，979，848 | 84，154，488 | 61，788，540 | 34，228，480 |
| Total | 86，925，069 | 78，505，472 | 89，837，419 | 102，826，644 | 186，252，965 | 242，476，571 | 276，622，123 | 278，450，495 | 251，455，248 | 215，545，296 | 138，408，182 | 102，103，221 |

[^0]> 2013 January and February production numbers do not match actually recorded production because of an open intertie delivering water to SA2. Information below is further details. SA1 $=$ Service Area 1, SA2 $=$ Service Area 2. SA1 is all groundwater (GW) production. SA2 is all purchased water from SCWA. Actual Recorded Prod. (Jan. 2013) - Service Area 1 Actual Recorded Prod. (Feb. 2013) - Service Area 1 To determine estimate of Feb. 2013 production $\begin{array}{lc}\text { Service Area } 1 \text { Multiplier }= & 1.39 \\ \text { Calc'd Feb. } 2013 \text { Prod. }=\text { Feb. } 2014 \text { Prod. } \text { Data } \times 1.39\end{array}$ Calc'd Feb. 2013 Prod. $=$ Feb. 2014 Prod. Data $\times 1.39=79,737,924$
> $\begin{aligned} & \text { To determine estimate of Jan. } 2013 \text { production, use prorated amount from Feb. } 2013 \text { data. (This method due to Jan. } 2014 \text { being unseasonably hot.) } \\ & \text { Calc'd lan. } 2013 \text { Prod. }=\text { (Feb. } 2013 \text { Prod. Data Calc'd / Feb. } 2013 \text { Prod. Data Actual) } \times \text { Jan. } 2013 \text { Prod. Data Actual }=\end{aligned}$


## RWA Savings Summary December 2017

YEAR TO DATE REDUCTION BY VOLUME (Million Gallons)

|  | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | 6,285 | 5,407 | 6,620 | 6,943 | 13,232 | 15,858 | 18,870 | 18,398 | 15,765 | 13,454 | 7,710 | 6,998 | 135,540 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | 6,953 | 7,232 | 10,094 | 12,105 | 17,472 | 19,483 | 22,413 | 20,855 | 17,311 | 14,848 | 10,649 | 8,430 | 167,844 |
| $\%$ | $9.6 \%$ | $25.2 \%$ | $34.4 \%$ | $42.6 \%$ | $24.3 \%$ | $18.6 \%$ | $15.8 \%$ | $11.8 \%$ | $8.9 \%$ | $9.4 \%$ | $27.6 \%$ | $17.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 2 \%}$ |

## REDUCTION BY AGENCY (Data compared to 2013)

| Water Agency | Dec. 2017 Reduction | January - Dec. 2017 Reduction |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| California American Water | $24.6 \%$ | $24.5 \%$ |
| Carmichael Water District | $19.9 \%$ | $20.4 \%$ |
| Citrus Heights Water District | $18.0 \%$ | $21.9 \%$ |
| City of Davis | $8.5 \%$ | $19.6 \%$ |
| City of Folsom | $8.8 \%$ | $9.3 \%$ |
| City of Lincoln | $16.1 \%$ | $15.3 \%$ |
| City of Roseville | $15.5 \%$ | $17.4 \%$ |
| City of Sacramento | $17.4 \%$ | $22.8 \%$ |
| City of West Sacramento | $17.1 \%$ | $20.9 \%$ |
| City of Woodland | $18.2 \%$ | $23.9 \%$ |
| City of Yuba City | $12.8 \%$ | $17.7 \%$ |
| Del Paso Manor Water District | $41.4 \%$ | $21.1 \%$ |
| El Dorado Irrigation District | $18.2 \%$ | $18.0 \%$ |
| Elk Grove Water District | $28.4 \%$ | $19.7 \%$ |
| Fair Oaks Water District | $23.0 \%$ | $21.9 \%$ |
| Golden State Water Company | $13.3 \%$ | $19.5 \%$ |
| Orange Vale Water Company | $23.9 \%$ | $25.1 \%$ |
| Placer County Water Agency | $6.8 \%$ | $10.5 \%$ |
| Rancho Murieta CSD | $22.7 \%$ | $17.2 \%$ |
| Rio Linda/Elverta CWD | $15.4 \%$ | $19.5 \%$ |
| Sacramento County Water Agency | $-1.6 \%$ | $14.2 \%$ |
| Sacramento Suburban WD | $32.2 \%$ | $18.9 \%$ |
| San Juan Water District | $29.5 \%$ | $24.4 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
|  | $18.7 \%$ | $19.3 \%$ |
|  | $-1.6 \%$ | $9.3 \%$ |
|  | $41.4 \%$ | $25.1 \%$ |
|  |  |  |



Monthly Sample Report - January 2018 Water System: Elk Grove Water System

| Sampling Point: 01-8693 W. Camden |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample Date | Sample Class | Sample Name | Collection Occurrence |
| 1/2/2018 | Distribution System | Bacteriological | Week |
| 1/10/2018 | Distribution System | Bacteriological | Week |
| 1/16/2018 | Distribution System | Bacteriological | Week |
| 1/23/2018 | Distribution System | Bacteriological | Week |
| 1/30/2018 | Distribution System | Bacteriological | Week |
| 1/10/2018 | Distribution System | TTHM / HAA5 | Quarterly |
| Sampling Point: School Well 01D - Raw Water |  |  |  |
| Sample Date | Sample Class | Sample Name | Collection Occurrence |
|  |  |  |  |
| Sampling Point: 02-9425 Emerald Vista |  |  |  |
| Sample Date | Sample Class | Sample Name | Collection Occurrence |
| 1/2/2018 | Distribution System | Bacteriological | Week |
| 1/10/2018 | Distribution System | Bacteriological | Week |
| 1/16/2018 | Distribution System | Bacteriological | Week |
| 1/23/2018 | Distribution System | Bacteriological | Week |
| 1/30/2018 | Distribution System | Bacteriological | Week |
| Sampling Point: - Mar-Val Well 3 Raw Water |  |  |  |
| Sample Date | Sample Class | Sample Name | Collection Occurrence |
| 1/30/2018 | Source Water | 3 mo - Bacteriological | Quarterly |
| 1/30/2018 | Source Water | 3 mo - $\mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Mn}$,As Total | Quarterly |
| 1/30/2018 | Source Water | 3 mo - Fe,Mn,As Dissolved | Quarterly |
| 1/30/2018 | Source Water | $3 \mathrm{mo}-1,2,3, \mathrm{TCP}$ | Quarterly |






|  | Sampling Point: Railroad WTP Backwash Tank |  | Collection Occurrence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample Date | Sample Class | Sample Name |  |
|  |  |  | Collection Description |
| Sample Date | Sampling Point: Special Distribution/Construction Samples |  |  |
| $1 / 18 / 2018$ | Samplass | Sample Name | Lark St.Main line Valve Install |
| $1 / 24 / 2018$ | Distribution System | Bacteriological | Kent St.Main line Valve Install |



February 2, 2018

Sacramento Regional County<br>Sanitation District<br>Environmental Specialist 10060 Goethe Rd.<br>Sacramento, Ca. 95827

MONTHLY COMPLIANCE REPORT

Enclosed is the Monthly Compliance Report Form from Elk Grove Water District for January 2018.

If you have any further questions, you may contact me at 916-585-9386

## COMPLIANCE REPORT FORM

| Attn: Neal Stallions | E-mail: stallionsn@sacsewer.com | Wastewater Source Control Section |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Phone (916) 875-6656 | Fax (916) 875-6374 |  |
| From: Steve Shaw |  |  |
| Company: Elk Grove Water District | Permit \#WTP010 |  |

The following reports and information are attached (check all that apply):

| Month: | January | Year: | 2018 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| X_ | Water use/flow meter Hampton WTP - 32244 <br> report Railroad WTP - 152761 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Monitoring results/analytical report |  | Date | Time | pH |
|  |  | Hampton WTP |  |  |  |
|  |  | Railroad WTP |  |  |  |
|  | Discharge Rate |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\qquad$ Based on a review of this facility's flow data, discharge rate limit was exceeded. $\qquad$ <br> X I certify that this facility is in compliance with the discharge rate limit. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Attached is a description of anticipated changes that may significantly alter the nature, quality, or volume of the wastewater discharged. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Flow monitoring equipment certification (Flow or pH meter, etc.) |  |  |  |  |
|  | Other (describe): |  |  |  |  |

## Domestic Calculation

| Domestic Usage | Number of <br> Employees | Business Days <br> per Month | Allowance <br> (gallons per day) | Gallons |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Production | 3 | 19 | 15 | 855 |
| Office | 4 | 19 | 10 | 760 |
| Drivers/Field | 19 | 19 | 3 | 1083 |

## Certification Statement

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations".

SIGNATURE of Authorized Representative:


PRINTED NAME, TITLE:

DATE:

Steve Shaw
Water Treatment Supervisor
(Name)
(Title)

2-2-2018


February 6, 2018

State Water Resources Control Board Division of Drinking Water 1001 I Street
$13^{\text {th }}$ Floor
Sacramento, Ca. 95814

## MONTHLY SUMMARY OF DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM COLIFORM MONITORING

Enclosed is the Monthly Summary of Distribution System Coliform Monitoring report from Elk Grove Water District for January 2018.

If you have any further questions, you may contact me at 916-585-9386.


STEVE SHAW
WATER TREATMENT SUPERVISOR

# MONTHLY SUMMARY OF REVISED TOTAL COLIFORM RULE DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM MONITORING (including triggered source monitoring for systems subject to the Groundwater Rule) 


6. Invalidated Samples
(Note what samples, if any, were invalidated; who authorized the invalidation; and when replacement samples were collected. Attach additional sheets, if necessary.)
7. Summary Completed By: Steve Shaw
Water Treatment Supervisor $\quad$ 2/2/2018

NOTES AND INSTRUCTIONS

1. Koutme samples include:
a. Samples required pursuant to 22 CCR Section 64423 and any additional samples required by an approved routine sample siting plan established pursuant to 22 CCR Section 64422 .
b. Extra samples are required for systems collecting less than five routine samples per month that had one or more total coliform positives in previous month;
c. Extra samples for systems with high source water turbidities that are using surface water or groundwater under direct influence of surface water and do not practice filtration in compliance with regulations;
2. Note: For a repeat sample following a total coliform positive sample, any Licoli positive repeat (boxed entry) constitutes an MCL violation and requires immediate notification to the Division (22, CCR, Section 64426.1).
3. Note: For repeat sample following a E.coli positive sample, any total coliform positive repeat (boxed entry) constitutes an MCL violation and requires immediate notification to the Division (22, CCR, Section 64426.1).
4. Note: Failure to take all required repeat samples following an IE: coli positive routine sample (22, CCR, Section 64426.1) constitutes an MCL violation and requires immediate notification to the Division (22, CCR, Section 64426.1).
5. Note: Failure to test for $E$. colf when any repoeat sample tests postive for total coliform (22, CCR, Section 64426.1) constitutes an MCL violation and requires immediate notification to the Division (22, CCR, Section 64426.1).
6. Note: Second Level 1 treatment technique trigger in a rolling 12 -month period.
7. Total coliform Treatment Technique (TT) Violation (Notify Department within 24 hours of TT violation):
a. For systems collecting less than 40 samples, if two or more samples are total coliform positive, then the TT is violated and a Level 1 Assessment is required.
b. For systems collecting 40 or more samples, if more than 5.0 percent of samples collected are total coliform positive, then the TT is violated and a Level 1 Assessment is required
8. Contact the Division as soon as practical to arrange for the division to conduct a Level 2 Assessment of the water system. The water system shall complete a Level 2 Assessment and sumbit it to the Division within 30 days of learning of the trigger exceedance.
9. Conduct a Level 1 Assessment in accordance with as soon as practical that covers the minimum olements (22, CCR, Section 64426.8 (a), (2). Submit the report to the Division within 30 days of learing of the trigger exceedance.
10. Positive results and their associated repeat samples are to be tracked on the Coliform Monitoring Worksheet.
11. Repeat samples must be collected within 24 hours of being notified of the positive results. For systems collecting more than one routine sample per month, three repeat samples must be collected for each total coliform positive sample. For systems collecting one or fewer routine samples per month, four repeat samples must be collected for each total coliform positive sample. At least three samples shall be taken the month following a total coliform positive.
12. For systems subject to the Groundwater Rule: Positive results and the associated triggered source samples are to be tracked on the Coliform Monitoring Worksheet.
13. For triggered sample(s) required as a result of a total coliform routine positive sample, an licoli-positive triggered sample (boxed entry) requires immediate notification to the Division, Tier 1 public notification, and corrective action.
(COMPLETED FOR POSITIVE ROUTINE SAMPLES, ALL REPEAT SAMPLES, AND ALL tRIGGERED SOURCE SAMPLES) Report Month January Year 2018

| Routine Samples ${ }^{9}$ |  |  | Repeat Samples ${ }^{6}$ |  |  |  |  | Triggered Source Samples ${ }^{8}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TC+ |  |  | Repeat |  | Colifor | m Results (C) | eck one box) |  |  |  |  |
| Sample Date | TC+ Sample Site ID | ${ }^{12}$ E. coli Results | Collection Date | Repeat Sample Site $\text { IDs }{ }^{10}$ | TC- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TC+ BUT } \\ & \text { E. coli- } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TC+ AND } \\ & \text { E. coli+ } \end{aligned}$ | Source Sample Date | Groundwater Source(s) Sampled | ${ }^{12} \mathrm{TC}$ <br> Results | ${ }^{11,12}$ E. coli Results |
| 1/23/2018 | AL Gates Park Mainline | ( + $1-$ ) | 1/24/2018 | 1.Al Gates Park Mainline | X |  |  | 1/25/2018 | East Park Well (W-73) | (+1-) | (+/-) |
|  |  |  | 1/24/2018 | 2.9374 Mainline | X |  |  | 1/25/2018 | Waterman Ranch (W-77) | (+1-) | $(+1-)$ |
|  |  |  | 1/24/2018 | 3. 9748 Lilac Fields | X |  |  | 1/25/2018 | East Elk Grove (W-112) | (+1-) | (+1-) |
|  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  | 1/25/2018 | Windsor Downs (W-114) | ( + 1-) | $(+1-)$ |
|  |  | ( + 1 - ) |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | (+1-) | $(+1-)$ |
|  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | ( + 1-1) | $(+1-)$ |
|  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | (+1-) | $(+1-)$ |
|  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | ( + 1-) | $(+1-)$ |
|  |  | ( + / - ) |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | (+1-) | $(+1-)$ |
|  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | (+1-) | ( + 1-) |
|  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | ( + 1-) | $(+1-)$ |
|  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | ( + 1-) | $(+I-)$ |
|  |  | (+1-) |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | ( + 1-) | ( $+1-$ ) |
|  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | $(+1-)$ | $(+1-)$ |
|  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | (+1-) | $(+1-)$ |
|  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | ( + 1-) | $(+1-)$ |
|  |  | $(+1-)$ |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | (+1-) | $(+1-)$ |
|  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | (+1-) | (+1-) |
|  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | (+1-) | $(+1-)$ |
|  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | (+1-) | $(+1-)$ |
|  |  | (+1-) |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | (+1-) | $(+1-)$ |
|  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | $(+1-)$ | $(+1-)$ |
|  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | ( + 1-1) | $(+1-)$ |
|  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | (+1-) | (+1-) |
|  |  | (+1-) |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | (+1-) | $(+1-)$ |
|  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | (+1-) | $(+1-)$ |
|  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | (+1-) | ( + 1-) |
|  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | $(+1-)$ | (+1-) |
|  |  | $(+l-)$ |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | $(+1-)$ | ( + 1-1) |
|  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | ( $+1-1$ | (+1-) |
|  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | ( + 1-1) | $(+1-)$ |
|  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | $(+1-)$ | ( + 1-1) |
|  |  | $(+I-)$ |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | ( + 1-) | (+1-) |
|  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | ( + $1-$ ) | ( + 1-1) |
|  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | $(+1-)$ | $(+1-)$ |
|  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | ( $+1-$ ) | (+1-) |
| Comments: All Samples Taken in Area \#2. Whole Sale Water From Sacramento County Water Agency. Weekly System Samples Taken By Elk Grove Water District Operators, Source Water Samples Were Taken By SCWA. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

NOTES AND INSTRUCTIONS:
6. Repeat samples must be collected within 24 hours of being notified of the positive results. For systems collecting more than one routine sample per month, three repeat samples must be collected for each total coliform positive sample. For systems collecting one or fewer routine samples per month, four repeat samples must be collected for each total coliform positive sample.
8. For triggered sample(s) required as a result of a total coliform routine positive sample, an E.coli, enterococci, or coliphage positive triggered sample (boxed entry) requires immediate notification to the Department, Tier 1 public notification, and corrective action.
9. Also include any data for positive samples that occurred in the previous month that led to repeat monitoring occurring in the reporting month. Include location and indicate if the routine sample was either positive or negative for E.coli or Fecal Coliforms.
10. For systems serving $\leq 1000$ persons that collect one or fewer routine samples per month, a triggered source water sample may be used as the fourth repeat, as noted in an approved plan, if E . coli was the indicator used. Show result in GW source column too.
11. The Department recommends using $E$. coli (see note 8). If enterococci or coliphage is used, note which in the comment box below.
12. Circle the appropriate result.


February 6, 2018

State Water Resources Control Board
Division of Drinking Water
1001 I Street
$13^{\text {th }}$ Floor
Sacramento, Ca. 95814
MONTHLY SUMMARY OF THE HAMPTON GROUNDWATER TREATMENT PLANT

Enclosed is the Monthly Summary of the Hampton GWTP report from Elk Grove Water District for January 2018.

If you have any further questions, you may contact me at 916-585-9386.


STEVE SHAW
WATER TREATMENT SUPERVISOR
Elk Grove Water District
Hampton GWTP Monthly Report

| PWS Nu GWTP N |  | $3410008-013$ <br> Hampton Water Treatment Plant |  |  | Month:Weekly In-House Monitoring (mg/L) R (Raw) $T$ (Treated)As (ug/L) |  |  |  |  |  |  | January-18 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | Meter Reading | Production | Backwash <br> Meter | Reclaim Water |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| last day | 301728433 |  | 3805459 | 0 | Date | $\mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{R}$ | $\mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{T}$ | Mn, R | Mn , T | As, R | As, $T$ |  | kly Ave |  |
| 1 | 301728433 | 0 | 3805459 | 0 | 1/2/2018 | 0.005 | 0.012 | 0.005 | 0 | 7 | 2.5 |  | Inf. pH | Eff. pH |
| 2 | 301728433 | 0 | 3805459 | 0 | 1/10/2018 | 0 | 0.006 | 0.02 | 0.009 | 10 | 4 | Week 1: | 6.9 | 8.1 |
| 3 | 301909435 | 181002 | 3809074 | 0 | 1/16/2018 | 0.002 | 0.075 | 0.003 | 0.005 | 10 | 3 | Cl 2 |  | 0.76 |
| 4 | 301909435 | 0 | 3809074 | 0 | 1/23/2018 | 0.008 | 0.049 | 0.011 | 0.009 | 10 | 3 | Week 2: | 6.9 | 8.05 |
| 5 | 301909435 | 0 | 3809074 | 0 | 1/30/2018 | 0.003 | 0.022 | 0.015 | 0.011 | 10 | 2 | Cl 2 |  | 0.82 |
| 6 | 301909435 | 0 | 3809074 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Week 3: | 6.8 | 7.9 |
| 7 | 301909435 | 0 | 3809074 | 0 | Total Gallons S | odium H | Hypochlor | rite: | 10.9 | Gal |  | Cl 2 |  | 0.53 |
| 8 | 301909435 | 0 | 3809074 | 0 | Pounds per da |  | 0.44 | Lbs/Day |  |  |  | Week 4: | 6.8 | 7.8 |
| 9 | 301909435 | 0 | 3809074 | 0 | Dosage (Millig | ams Per | Liter @ | 12.5\% C |  | 1.8 mg |  | Cl 2 |  | 0.77 |
| 10 | 301909435 | 0 | 3809074 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Week 5: | 6.8 | 7.9 |
| 11 | 302165344 | 255909 | 3816230 | 0 | Total Gallons F | erric Chlo | oride: |  | 7.7 | Gal |  | Cl 2 |  | 0.76 |
| 12 | 302165344 | 0 | 3816230 | 0 | Dosage (Millig | ams Per | Liter @ | 8\% FeC |  | 1.3 mg |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 302165344 | 0 | 3816230 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 302165344 | 0 | 3816230 | 0 | Total Gallons S | odium H | Hydroxide |  | 10.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 302165344 | 0 | 3816230 | 0 | Dosage (Gallon | s Per Ho | ur @ 25 | \% NaOH |  |  | $\mathrm{Gal} / \mathrm{Hr}$ |  |  |  |
| 16 | 302165344 | 0 | 3816230 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 302517146 | 351802 | 3826961 | 0 | Total Gallons S | ulfuric A | cid : |  | 7.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 302517146 | 0 | 3826961 | 0 | Dose (Gallons | Per Hour | @ 93\% |  |  | 0.3 | $\mathrm{Gal} / \mathrm{Hr}$ |  |  |  |
| 19 | 302517146 | 0 | 3826961 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 302517146 | 0 | 3826961 | 0 | Total Backwas | hed | 32,24 | 44 Gal |  | Total | claim |  |  |  |
| 21 | 302517146 | 0 | 3826961 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | 302517146 | 0 | 3826961 | 0 | Total Water Pu | mped | 1,237,9 | 961 Gal |  | Total | ater Tre | ated | 1,23 | 1 Gal |
| 23 | 302651041 | 133895 | 3826961 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | 302720107 | 69066 | 3826961 | 0 | Reporting Limits | /Units |  | Maximu | Contam | inant Le | els (MCLs) |  |  |  |
| 25 | 302720107 | 0 | 3826961 | 0 | Iron $=0.100 \mathrm{mg}$ / |  |  | Iron (Fe) | $=0.300 \mathrm{~m}$ | $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ (Se | ndary) |  |  |  |
| 26 | 302720107 | 0 | 3826961 | 0 | Manganese $=0.01$ | 10 mg/L |  | Mangan | se (Mn) = | 0.050 | /L (Secon | ndary) |  |  |
| 27 | 302720107 | 0 | 3826961 | 0 | Arsenic $=1.0 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |  |  | Arsenic ( | As) $=10 \mu$ | $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ (Prim |  |  |  |  |
| 28 | 302720107 | 0 | 3826961 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | 302720107 | 0 | 3826961 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 302900767 | 180660 | 3837703 | 0 | Prepared By: | Steve Sh | haw |  |  |  | Date: | 2/6 |  |  |
| 31 | 302966394 | 65627 | 3837703 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 1237961 | 32,244 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

February 7, 2018

```
State Water Resources Control Board
Division of Drinking Water
1 0 0 1 ~ I ~ S t r e e t
13 th Floor
Sacramento, Ca. }9581
MONTHLY SUMMARY OF DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM FLUORIDATION MONITORING
```

Enclosed is the Monthly Summary of Distribution System Fluoridation Monitoring report from Elk Grove Water District for January 2018.

If you have any further questions, you may contact me at 916-585-9386.


STEVE SHAW
WATER TREATMENT SUPERVISOR

# Elk Grove Water District Area 2 <br> DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM <br> MONTHLY FLUORIDATION MONITORING REPORT 

Water System Name: $\qquad$ Elk Grove Water District System Number: 3410008

Contact Name: Steve Shaw
Telephone: (916) 585-9386
Month/Year:_January 2018

| Week | Location of samples taken* | Monitoring Results ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Date | Time | Result |
| 1 | Hollow Springs | 1-2-2018 | 10:02 | . 60 |
| 1 | Al Gates Park | 1-2-2018 | 10:19 | . 61 |
| 1 | Oreo Ranch | 1-2-2018 | 10:33 | . 59 |
| 1 | Blackman | 1-2-2018 | 12:40 | . 63 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Hollow Springs | 1-10-2018 | 9:41 | . 60 |
| 2 | Al Gates Park | 1-10-2018 | 10:09 | . 64 |
| 2 | Oreo Ranch | 1-10-2018 | 10:34 | . 63 |
| 2 | Blackman | 1-10-2018 | 1:17 | . 63 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Hollow Springs | 1-16-2018 | 9:55 | . 60 |
| 3 | Al Gates Park | 1-16-2018 | 10:25 | . 63 |
| 3 | Oreo Ranch | 1-16-2018 | 10:40 | . 64 |
| 3 | Blackman | 1-16-2018 | 12:50 | . 73 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Hollow Springs | 1-23-2018 | 9:15 | . 66 |
| 4 | Al Gates Park | 1-23-2018 | 9:30 | . 58 |
| 4 | Oreo Ranch | 1-23-2018 | 9:45 | . 55 |
| 4 | Blackman | 1-23-2018 | 12:20 | . 61 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | Hollow Springs | 1-30-2018 | 8:49 | . 52 |
| 5 | Al Gates Park | 1-30-2018 | 9:08 | . 64 |
| 5 | Oreo Ranch | 1-30-2018 | 9:23 | . 59 |
| 5 | Blackman | 1-30-2018 | 12:19 | . 45 |

Fluoridation control range:

| Low: | $\mathbf{0 . 6}$ | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Optimal: | $\mathbf{0 . 7}$ | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| High: | $\mathbf{1 . 2}$ | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |

Monthly fluoride split sample results:
Date: 1-10-2018
Water system personnel:_. $63 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$
Approved laboratory: $\qquad$ 71 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$

Number of samples taken that fall outside the control range: $\quad 5$

Number of samples taken per month pursuant to sampling plan: $\qquad$
Percentage outside of control range $=$
Number that fall outside of range $\times 100 \%=$ $\qquad$ \%
Number of samples taken

| Annual |
| :---: |
|  |
| Refer. 2018 |


| Refer. 1 IST 6-MO. | 2ND 6-MO. | Refer. 2018 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


Groundwater Wells

## Monthly

| Refer. | JAN | FEB | MAR | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | OCT | NOV |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


$\square=$ Well offline


Elk Grove Water District
Backflow Prevention Program 2018

| Backflow Device Reports |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CURRENT | JAN | FEB | MAR | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | OCT | NOV | DEC |
| Notices Issued | 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assemblies Tested | 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Passed Initial Test | 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Failed Initial Test | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Failed Devices Retested----Passed | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investigations or Address Change |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inactivated Devices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Schedule Code Changed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Devices Turned Off |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2nd Notices Issued | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monthly Outstanding Delinquents | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Carryover from 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Outstandi | ing Delinq | quents | 6 |

## Elk Grove Water District <br> Safety Meetings/Training <br> January 2018

| Date | Topic | Attendees | Hosted By |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Shop Safety | Alan Aragon, Aurelia Camilo, Jose <br> Carrillo, John Diaz, David Frederick, <br> Aaron Hewitt, Sean Hinton, Justin <br> Mello, Jose Mendoza, Salvador <br> Mendoza, Michael Montiel, Chris <br> Phillips, William Sadler, Richard Salas, <br> Steve Shaw, John Vance, Brandon <br> Wagner, Marcell Wilson | Sarah Jones |
| $1 / 8 / 2018$ | Forklift Safety <br> Certification | Alan Aragon, Jose Carrillo, John Diaz, <br> David Frederick, Aaron Hewitt, Sean <br> Hinton, Sarah Jones, Justin Mello, Jose <br> Mendoza, Sal Mendoza, Michael <br> Montiel, Chris Phillips, Wilfredo <br> Quintero, William Sadler, Richard <br> Salas, Steve Shaw, John Vance, <br> Brandon Wagner | Rudy Schroeder |
| $1 / 22 / 2018$ | Plant Inspection | Jose Carrillo, Sarah Jones, Richard <br> Salas, Steve Shaw | Sarah Jones |













# TO: $\quad$ Chairman and Directors of the Florin Resource Conservation District <br> FROM: Mark J. Madison, General Manager <br> <br> SUBJECT: FLORIN RESOURCE CONSERVATION DISTRICT 2018 OUTSIDE <br> <br> SUBJECT: FLORIN RESOURCE CONSERVATION DISTRICT 2018 OUTSIDE AGENCY REPRESENTATION 

## RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Florin Resource Conservation District Board of Directors appoint Directors as representation to the Association of California Water Agencies/Joint Powers Insurance Agency, the Regional Water Authority, and the Sacramento Central Groundwater Authority.

## Summary

Every year, typically in January, Directors are assigned to previously established standing Board committees. The Florin Resource Conservation District (FRCD) bylaws cite that the Chairperson may appoint the Directors to these committees, whose appointments shall then be ratified by the Board. Appointments are also made for outside agency representation.

During the January 2018 Board meeting, Directors were assigned to the standing Board committees, but the Board requested more information before assigning representation to the Association of California Water Agencies/Joint Powers Insurance Agency (ACWA/JPIA), the Regional Water Authority (RWA), and the Sacramento Central Groundwater Authority (SCGA). This staff report is intended to provide the Board with that information.

By this action, if approved, the Florin Resource Conservation District Board of Directors will appoint Directors as representation to those stated outside agencies.

## DISCUSSION

## Background

The Florin Resource Conservation District Board bylaws state that the Chair may, following election in January, appoint Board members to standing committees of the District and ad-hoc committees as necessary. The committee appointments shall be
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ratified by a majority of the Board. These committee appointments have also included the assignment of Directors to serve as representatives on four outside agencies.

At the Regular Board Meeting on January 22, 2018, decisions were made regarding all of the standing board committees.

Relative to the four outside agencies, it was determined at that meeting that the District would no longer provide representation to one of those agencies and that agency is the Special District Advisory Committee to the Sacramento Local Agency Formation Commission (Sacramento LAFCO). Per the Board's direction, the General Manager has already notified Sacramento LAFCO of this decision.

Relative to the three other outside agencies, the Board requested more information before assigning representation to ACWA/JPIA, RWA, and the SCGA. This staff report is intended to provide the Board with that information.

## Present Situation

Directors and staff represent the District on the following outside agencies: ACWA/JPIA, RWA, and SCGA.

The currently assigned outside agency representatives are as follows:

| ACWA/JPIA | Tom Nelson |
| :--- | :--- |
| RWA | Tom Nelson <br> Mark Madison |
| SCGA $^{*}$ | Mark Madison <br> Bruce Kamilos (Alternate) |

*Note - The designation of a representative to SCGA is a nomination only. The actual appointment of a FRCD representative must be made by the Elk Grove City Council.

At the Regular Board Meeting on January 22, 2018, several questions were asked of staff requiring additional information to be brought back to the Board. These questions and the associated answers are as follows:
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## 1. What are these agencies and what do they do?

## Association of California Water Agencies/Joint Powers Insurance Agency

The ACWA/JPIA is a joint powers authority established to provide comprehensive and economical public liability, workers' compensation, unemployment, health, and property coverage, or coverage for other risks to which the Board of Directors may agree.

ACWA/JPIA administers joint protection programs for Districts of ACWA whereby they pool their losses and claims, and jointly purchase excess insurance and/or reinsurance and administrative and other services, including claims adjusting, data processing, risk management consulting, loss prevention, legal, and other related services.

ACWA/JPIA serves approximately 375 agencies in California. The FRCD has enjoyed health benefits since 2003, and workers compensation, and property and liability coverage since 2016. ACWA/JPIA also provides legislative tracking services.

## Regional Water Authority

The RWA is a joint powers authority that serves and represents the interests of nearly 22 water providers in the greater Sacramento area. The organization's primary mission is to help its members protect and enhance the reliability, availability, affordability and quality of water resources.

RWA also provides other services including water conservation programs, legislation tracking and lobbying, and pooled procurement of chemicals.

## Sacramento Central Groundwater Authority

SCGA is a joint powers authority established for the purposes of:
(1) maintaining the long-term sustainable groundwater yield of the Central Basin;
(2) ensuring implementation of the Basin Management Objectives that are prescribed by the current version of the Central Sacramento County Groundwater Management Plan (GMP);
(3) overseeing the operation of any Well Protection Program that may be prescribed by the GMP;
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(4) managing the use of groundwater in the Central Basin and facilitate implementation of an appropriate conjunctive use program by water purveyors;
(5) coordinating efforts among those entities represented on the governing body of the joint powers authority to devise and implement strategies to safeguard groundwater quality; and
(6) working collaboratively with other entities, including the Sacramento Groundwater Authority, the Southeast Sacramento County Agricultural Water Authority and other groundwater management authorities that may be formed in the County of Sacramento and adjacent political jurisdictions, in order to promote coordination of policies and activities throughout the region.

SCGA is comprised of five members who are signatories to the Joint Powers Agreement and is governed by sixteen board members. The FRCD/EGWD is not a signatory but is a member of the governing board.

SCGA is primarily focusing its efforts now on the compliance with the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act of 2014 (SGMA).

## 2. What do our representatives do with these agencies?

## Association of California Water Agencies/Joint Powers Insurance Agency

Representatives attend two annual board meetings and take action on items that come before the ACWA/JPIA Board, including regular items as well as policy and legislative matters. These meetings are held twice a year at the ACWA conferences held at various locations throughout the State.
Representatives also may serve on various committees and subcommittees, if elected or appointed by the ACWA/JPIA Board depending on the committee.

There are eight committees that assist the Board of ACWA/JPIA. The Executive Committee ( 9 members, 8 elected, the ACWA VP is the $9^{\text {th }}$ ) meets 10-12 times a year. The other committees (Liability Program, Property Program, Workers' Comp Program, Employee Benefits Program, Risk Management Program and the Finance \& Audit Committee) meet between 1 and 4 times a year as necessary. As an agency representative, you are expected to participate at least once each year at the ACWA conference. ACWA/JPIA is regularly looking for committee members for their other committees. The make-up of most of the committees include a combination of district directors and staff. The positions are appointed by the ACWA/JPIA Board President.
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All Executive Committee members are elected by the full Board of Directors. To qualify for the Executive Committee, the Director's district must participate in all four of the pooled programs, Liability, Property, Work Comp and at least one of the Employee Benefit's pooled programs. The FRCD would qualify.

## Regional Water Authority

Representatives attend regular monthly board meetings and take action on items that come before the RWA Board, including regular items as well as policy and legislative matters. Meetings are usually held at the RWA offices in Citrus Heights. Representatives may also serve on various committees and subcommittees, if elected or appointed by the RWA Board depending on the committee.

## Sacramento Central Groundwater Authority

Representatives attend regular monthly board meetings and take action on items that come before the SCGA Board, including regular items as well as policy matters. Representatives also may serve on various committees if appointed by the SCGA Board. Meetings are usually held at the City of Elk Grove or the Sacramento County offices on Goethe Rd.

## 3. What are their voting rights and can there be alternates?

Association of California Water Agencies/Joint Powers Insurance Agency
Each agency may have one appointed representative and one alternate. Each agency may change their appointments at any time. Presently, the FRCD does not have an appointed alternate.

Relative to voting rights, each agency is allowed one vote.

## Regional Water Authority

Each agency may have two representatives and an unlimited number of alternates as designated by the agency.

Only one vote is allowed per agency when voting on a particular matter. An alternate representative may only vote at a board meeting if the representatives are not present. Alternates are not eligible to be selected for the Executive Committee.
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## Sacramento Central Groundwater Authority

Each agency may have one representatives and an unlimited number of alternate representatives as designated by the agency.

Only one vote is allowed per agency when voting on a particular matter. An alternate may only vote at a board meeting if the representative is not present.

It is recommended that the Board review the FRCD agency assignments for the ACWA/JPIA, the RWA, and the SCGA and make modifications, as the Board deems appropriate.

## ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS

There are no direct environmental considerations associated with this report.

## STRATEGIC PLAN CONFORMITY

This item conforms to the FRCD/EGWD's 2012-2017 Strategic Plan. The 2018 Committee Appointments are important to the mission of the FRCD, which states "The FRCD assists, manages, and/or produces beneficial resource conservation programs within the FRCD service area by building alliances, generating community interest and input, and organizing activities and projects".

## FINANCIAL SUMMARY

There is no financial impact associated with this agenda item.
Respectfully submitted,


MARK J. MÁDISON, GENERAL MANAGER

# TO: $\quad$ Chairperson and Directors of the Florin Resource Conservation District 

FROM: Stefani Phillips, Human Resource Administrator
SUBJECT: BOARD OF DIRECTOR BENEFITS

## RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Florin Resource Conservation District Board of Directors consider the proposed Board of Director Benefits and, if desired, direct staff to work with the Board of Director Benefits Ad-hoc Committee to establish a Board of Director Benefits policy.

## Summary

The Florin Resource Conservation District (FRCD) Board of Directors (Board) appointed a Board of Director Benefits Ad-hoc Committee (Committee) to work with staff to establish a benefits package and policy.

By this action, it is recommended that the Board consider the proposed benefit package and, if desired, direct staff to work with the Committee to develop a Board of Director Benefits Policy.

## DISCUSSION

## Background

At the December 20, 2017 Regular Board Meeting, staff presented a schedule of benefits provided to staff that could also be offered to the Board. These benefits include seven (7) medical plan options, dental, vision, life insurance, and Employee Assistance Program (EAP). Staff explained that the Board may enjoy the same benefits provided to the employees or the Board may limit the benefits, including the medical plans offered to the Board.

The directors may enroll in the entire benefit package selected by the Board or they must waive the entire benefit package (medical, dental, vision, life insurance and EAP) for the remainder of the term they are serving. The waiver will express that the director is opting out due to being opposed to using District funds.
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If a director declined to sign that waiver, the District would be disqualified from the medical incentive rates currently afforded to the District.

It should be noted that the dental plan is non-voluntary for eligible dependents. Should an eligible dependent not enroll, the dental plan would be subject to a voluntary plan conversion as well as increased dental plan rates.

The Board unanimously agreed to move forward with board of director benefits and appointed a Board of Directors Benefit Ad-hoc Committee appraised of Chairperson Tom Nelson and Vice-Chairperson Bob Gray to work with staff to establish a benefits package and develop a policy.

## Present Situation

The Committee met with staff on Wednesday, January 24, 2018, to review the benefits currently provided to employees. Chairperson Tom Nelson presented statistics he received from ACWA/JPIA regarding director benefit coverage. ACWA/JPIA reported there is 269 districts that are members of their healthcare benefits and 238 of those districts have reported some level of director coverage. Of those 238 districts, 401 directors are enrolled in various healthcare benefits.

The Committee recommends a conservative benefit package for the Board to consider.
The recommended Board of Director Benefits package is as follows:

1. Medical Benefits

The lowest cost medical plan, excluding the Consumer Driven Health Plans.
The lowest costing medical plan in 2018 is Kaiser HMO (Attachment 1).
2. Dental Benefits

Delta Dental PPO (Attachment 2).
3. Vision Benefits

Vision Service Plan (VSP) (Attachment 3).
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If the Board approves the recommended benefits package and directs staff to work with the Committee to develop a Board of Director Benefits Policy, the policy will not be contained in the Employee Policy Manual. The policy would be unique to the Board of Directors and would be incorporated into the Board of Directors Policies.

## ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS

There are no direct environmental considerations associated with this report.

## STRATEGIC PLAN CONFORMITY

This item is not reflected in the 2012-2017 Strategic Plan.

## FINANCIAL SUMMARY

If benefits are afforded to the Board, all costs will depend on the benefits offered and the number of directors signing up for these benefits. The potential cost ranges from \$9,400 to $\$ 131,000$ per year and will be split $90 / 10$ between the EGWD and the FRCD, respectively.

Respectfully Submitted,


STEFANI PHILLIPS,
HUMAN RESOURCE ADMINISTRATOR
Attachments

AGENDA ITEM No. 6

Attachment 1

## 2018 Medical Plans with Incentive Rates (Jan. - Jun.)

ACWA JPIA 2018 Medical Plans

| Anthem Blue Cross | Deductible (Indiv./Family) | Dr. | ER | Hospital | X-ray, lab | Prescription | Medical Max Out of Pocket | RX Max Out of Pocket |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Classic PPO | \$200/600 | \$15 | \$50 | Ded/10\%/20\% ${ }^{1}$ | 20\% | \$5/20/50 | \$2,000/4,000 | \$5,600/10,200 |
| Advantage PPO | \$500/1,000 | \$20 | \$50 | Ded/20\% | 20\% | \$5/20/50 | \$3,000/6,000 | \$3,600/7,200 |
| CalCare HMO | None | \$10 | \$50 | \$0 | N/A | \$5/20/50 | \$500/1,500 | \$6,100/11,700 |
| Value HMO | None | \$30 | \$150 | \$250 | N/A | \$10/20/50 ${ }^{2}$ | \$2,500/5,000 | \$4,100/8,200 |
| Consumer Driven Health Plan ${ }^{3}$ | \$1,500/3,000 |  | ductib | then $20 \%$ coin | rance | Ded/\$10/20/40 | \$2,50 | 14,000 |


| Kaiser |  |  |  |  |  | Combined Max OOP |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HMO with Chiro | None | \$10 | \$50 | \$0 | N/A | \$5/15 | \$1,500/3,000 |
| HMO with Chiro \& Optical | None | \$10 | \$50 | \$0 | N/A | \$5/15 | \$1,500/3,000 |
| Senior Advantage with Chiro | None | \$20 | \$50 | \$0 | N/A | \$10/25 | \$1,500/3,000 |
| Value HMO with Chiro | None | \$30 | \$150 | \$250 | N/A | \$10/20 | \$2,500/5,000 |
| Consumer Driven Health Plan ${ }^{3}$ | \$1,500/3,000 ${ }^{4}$ | \$20 | \$100 | \$250 | copays | \$10/30 | \$2,700/5,400 ${ }^{4}$ |
| Important Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{1}$ Facility charge is covered at $90 \%$. Physician charge covered at $80 \%$. Both are subject to deductible. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{2}$ An annual \$100 drug deductible applies to medications on this plan. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{3}$ Member is responsible for $100 \%$ of medical \& prescription costs (excluding Preventive Care) until deductible is met. After deductible is met, copays and/or coinsurance apply. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{4}$ An individual enrolled in family coverage will have a maximum liability of $\$ 2,700$ toward the family deductible and family maximum out of pocket. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| This is a very brief plan comparison of IN-NETWORK benefits. Plan summaries are available at www.acwajpia.com. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The Evidence of Coverage prevails in the event of a discrepancy. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ACWA JPIA, CA License \#0172324 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Revised 7/3 |

Medical Plan Rates - Monthly

| Medical Plan Options | Single <br> Premium | Over Cap <br> Monthly | Two -Party <br> Premium | Over Cap <br> Monthly | Family <br> Premium | Over Cap <br> Monthly |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Anthem Blue Cross Classic <br> PPO | $\$ 907.61$ | $\$ 84.47$ | $\$ 1,850.24$ | $\$ 217.22$ | $\$ 2,487.63$ |  |
| Anthem Blue Cross HMO | $\$ 951.20$ | $\$ 128.06$ | $\$ 1,892.52$ | $\$ 259.50$ | $\$ 2,617.34$ |  |
| Anthem Advantage PPO | $\$ 763.98$ | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 1,555.78$ |  | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 2,091.19$ |

Note. Koiepis not covered under Incentive Rates.

Fiscal Year 2017-18 Monthly
Medical Premium Caps
Categories
Medical
\$823.14
Single
\$1,633.02
Two-Party
\$2,264.40

## Attachment 2

Plan Benefit Highlights for：ACWA Joint Powers Insurance Authority Monthly rates are as follows：Single－\＄35．36，two－Party－\＄69．99，and family－\＄128．10．

Group No：00399－03002，05002， 03302 \＆ $09302 \quad$ Effective Date：1／1／2017

| Eligibility | Primary enrollee，spouse（includes domestic partner）and eligible dependent children to the end of the month dependent turns age 26 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deductibles | \＄25 per person／\＄50 per family each calendar year |  |  |  |
| Diagnostic \＆Preventive（D \＆P） and Orthodontics？ | Yes |  |  |  |
| Maximums | \＄1，500 per person each calendar year |  |  |  |
| Waiting Period（s） | Basic Benefits None | Major Benefits None | Prosthodontics 12 Months | Orthodontics 12 Months |


| Benefits and <br> Covered Services＊ | Delta Dental PPO dentists＊＊ | Non－Delta Dental PPO <br> dentists＊＊ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Diagnostic \＆Preventive <br> Services（D \＆P） <br> Exams，cleanings and x－rays | $85 \%$ | $80 \%$ |
| Basic Services <br> Fillings，simple tooth extractions， <br> posterior composite restorations <br> and sealants | $80 \%$ | $80 \%$ |
| Endodontics（root canals） <br> Covered Under Basic Services | $80 \%$ | $80 \%$ |
| Periodontics（gum treatment） <br> Covered Under Basic Services | $80 \%$ | $80 \%$ |
| Oral Surgery <br> Covered Under Basic Services | $80 \%$ | $80 \%$ |
| Major Services <br> Crowns，inlays，onlays and cast <br> restorations | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| Prosthodontics <br> Bridges，dentures and implants | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| Orthodontic Benefits <br> Adults and dependent children | $\$ 2,000$ Lifetime | $\$ 2,000$ Lifetime |
| Orthodontic Maximums | 50 |  |

＊Limitations or waiting periods may apply for some benefits；some services may be excluded from your plan． Reimbursement is based on Delta Dental maximum contract allowances and not necessarily each dentist＇s submitted fees．
＊＊Reimbursement is based on PPO contracted fees for PPO dentists，Premier contracted fees for Premier dentists and program allowance for non－Delta Dental dentists．

Delta Dental of California 100 First St．
San Francisco，CA 94105

## Customer Service

800－765－6003

Claims Address
P．O．Box 997330
Sacramento，CA 95899－7330

## deltadentalins．com

This benefit information is not intended or designed to replace or serve as the plan＇s Evidence of Coverage or Summary Plan Description．If you have specific questions regarding the benefits，limitations or exclusions for your plan，please consult your company＇s benefits representative． family - \$23.26.

VSP Provider Network: VSP Signature

| Benefit | Description | Copay | Frequency |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Your Coverage with a VSP Provider |  |  |  |
| WellVision Exam | - Focuses on your eyes and overall wellness | $\$ 10$ for exam and glasses | Every 12 months |
| Prescription Glasses |  |  |  |
| Frame | - $\$ 150$ allowance for a wide selection of frames <br> - $\$ 170$ allowance for featured frame brands <br> - $20 \%$ savings on the amount over your allowance <br> - $\$ 80$ Costco $^{\circ}$ frame allowance | Combined with exam | Every 12 months |
| Lenses | - Single vision, lined bifocal, and lined trifocal lenses <br> - Polycarbonate lenses for dependent children | Combined with exam | Every 12 months |
| Lens Enhancements | - Tints/Photochromic adaptive lenses <br> - Standard progressive lenses <br> - Premium progressive lenses <br> - Custom progressive lenses <br> - Average savings of $35-40 \%$ on other lens enhancements | $\begin{gathered} \$ 0 \\ \$ 50 \\ \$ 80-\$ 90 \\ \$ 120-\$ 160 \end{gathered}$ | Every 12 months |
| Contacts (instead of glasses) | - \$120 allowance for contacts and contact lens exam (fitting and evaluation) <br> - $15 \%$ savings on a contact lens exam (fitting and evaluation) | \$0 | Every 12 months |
| Diabetic Eyecare Plus Program | - Services related to diabetic eye disease, glaucoma and age-related macular degeneration (AMD). Retinal screening for eligible members with diabetes. Limitations and coordination with medical coverage may apply. Ask your VSP doctor for details. | \$20 | As needed |
| Extra Savings | Glasses and Sunglasses <br> - Extra $\$ 20$ to spend on featured frame brands. Go to vsp.com/specialoffers for details. <br> - $30 \%$ savings on additional glasses and sunglasses, including lens enhancements, from the same VSP provider on the same day as your WellVision Exam. Or get 20\% from any VSP provider within 12 months of your last WellVision Exam. |  |  |
|  | Retinal Screening <br> - No more than a \$39 copay on routine retinal screening as an enhancement to a WellVision Exam |  |  |
|  | Laser Vision Correction <br> - Average $15 \%$ off the regular price or $5 \%$ off the promotional price; discounts only available from contracted facilities <br> - After surgery, use your frame allowance (if eligible) for sunglasses from any VSP doctor |  |  |

## Your Coverage with Out-of-Network Providers

Get the most out of your benefits and greater savings with a VSP network doctor. Your coverage with out-of-network providers will be less or you'll receive a lower level of benefits. Visit vsp.com for plan details.
Exam ............................................................................................................................................................................................................... $\$ 50$
Frame

Coverage with a participating retail chain may be different. Once your benefit is effective, visit vsp.com for details. Coverage information is subject to change. In the event of a conflict between this information and your organization's contract with VSP, the terms of the contract will prevail. Based on applicable laws, benefits may vary by location. In the state of Washington, VSP Vision Care, Inc., is the legal name of the corporation through which VSP does business.

## Contact us. 800.877.7195 | vsp.com

## 1. Brands/Promotion subject to change.

2. Savings based on network doctor's retail price and vary by plan and purchase selection; average savings determined after benefits are applied. Available only through VSP network doctors to VSP members with applicable plan benefits. Ask your VSP network doctor for details.
3. Blueocean Market Intelligence National Vision Plan Member Research, 2014

TO: $\quad$ Chairman and Directors of the Florin Resource Conservation District
FROM: Sarah Jones, Program Manager
SUBJECT: LEGISLATIVE UPDATE

## RECOMMENDATION

This item is presented for information only. No action by the Board is proposed at this time.

## SUMMARY

The State Water Resources Control Board (Water Board) released a draft regulation that proposes to permanently prohibit certain "wasteful and unreasonable water use practices." The proposed prohibitions are the result of direction given to the water board in Executive Order B-37-16. The Elk Grove Water District (EGWD) signed onto a comment letter submitted by Regional Water Authority (RWA) in response to the draft regulations. The Governor Brown Administration released their 2018-19 January Budget Summary which included a statement of intent to establish a "Safe and Affordable Drinking Water Fund," (budget trailer bill) consistent with the policy framework of SB 623. RWA is opposed to a water tax or public goods charge and is currently reviewing the proposed budget summary and will continue advocacy efforts on this issue.

## DISCUSSION

## Background

The Board is periodically updated on legislative and statewide water issues.

## Present Situation

The Water Board released a draft regulation that proposes to permanently prohibit certain "wasteful and unreasonable water use practices." The proposed prohibitions are the result of direction given to the water board in Executive Order B-37-16. EGWD signed onto a comment letter submitted by RWA (Attachment 1) on February 12, 2018 in response to the draft regulations. The letter outlines concerns with the current draft regulations, including, for example, how "measurable rainfall" is described and defined.
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One main point of opposition is that many water providers in the Sacramento region have already adopted ordinances and implemented actions consistent with the draft prohibitions as an element of locally-driven, comprehensive approaches to advancing water use efficiency. They do not feel this needs to be a state mandate but rather should be at the discretion of agencies at the local level.

Another hot legislative topic is regarding the Brown Administration's 2018-19 January Budget Summary which included a statement of intent to establish a "Safe and Affordable Drinking Water Fund" consistent with the policy framework of SB 623; the full excerpt from the January Budget Summary document is below. Both the language in the trailer bill and SB 623 are being opposed by many agencies, including RWA, ACWA and EGWD because the probable mechanisms to pay for such a program include a "water tax" or public goods charge. There is also potential compliance issues with Proposition 218 that would need to be addressed in order to implement an affordable drinking water program statewide.

RWA is currently reviewing the proposed trailer bill language and will continue advocacy efforts on this issue. They adopted "Policy Principles on Public Good Charges" in 2015 (Attachment 2) that outline the main concerns including the lack of local control and accountability as well as opposition to a public goods charge as a funding mechanism. The next Legislative Advocacy Meeting was postponed to the $4^{\text {th }}$ Wednesday this month and is scheduled for February 28, 2018.

Safe and Affordable Drinking Water Fund-Establishes a new special fund for the State Water Board to assist communities, particularly disadvantaged communities, in paying for the short-term and long-term costs of obtaining access to safe and affordable drinking water. The Administration is proposing statutory language, consistent with the policy framework of SB 623, introduced in the 2017-18 legislative session, to establish a program that provides grants, loans, and administrator contracts or services to assist eligible communities and households in securing access to safe and affordable drinking water.

The Budget proposes $\$ 4.7$ million in 2018-19 for the State Water Board and the Department of Food and Agriculture to take initial steps toward implementation of this new program, including (1) developing and implementing fee collection systems, (2) conducting an assessment to estimate the level of funding needed to assist water systems in the state to ensure the delivery of safe and affordable drinking water, and (3) developing and making available a map of high-risk aquifers used as drinking water sources.
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## ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS

There are no direct environmental considerations associated with this report.

## STRATEGIC PLAN CONFORMITY

Tracking active legislation complies with the District's Regulatory Compliance goals of the 2012-2017 Strategic Plan.

## FINANCIAL SUMMARY

There is no direct financial impact associated with this report.

Respectfully submitted,


February 12, 2018

Marcus Yasutake, Chair
Paul Schubert, Vice Chair

## Members

California American Water
Carmichael Water District
Citrus Heights Water District
Del Paso Manor Water District

El Dorado Irrigation District
Elk Grove Water District
Fair Oaks Water District
Folsom, City of
Golden State Water Company

Lincoln, City of
Orange Vale Water Company
Placer County Water Agency
Rancho Murieta Community Services District

Roseville, City of
Rio Linda / Elverta Community Water District

Sacramento, City of
Sacramento County Water Agency

Sacramento Suburban Water District
San Juan Water District
West Sacramento, City of
Yuba City, City of

## Associates

County of Placer
El Dorado County Water Agency

Sacramento Area Flood Control Agency
Sacramento Municipal Utility District

Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District

Jeanine Townsend, Clerk to the Board State Water Resources Control Board
1001 I Street, 24th Floor
Sacramento, CA 95814

## RE: Regional Water Authority's Comments regarding the Revised Draft Permanent Prohibitions Against Wasteful and Unreasonable Water Uses

Dear Ms. Townsend:

The Regional Water Authority (RWA) appreciates this opportunity to provide comments on the State Water Resources Control Board's (SWRCB) revised draft Permanent Prohibitions Against Wasteful and Unreasonable Water Uses dated January 31, 2018 (Draft Regulation). RWA is a joint powers authority that represents 21 water suppliers in the greater Sacramento region. Collectively, RWA's members provide reliable water supplies to approximately two million residents and thousands of businesses.

As noted in our December 21, 2017 letter on the initial Draft Regulation, RWA and Sacramento region water suppliers have demonstrated a long-term commitment to achieving lasting improvements in water use efficiency. Many water providers in the Sacramento region have also adopted ordinances and implemented actions consistent with the Draft Regulation as an element of locally-driven, comprehensive approaches to advancing water use efficiency, and RWA is supportive of practices and actions consistent with many of the Draft Regulation's provisions.

We are supportive of the following revisions to the Draft Regulation that are consistent with comments included in RWA's December 21 letter:

- Modifying the description of "measurable rainfall" from one-tenth of one inch to onefourth of one inch in section 963(b)(1)(E). As revised, the Draft Regulation now accounts for actions taken by Californians to prevent unnecessary irrigation when precipitation levels are sufficient to maintain plant health, such as the use of commercially-available smart irrigation controllers which are triggered at one-eighth of one inch of rain. Consistent with the intent of the prohibition, RWA believes that the description of "measurable rainfall" could be further improved by allowing the entities and individuals responsible for implementation of the final prohibition to determine the level of rainfall that constitutes "measurable" based on local conditions.
- Modifying the proposed prohibition on the irrigation of turf in section 963(b)(1)(G) to include a number of important exceptions and clarifications, including: an exception for community or neighborhood functions that include, but are not limited to, recreational uses, and civic or community uses; an exception for the incidental irrigation of turf when the primary purpose of irrigation is the irrigation of trees; and, clarifying that the prohibition applies to publicly owned and maintained landscape areas between the street and sidewalk.

In the interest of ensuring that the final prohibitions are crafted in a manner that accounts for other important implementation issues, RWA encourages the SWRCB to further refine the language of several elements of the Draft Regulation as follows:

- Clarifying language should be added to the draft prohibition on the application of water directly to driveways and sidewalks to exempt "cleaning or maintenance that cannot be readily accomplished by another method." While we support the exemption for cleaning necessary to maintain health and safety currently included in section 963(b)(2)(A), this provision should be modified to also recognize an exemption for the efficient use of water to clean and maintain paved areas in cases where there are no other readily-available effective methods to do so. In some cases, the efficient use of water is necessary to address conditions that may not pose a health or safety risk but which impact a meaningful community interest, such addressing conditions of extreme griminess to maintain thriving commercial corridors or the preservation of communities' other aesthetic values.
- The draft prohibition on the irrigation of turf on public street medians and publicly owned and maintained landscape areas between the street and sidewalk in section 963(b)(1)(G) should be modified to only apply to the irrigation of turf on new or retrofitted landscape areas. Alternatively, the final prohibition proposed should be modified to provide an exception where it is not cost effective to retrofit the area relative to the amount of water saved.

Finally, as the SWRCB considers whether and how these and the other draft prohibitions should be made permanent, it is critical to note that the prohibitions would apply to specified "practices" and "actions" for all Californians. ( $\$ 963, ~ § 963(\mathrm{~b})(1)$.) The violation of any final prohibition by an individual or entity should not be interpreted as the waste and unreasonable use of water by a water supplier which serves them. Additionally, while many water providers in the Sacramento region have already adopted ordinances and implemented actions consistent with the Draft Prohibitions as an element of locally-driven, comprehensive approaches to advancing water use efficiency, RWA would oppose any requirements that would shift sole responsibility for implementation of any final SWRCB prohibitions to local water suppliers.

We appreciate your consideration of these comments regarding the Draft Regulation. If you or your staff have any questions, please contact me at (916) 967-7692 or jwoodling@rwah2o.org.

Sincerely,


John Woodling

## Executive Director

cc: Mr. Max Gomberg, Office of Research, Planning and Performance, SWRCB Ms. Charlotte Ely, Office of Research, Planning and Performance, SWRCB
Ms. Kathy Frevert, Office of Research, Planning and Performance, SWRCB

# Attachment 2 <br> REGIONAL WATER AUTHORITY <br> POLICY PRINCIPLES ON PUBLIC GOODS CHARGES 

Adopted by the RWA Board on November 12, 2015
A California public goods charge on water would be a fee applied to water bills to fund public interest programs related to water supply and resources. Based on current amendments to Senate Bill (SB) 20, there is a proposal to create the California Water Resiliency Investment Fund. This bill signals the likely intent of some in the Legislature to put into place a water public goods charge (water tax) on local water utility bills.

While there is a clear need for sensible, long-term funding solutions to assist disadvantaged communities that do not have safe drinking water, a tax on Californians' water bills is not the solution and will further hamper local efforts to maintain safe and reliable water infrastructure at a reasonable price for all customers, including those low-income customers within our own water service areas.

The Regional Water Authority, which represents 22 municipal and industrial water suppliers in the Sacramento region serving approximately two million people, opposes a water public goods charge as supported by the following principles.

## A State Public Goods Charge on Water is Contrary to Local Control and Accountability

- Due to rising costs and declining revenue, many water utilities face ratepayer fatigue. An additional cost in the form of a State Public Goods Charge makes raising rates to meet local needs and assure a safe and reliable water supply increasingly difficult.
- Water agencies face a litany of business case challenges including: declining revenues due to decreasing demands; increased costs associated with mandated conservation and aging infrastructure; a highly regulated rate setting process that offers limited options and requires expensive outreach campaigns; and politically charged debates that often result in compromises that push needed investments into the future. A State Public Goods Charge added into the mix will further limit water agencies' ability to responsibly and efficiently operate and maintain safe and reliable water systems.
- A State Public Goods Charge runs counter to the notion that water managers and their locally elected boards and councils know their community's needs best. They, not the State, should determine how to spend locally generated revenues to benefit the communities within their service areas.
- A State Public Goods Charge runs counter to the State's California Water Action Plan that calls for, "integrated water management" that envisions supporting local water infrastructure investments based on inter-agency/stakeholder cooperation with state agencies that achieve regional and statewide benefits to water resources and protection.
- A State Public Goods Charge will erode local decision-making and will redistribute valuable local resources to satisfy water quality and supply issues that are in some cases not of statewide concern.


## A State Public Goods Charge on Water is an Inappropriate and Inefficient Method of Funding Solutions

- A State Public Goods Charge on water bills is not the appropriate mechanism to fund water solutions or address the water quality and water supply problems faced by some disadvantaged communities.
- Many of the water ratepayers that would pay a State Public Goods Charge are low-income themselves or are on fixed incomes. A State Public Goods Charge would effectively constitute a regressive tax on those that may not be in a disadvantaged community, as defined, but are in challenging economic circumstances nonetheless.
- Layering a State Public Goods Charge on water bills will create a large state bureaucracy and will not be an efficient solution or sound policy.


## Adopted by the RWA Board on November 12, 2015

- Using local resources to fund new state programs will equate to less resources going to fix local water reliability and water quality issues.
- More appropriate funding sources should be pursued to address a problem that is in the general public's interest to solve.
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