

Kevin Lumes - La Reina

10

~~BYE - ZZ~~

FLIGHT: B

Open Public Forum - Sat 10/26 Pattern A Round 1 - 9:00

Room: ROOM TBA

508

2019 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only Tournament

ASAP

Flip for Sides: Perfect Score Academy JK & DH

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth Leadership JX & CL

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
N2	Joseph Kim	1	99
N1	Danny Hong	3	91

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
A2	Jessica Xue	2	93
A1	Chloe Leong	4	89

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? \_\_\_\_\_

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Hong

Very well constructed case. I would have liked to see more itemized impacts. The conclusion you draw is always very vague and hard to weigh. Still, a very confident speaker overall. Cross examination questions and responses were very hard to follow. Flow is weak during summary. You are re-reading cards the AFF has already criticized, without responding to the criticism.

Kim

You are not really addressing ANY of the AFF points. You are just re-referencing your own points and claiming the AFF points don't matter for some unspecified reason. You spend too much of CX arguing, it really hurts if you try and make points outside of CX because you let your opponents to respond. You largely ignore the work your opponents do on debt traps. They DID address Italy and Myanmar. The only point you give your way was the Muslim human rights and you are exaggerating what the card actually says to the point of dishonesty.

Page 10/66 - Oct-26 08:50 am

Leong

Your case needs to be signposted a little better, I think it might be a reading problem. Also your first and second points feel a little too similar. Well constructed Questions, need to be a little more confident. Flow is a little weak on the summary speech. You are re-reading cards the AFF has already criticized without responding to the criticism. The only real solid new card really good Summary analysis but I would like it to be backed up by evidence. Also Concession camp is not a new point.

Xue

Clash on the flow was very good. You obviously know what you are talking about. CX was frustrating. Your opponent is being very non-specific and a little dodge heavy. You don't really follow up and you let him get away with a lot. You do largely ignore the work your opponents do on the debt traps. They DID address Italy and Myanmar. The only point on the flow giving your way is the Muslim human rights. Very well done final focus but you can't claim the Muslim Holocaust won't happen for sure if the other side has a card says it is already happening. Their card says it is already happening. Their card says it is already happening. Their card says it is already happening. Their card says it is already happening.

VEGETARIAN

Kevin Kunes - La Reina

17

~~BYE - ZZ~~

FLIGHT: A

Open Public Forum - Sat 10/26 Pattern A Round 1 - 9:00

Room: ROOM TBA

509

2019 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only Tournament

ASAP

Flip for Sides: Perfect Score Academy AC & EE

Flip for Sides: Nova 42 Academy JL & DZ

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
1A	Amanda Chu	4	84
2A	Erdem Erkhbileg	1	97

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
2N	Justin Liao	2	93
1N	Daniel Zheng	3	92

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win?

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

*[Handwritten signature]*

La Reina

Chu  
 Lou 2018 is a very interesting take. I think for it to work I need to be convinced that war with China will actually happen in the negative world. Estimated numbers of deaths would also make it easier to weigh against their environmental impacts. Would have been a nice thing to bring up during grand CX during that line of questioning.

Zheng  
 Very elegant case. The food shortages in particular were well impacted. Evidence handled very well to respond to generalized AFF ideas. Especially impressed with 7.6% number. Not buying that priority is reversible = points not a problem. Still the work you do on coal more than makes up for it.

Erkhbileg  
 Rebuttle was hard to flow. Felt like it was way too general, did not address their points at all. Answers to pollution was much better and undercut their whole cases, but it fell apart a little around answers to coal specifically. Fantastic CX. Fell apart a little during grand CX. The impacts on your china war ought weigh easily, but you make no comment about how likely it is after the No3 claims. Nuclear war from china will never happen.

Liao  
 Overall very nice rebuttles, but I think you get a little lost addressing their point about war. Still I buy that death is worse than debt and you utilize post CX sessions w/d. Excellent CX in general. Opponents are not dropping what you say they are dropping, but contextualizing an EU China war as a trade war is undercutting much of the Affirmative offense. The phrase "Climate change is not reversible, Debt is reversible" was very convincing to me.

Giovanni Pivas - ELAC

14 ~~BYE 22~~  
 FLIGHT: B  
 Room: ROOM TBA 517 Open Public Forum - Sat 10/26 Pattern A Round 1 - 9:00  
 ASAP 2019 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only Tournament

Flip for Sides: Perfect Score Academy DK & CK				Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth Leadership SH & MA			
Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)	Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
<del>Pos</del>	Dorin Kim	M(4)	87	<del>Pos</del>	Shirlyn Huang	P(3)	90
<del>Pos</del>	Clarice Kim	L(2)	93	<del>Pos</del>	Madeline Ang	M(1)	95

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate. Low Point Win? \_\_\_\_\_

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE: [Signature] JUDGE'S AFFILIATION: ELAC - hired

Neg Fed - all of neg  
 try to cross apply your  
 Da  
 - need to link them to your  
 contention that activates your  
 war contention  
 - pick up the contentions  
 they dropped on your case

att Fed -  
 MG - you were clear and great  
 usage of Debate lingo/saying knowing  
 links and impacts  
 - explain how links are important and  
 how that hurts the Neg


~~Decision~~ RDF - with neg ~~was~~ didnt explain their dis ad  
 to stand firmly + att was able to counter enough,  
 almost each point to win the round

Giovanni Rivas - ELAC

5 ~~BYE-ZZ~~  
 FLIGHT: A  
 Open Public Forum - Sat 10/26 Pattern A Round 1 - 9:00  
 Room: ~~ROOM TBA~~ 517  
 2019 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only Tournament  
 ASAP

Flip for Sides: <del>Moderator vs</del> <del>ST &amp; VS</del> <del>ST &amp; VS</del>				Flip for Sides: La Reina High School and Middle School SR & JR			
Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)	Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
MC	Sabrina Tang	1	93	MG	Seraphina Rios	4	80
LC	Vismaya Sista	2	90	PM	Joya Roy	3	85

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate. Low Point Win? \_\_\_\_\_

  
 JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

ELAC - hired  
 JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

LO - repeating the positions point is needed  
 - explain how they dont garner any advantage  
 - pick up what they dropped and use it

PM - dont give up your time and more explanation on your points.

MO - did well supporting your partner, did well reinforcing your position with more info  
 - pick up what they dropped and use it

MG - use your full time dont be attraid to explain your point again

RDF - the Neg gets the vote for countering the opponents points. Sold their impacts well and partner keep track of rounds.

Naren Borjigin - AofHL

6 ~~BYE-ZZ~~  
FLIGHT: B  
Open Public Forum - Sat 10/26 Pattern A Round 1 - 9:00  
Room: ~~ROOM-TBA~~ 457  
ASAP  
2019 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only Tournament

Flip for Sides: <del>Kudos College of Youth</del> Leadership SR & PH				Flip for Sides: Wilshire Academy AL & RK			
Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)	Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
<u>N</u>	Sophia Ren	<u>3</u>	<u>89</u>	<u>A</u>	Alex Lee	<u>1</u>	<u>93</u>
<u>N</u>	Pearl Hsieh	<u>4</u>	<u>87</u>	<u>A</u>	Rich Kang	<u>2</u>	<u>90</u>

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate. Low Point Win? \_\_\_\_\_

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE \_\_\_\_\_ JUDGE'S AFFILIATION \_\_\_\_\_

Winning team has clear arguments to the benefit/cost analysis, with evidence thoroughly defended their Aff position.

Natalie Yates - AdHc

1

~~BYE-77~~  
FLIGHT: B

Open Public Forum - Sat 10/26 Pattern A Round 1 - 9:00

Room: ~~REDACTED~~ 511

2019 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only Tournament

ASAP

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth Leadership SJ & JW

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
Ng 1st	Stephanie Jing	4	90
Ng 1st	Joleen Wong	3	90

Flip for Sides: Wilshire Academy KA & BA

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
Aff 2nd	Kriste An	2	97
Aff 2nd	Brandon An	1	98

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win?  NO

AdHc

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Aff

- Great impacts. ~~clear and concise~~ well thought argument.
- Super clear and concise
- Excellent job supporting your CBA.
- All points all flawed well speaking clear and
- Strong cards/rebuttals.

Ng

great point China is a big powerhouse and though EU is it can lose certain power if someone leaves ~~your~~ ~~EU~~   
 Example

- longer time frame more cost. excellent point!
- ~~EU~~ EU has rejected China uncertain Pot
- Lives being saved but Authoritarian reform would spread so quickly

great points - speaker one  
Please speak louder and clearer  
I missed some of your open speech  
the fields

Natalie Yates - Act HL

15

~~BYE ZZ~~

FLIGHT: A

Open Public Forum - Sat 10/26 Pattern A Round 1 - 9:00

Room: ROOM TBA

511

2019 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only Tournament

ASAP

Flip for Sides: Perfect Score Academy AC & RL

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth Leadership AC & CL

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
Aff	Ariel Choe	2	94
Aff	Ryan Lee	1	95

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
Neg	Andy Chen	3	85
Neg	Claire Liu	4	82

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win?

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Academy of Hyperlearning

Neg. excellent points on oil + gas, coal making more points. ~~and about 1025 item card.~~

- Alternative En Japan

- Risk of agenda and military progression great as well

- point that money is going to places that already has roads so no real increase to \$ and economy + Debt Trap

Deepen talk pt.

Aff

~~Great~~ Great crossover?? but I did not think you ~~argued~~ argued your ~~point~~ point regarding an risky recession point well. on the climate change ~~point~~ point. Neg made a good point about the amount of influence Ed would have when coal is 80%

Excellent = speaking skills. You argued clearly but I believe Neg rebutted.

Eunice Kang

9

~~BYE - ZZ~~  
FLIGHT: A B

Open Public Forum - Sat 10/26 Pattern A Round 1 - 9:00

Room: ROOM TBA 455  
ASAP

2019 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only Tournament

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth  
Leadership HX & AL

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
1N	Hanbo Xu	3	86
2N	Andy Liu	4	84

Flip for Sides: ModernBrain RA & AR

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
2A	Ryan Aminloo	1	99
1A	Aryan Ray	2	98

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? \_\_\_\_\_

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

ModernBrain won this round because Kudos didn't have their arguments matching with their criterion. If they made a few of their points in CF a lot stronger (Global Warming and Spying), they would be a stronger and better team.



Eunice Kang - Wilshire

12	<del>BYE ZZ</del> FLIGHT: <del>3A</del>																								
Room: ROOM TBA	455 Open Public Forum - Sat 10/26 Pattern A Round 1 - 9:00 2019 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only Tournament																								
ASAP																									
<b>Flip for Sides:</b> Kudos College of Youth Leadership KH & SG	<b>Flip for Sides:</b> Nova 42 Academy GD & UP																								
<table border="1"><thead><tr><th>Pos</th><th>Name</th><th>RK (1-4)</th><th>PTS (100-70)</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td><u>2A</u></td><td>Kaitlyn Huang</td><td><u>2</u></td><td><u>80</u></td></tr><tr><td><u>1A</u></td><td>Susie Gu</td><td><u>3</u></td><td><u>83</u></td></tr></tbody></table>	Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)	<u>2A</u>	Kaitlyn Huang	<u>2</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>1A</u>	Susie Gu	<u>3</u>	<u>83</u>	<table border="1"><thead><tr><th>Pos</th><th>Name</th><th>RK (1-4)</th><th>PTS (100-70)</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td><u>2N</u></td><td>Giuseppe DiMassa</td><td><u>1</u></td><td><u>93</u></td></tr><tr><td><u>1N</u></td><td>Urja Parekh</td><td><u>4</u></td><td><u>75</u></td></tr></tbody></table>	Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)	<u>2N</u>	Giuseppe DiMassa	<u>1</u>	<u>93</u>	<u>1N</u>	Urja Parekh	<u>4</u>	<u>75</u>
Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)																						
<u>2A</u>	Kaitlyn Huang	<u>2</u>	<u>80</u>																						
<u>1A</u>	Susie Gu	<u>3</u>	<u>83</u>																						
Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)																						
<u>2N</u>	Giuseppe DiMassa	<u>1</u>	<u>93</u>																						
<u>1N</u>	Urja Parekh	<u>4</u>	<u>75</u>																						
<b>Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.</b>	Low Point Win? _____																								
<u>Eunice Kang</u> JUDGE'S SIGNATURE	<u>Wilshire</u> JUDGE'S AFFILIATION																								

Nova 42 won because they had stronger points to both teams' criterion. There were dropped subpoints that Kudos just left and rebuttals that were never mentioned. In the Nova 42 gave the best reasons to vote for Neg.

Colin Ho - Nova 42

16

~~BYE-ZZ~~

FLIGHT: B

Open Public Forum - Sat 10/26 Pattern A Round 1 - 9:00

Room: ROOM TBA

525

2019 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only Tournament

ASAP

Flip for Sides: ModernBrain DG & JK

Neg

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth Leadership AJ & SC

Att

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
1	Dea Gupta	2	88
2	Jenny Kim	1	87

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
2	Annie Jiang	4	85
1	Sarah Chen	3	89

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? \_\_\_\_\_

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Prep

Prep

0:45

2:33  
1:48

Dea - Slow down a little. Good emphasis. Strong speaker. Articulate a little more. Defending was good in summary speech.

Sarah - Slow down. Good job on summary. Try to defend more instead of attacking though for summary. The last thing you want to do is drop your case.

Jenny - Slow down. Your mouth is talking faster than you can speak which is why you stumble sometimes. Good coverage.

Annie - Try to have all your cards in order before speaking so you are not stumbling too much. Slow down to prevent stuttering. Good coverage.

Neg: Good job on refutation and outweighing. You proved both frameworks, but a refutation for their framework should have been mentioned in the rebuttal speech not summary. You guys won on econ, hegemony, poverty, environment, and proved both frameworks

AFF: You need to bring up the non-unique argument in rebuttal not summary. You say that both contentions are non-unique but only explain how the climate change argument is non-unique. You also need to say how all the green tech things that China has is enough to outweigh all the harm the BRIC is doing to the environment. Good job refuting the FW.

### REF


I voted for Neg because they outweigh with environment. They explain that the work China is doing to help the environment is not enough. Also Neg shows how the AFF side puts more people in poverty through climate change. They also prove that the debt trap will actually hurt the economy. The aff needed to defend better in summary which is why so many points were won on their side.

Colin Ho - Nova 42

4 ~~BYE-7Z~~  
**FLIGHT: B**  
 Open Public Forum - Sat 10/26 Pattern A Round 1 - 9:00  
**Room: ROOM TBA 525** 2019 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only Tournament  
**ASAP**

Flip for Sides: ModernBrain LH & AF Neg				Flip for Sides: La Reina High School and Middle School SF & RG Aff			
Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)	Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
1	Liz Hsiu	2	86	2	Sarah Fireman	4	85
2	Anna Feng	1	90	1	Regan Gama	3	87

Above, **CIRCLE** the **SIDE** and **TEAM** that you believe won the debate. Low Point Win? \_\_\_\_\_

  
 JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Nova 42  
 JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Liz - try to face the judge during cf. Volume was good. Good job on coverage in summary but their cont it was never brought up.

Anna - Work on coverage. Lots of points dropped. Speaking and energy is great! Work on sign posting it makes it easier to flow. Refutations are good, but if you attacked all their points you would have killed up all your time. Face judge during cross good voters.

Regan - Slow down (had 1 min left) Speech was very good and clear. Bring up your strongest arguments and points you won in summary (ie, environment point) so your partner can expand on them in final focus.

Sarah - work on coverage and refutations. Try not to restate your points to attack the opponent's case. Bring new evidence. Do not drop points! Good job on sign posting. Face judge during cross. Speak a little better. Expand on voters.

Aff: Your case is very strong. You need to attack the 2nd contention about digital silk road in rebuttal speech. Saying it is off topic is not good enough to take down the argument. Your coverage was good. Try not to restate contentions as a rebuttal. You need to mention your cont 4 in EVERY speech especially when it was dropped. It is your biggest argument due to the impact. Try to use more evidence to back up your claims. Great job on impact though!

Neg: I like your cont. 2, but tell me the impact of why censorship and the digital silk road is bad. I also need to know if China is going to put censorship in the EU. Try not to drop their contentions because their biggest argument about the environment was dropped. Use more evidence to back up your claims.

---

I voted for negation because I think that they won on economy and telecommunications. I would have given aff the ballot if they brought up their contention 4 in other speeches. Work on coverage for both sides! I also think that the argument about the debt trap went untouched which is why the economy argument was won on the neg. Very good and close debate, great job!

Victor Hugo Herrera - ELAC

8 ~~BYE ZZ~~  
 FLIGHT: B  
 Open Public Forum - Sat 10/26 Pattern A Round 1 - 9:00  
 Room: ~~ROOM TBA~~ 451  
 ASAP  
 2019 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only Tournament

Flip for Sides: Nova 42 Academy EL & VL				Flip for Sides: Perfect Score Academy KK & TH			
Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)	Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
A	Emmy Lin	1	94	N	Kaiden Ko	2	91
A	Victoria Liao	23	90	N	Tayten Han	4	89

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate. Low Point Win? \_\_\_\_\_

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE [Signature] JUDGE'S AFFILIATION ELAC - Hire

AFF:

PM - Good stats.  
Elaborate more on how BRI solves.

MG - Excellent analysis of neg arguments.  
Don't forfeit time.  
You lose 2 min you could use strengthening your case and examining Neg.

Neg:

LO - Link and impact was missing.  
• What is link between Chinese oppression in its country and BRI in EU?

MO - Time allocation is important.  
Give enough time to defense of Neg.  
I like "empty promise" argument, but analysis and evidence to support was missing.  
- Sri Lanka not EU.

Victor Hugo Herrera - ELAC

3

~~BYE ZZ~~

FLIGHT: A

Open Public Forum - Sat 10/26 Pattern A Round 1 - 9:00

Room: ~~ROOM TBA~~ 451

2019 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only Tournament

ASAP

Flip for Sides: Wilshire Academy MH & SL

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth Leadership KG & ED

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
A	Matthew Hyun	2	84
A	Sean Lee	4	79

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
N	Kevin Guo	3	82
N	Ethan Dong	1	89

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? \_\_\_\_\_

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

*Victor Hugo Herrera*

Hire ELAC

4A  
4N  
3C  
3N  
2C  
2A  
2N  
1GL  
2A  
2N

Aff speaks first.

Aff:

- It is better to not forfeit time. Analyze both your case and opposition.

IMPACT

- 3 minutes lost
- Your arguments are strong, but the analysis was missing.

Neg:

- Good job analyzing, however there were a lot of dropped arguments.
- Time allocation needs improvement.
- I understand the authoritarian argument, however Neg failed to adequately Link this to resolution.

Eric Strauss - Philharmonic

13

~~BYE - ZZ~~

FLIGHT: ~~B~~

Open Public Forum - Sat 10/26 Pattern A Round 1 - 9:00

Room: ROOM 7BA

2019 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only Tournament

ASAP

Flip for Sides: Wilshire Academy HS & AL

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of York  
Leadership KK & MC

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
1	Hadyeh Saborouh	3	84
3	Andrew Lee	4	83

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
2	Katherine Kligns	1	88
4	Melody Chang	2	87

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? No

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Silence = Assent not consent

Neg wins: better case work, better coverage, better impacting, better analysis

Both first speakers deliver well but the neg casework is much stronger. Neg enters the first cross w/ a large schematic advantage.

@ Andrew: Saying to prefer your FW is not a reason to prefer your F.W!

@ Melody: a source indict is a fairly weak counter. Overall, good rebuttal speech though. You didn't really address their moldavian consumer argument, though.

@ Hadyeh: "Don't you agree?" weak!

@ Katherine: "we have better evidence" is not a reason to vote for you

great job xtr Dines Authoritar

excellent summary! AT on AFF infra args in summary!



~~WINS~~ Eric Strauss - Philharmonic

~~BYE-ZZ~~  
FLIGHT: A

Sun/Chang Kudos wins  
Open Public Forum - Sat 10/26 Pattern A Round 1 - 9:00  
2019 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only Tournament

Room: ~~ROOM TBA~~ 521  
ASAP Aff 2nd Neg 1st

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth Leadership JS & SC				Flip for Sides: Wilshire Academy ML & BS			
Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)	Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
2	Joy Sun	2	88	1	Monica Lee	4	75
4	Seth Chang	1	90	3	Ben Suh	3	76

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate. Low Point Win? No

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE: [Signature] JUDGE'S AFFILIATION: Philharmonic Debate

Good job extending FW  
 in summary, Joy!  
 Aff did much better job on the flow.  
 Aff wins

Tip: word "are you aware..." questions @ monica

Good job on the flow Seth - you were right to collapse multiple contentions as expressing the same warrant.  
 Good job extending FW! But you left a full min of time on the clock!

Tip: "Can I have the first/next question?" not cool!

Don't do! Boo!

No!!

Naren Borjigin - Prof HL

2

~~BYE - ZZ~~  
FLIGHT: B

Open Public Forum - Sat 10/26 Pattern A Round 1 - 9:00  
2019 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only Tournament

Room: ~~ROOM TBA~~ 457  
ASAP

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth Leadership NS				Flip for Sides: <u>ModernBrain IG &amp; RC</u>			
Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)	Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
K	Nancy Sun	3	87	A	Isabel Gan	2	89
	ZZZ				Meghana Ryan-Choi Guntur,	1	91

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate. Low Point Win? \_\_\_\_\_

*Naren*

\_\_\_\_\_  
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

\_\_\_\_\_  
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Nancy: good job taking two person!

suggestion: don't say "I'm sorry" in your speech

winning team has through argument supported by evidences