

75

Sofelia Wilkenman - ELAC

FLIGHT: A

Open Public Forum - Sat 10/26 Pattern A Round 3 - 1:00PM

Room: E3 ~~401~~

2019 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only Tournament

ASAP

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth Leadership AC & CL *AF*

Flip for Sides: La Reina High School and Middle School SR & AN *Neg*

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
<u>1</u>	Andy Chen	<u>1</u>	<u>95</u>
<u>2</u>	Claire Liu	<u>2</u>	<u>95</u>

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
<u>1</u>	Seraphina Rios	<u>4</u>	<u>80</u>
<u>2</u>	Amaia Nordquist	<u>3</u>	<u>80</u>

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win?

Safe
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

For Hire
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

use CF TO Trap in Logic
NOT prove wrong
good speaking

~~use CF to trap them in
their logic not prove wrong
good speaking~~

Smart claims BUT
expand on IPpts &
links

68

Sofelia Wilkenman - ELAC

FLIGHT: B

Open Public Forum - Sat 10/26 Pattern A Round 3 - 1:00PM

2019 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only Tournament

Room: E3 ~~451~~

ASAP

Flip for Sides: Perfect Score Academy DK & CK

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
1	Dorin Kim	1	95
2	Clarice Kim	2	95/90

Flip for Sides: Nova 42 Academy EL & VL

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
2	Emmy Lin	4	90/80
1	Victoria Liao	3	90/85

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win?

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Work on making CF questions short & to the point

expand on links &

Impacts

good speaking

Please no Phos while other debats are going on

expand on links & impacts

Dropped some points

66

Naren Borjigin - AofHL

FLIGHT: B

Open Public Forum - Sat 10/26 Pattern A Round 3 - 1:00PM

Room: E3 425

2019 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only Tournament

ASAP

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth Leadership KK & MC

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
N	Katherine Kligys	3	88
N	Melody Chang	4	87

Flip for Sides: ModernBrain ST & VS

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
A	Sabrina Tang	1	90
A	Vismaya Sista	2	89

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Neg: states cost/benefit
but dropped ~~state~~
in rebuttals.

Aff. strong contention
evidence great follow up
questions
~~rebuttal~~

64

Naren Borjigin - AofHL

FLIGHT: A

Open Public Forum - Sat 10/26 Pattern A Round 3 - 1:00PM

Room: E3 425

2019 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only Tournament

ASAP

Flip for Sides: Perfect Score Academy AC & RL

Flip for Sides: ModernBrain LH & AF

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)	Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
A	Ariel Choe	2	89	N	Liz Hsiu	3	88
A	Ryan Lee	1	90	N	Anna Feng	4	87

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

WFO
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

~~Aff~~
Aff: Contention win with impact

~~Aff~~
Neg: good point but not as clear cost / effect analysis

78

Gloria Gong - Nova 42

FLIGHT: B

Open Public Forum - Sat 10/26 Pattern A Round 3 - 1:00PM

2019 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only Tournament

Room: E3 417

ASAP

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth Leadership NS & NS

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
NEG AFF	Nancy Sun	3	85
	Nancy Sun		

Flip for Sides: Perfect Score Academy KK & TH

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
AFF NEG	Kaiden Ko	1	95
AFF NEG	Tayten Han ①	2	94

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____


JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Nova 42.
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

cost-benefit

Debt trap.

* formulate your contentions in a clear way.

I can't tell what is your contention what is your evidence.

Good job - though there is only one person on your team, you still carried through.

- economic recession.
- trade routes
- economic security.

- clear points in rebuttal
- showed impacts in both worlds.

- good job on showing consequences in neg's world.

74

Gloria Gong - Nova 42

FLIGHT: A

Open Public Forum - Sat 10/26 Pattern A Round 3 - 1:00PM

Room: E3 417

2019 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only Tournament

ASAP

Flip for Sides: Wilshire Academy HS & AL

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth Leadership HX & AL

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)	Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
NEG	Hadyeh Saborouh ①	④	70	AFF	Hanbo Xu ①	①	85
NEG	Andrew Lee	⑤	75	AFF	Andy Liu	②	80

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

Gloria Gong

Nova 42

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

* KNOW WHICH SIDE YOU ARE ON.

Criteria: China benefits

- China has to spend a lot of \$.
Won't help economy.

* Don't include so many contention, instead expand on them.

How does focusing on the benefits of China connected to the EU?

You guys were debating another topic by only focusing on China!!!

Analyze the ~~part~~ topic right next time.

Cost benefit

→ trade
• china

→ travel infrastructure.

→ social & cultural exchanges.

71

Eunice Kang - Wilshire

FLIGHT: B

Open Public Forum - Sat 10/26 Pattern A Round 3 - 1:00PM

2019 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only Tournament

Room: E3 451

ASAP

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth Leadership SR & PH

Flip for Sides: La Reina High School and Middle School SF & RG

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
<u>1A</u>	Sophia Ren	<u>1</u>	<u>85</u>
<u>2A</u>	Pearl Hsieh	<u>3</u>	<u>79</u>

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
<u>2N</u>	Sarah Fireman	<u>4</u>	<u>77</u>
<u>1N</u>	Regan Gama	<u>2</u>	<u>84</u>

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

[Signature]
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Wilshire
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Kudos won this round because La Reina dropped and mistook their arguments. La Reina had very good points but unfortunately were never mentioned again. If La Reina supported their ideas more, they would become a stronger duo.

63

Eunice Kang - Wilshire

FLIGHT: A

Open Public Forum - Sat 10/26 Pattern A Round 3 - 1:00PM

2019 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only Tournament

Room: E3 451

ASAP

Flip for Sides: Perfect Score Academy AC & EE

Flip for Sides: ModernBrain IG & MG

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
1A	Amanda Chu	4	87
2A	Erdem Erkhbileg	2	90

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
1N	Isabel Gan	1	93
2N	Meghana Gunturi	3	89

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

WILSHIRE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

ModernBrain won this round because their impacts were clearly stated and brought to the very end. Also, Perfect Score academy mistook Debt trap to be one of negs argument which wasn't the case. Both had very strong points.

65

Giovanni Rivas - ELAC

FLIGHT: A

Open Public Forum - Sat 10/26 Pattern A Round 3 - 1:00PM

2019 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only Tournament

Room: E3 455

ASAP

Flip for Sides: Nova 42 Academy JL & DZ

Flip for Sides: Wilshire Academy AL & RK

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
ML	Justin Liao	1	93
LO	Daniel Zheng	3	87

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
PM	Alex Lee	2	70
PR	Rich Kang	4	25

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

ML - impact more, why is this important
 - explain how the other team is ineffective
 - showing your inter/cats is important

PR - impact more, why is this important
 - explain how drops are bad and explain how that helps you

LO - need clear organization of where you are
 CFI was progressive and utilized
 impact more

PR - impact more
 - allocate time to repetitive points
 - the criteria explain how yours better if you want, your beti

PR - the best wins for rebuttals to affs contributions, wonderful clash and how aff is intentional to their goal

72

Giovanny Rivas - ELAC

FLIGHT: B
Open Public Forum - Sat 10/26 Pattern A Round 3 - 1:00PM
2019 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only Tournament

Room: E3 455

ASAP

Flip for Sides: ModernBrain RA & AR *af4*

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth *neg*
Leadership SJ & JW

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
<i>MA</i>	Ryan Aminloo	<u>2</u>	<u>89</u>
<i>PM</i>	Aryan Ray	<u>3</u>	<u>95</u>

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
<i>LO</i>	Stephanie Jing	<u>4</u>	<u>80</u>
<i>MO</i>	Joleen Wong	<u>1</u>	<u>92</u>

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win?

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

MA - saying muslims are not a willing grant matter for money, need organization to where you are at the time

LO - argued out the foundation well but impacts to fear, stereotypes, etc.

everyone - organization

PM - explain how you solving mod sales lives and benefits everyone - give ammo to neg - that BRI has lost money, strategically you used team grants

MO - great work pointing out their are no links, how this BRI doesn't work - explain how letting china access and keep people in concentration camps

FOC - this does to - neg req or the ability to counter attack and negates the opponents

73

Victor Hugo Herrera - ELAC

FLIGHT: B

Open Public Forum - Sat 10/26 Pattern A Round 3 - 1:00PM

2019 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only Tournament

Room: E3 408

ASAP

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth

Leadership SH & MA
2nd Speaker

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
A	Shirlyn Huang	3	88
A	Madeline Ang	4	85

1st Speaker
Flip for Sides: Wilshire Academy KA & BA

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
N	Kriste An	2	93
N	Brandon An	1	96

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

ELAC Hire
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

PM - You set parameters well!
Allows for a constructive debate.

Improve on structure to create a more cohesive argument.

- I don't buy that 5 billion people will become not racist from a railroad.

- Time allocation can help you give good time to explain each claim.

MG -

A lot of claims but no evidence makes it hard to believe arguments potency.

- Good counter to Sr. Lanka.

- Defend yourself more, you weren't wrong with potential increase in unity, but did not properly answer questions.

LO - Excellent structure of your arguments.

But you leave a lot of the impacts and significance up to interpretation.

Creative thinking.

Overall, analyze more and also make sure to address opposing case more in rebuttal.

MO -

- Great work analyzing your state.

- On-case argument needs work.

- You do well to support case, but hardly have affected against opposing case.

- Good job capitalizing on ↓ prejudice argument.

- Work on structure.

76

Victor Hugo Herrera - ELAC

FLIGHT: A

Open Public Forum - Sat 10/26 Pattern A Round 3 - 1:00PM

2019 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only Tournament

Room: E3 408

ASAP

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth Leadership JS & SC

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
N	Joy Sun	1	89
N	Seth Chang	4	79

Flip for Sides: ModernBrain DG & JK

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
A	Dea Gupta	2	88
A	Jenny Kim	3	85

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

ELAC Hive

PM — A lot of statements were made, but an adequate analysis of your claims was missing.

Don't just say an impact, elaborate and explain.

— Work on structure and on flow to improve on efficiency of argumentation.

MG —

Trust yourself. You have a sharp mind, but the panic didn't allow you to capitalize on your claims.

Find the words, amplify your partner's points.

LD — There was hardly any links to connect some of your arguments to resolution.

I understand you, but give me a clear explanation of the significance and magnitude.

You say Cost Benefits, but provide no net loss or gain.

MO —

Your analysis of C¹ & C² was great!

You trailed off though and were unable to properly address everything else.

Also did not expand on partner's arguments, leaving your team vulnerable.

— Time allocation.

Rhinell Navarro - ELAC

FLIGHT: A

Open Public Forum - Sat 10/26 Pattern A Round 3 - 1:00PM

2019 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only Tournament

77

Room: E3 431

ASAP

Flip for Sides: Wilshire Academy ML & BS

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
<u>AFF</u> LIP	Monica Lee	<u>3</u>	<u>28</u>
<u>AFF</u>	Ben Suh	<u>4</u>	<u>27</u>

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth Leadership JX & CL

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
<u>N</u>	Jessica Xue	<u>2</u>	<u>90</u>
<u>N</u>	Chloe Leong	<u>1</u>	<u>91</u>

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win?

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

ELAC

AFF

Monica Lee

- 1) good point
- 2) you need to be specific
- 3) when you find it get evidence to back basic underlying questions regarding opp points

NEG

Jessica Xue

- 1) you articulate each bullet point very well
- 2) need to answer 20% more

Chloe

- 1) good job of rebutting
- 2) nice when she says which points require case to respond
- 3) had her time

Ben Suh

- 1) Robert says
- 2) stay focused
- 3) when do the neg drop regarding your argument
- 4) stay focused with the points to win

70

Rhinell Navarro - ELAC

FLIGHT: B

Open Public Forum - Sat 10/26 Pattern A Round 3 - 1:00PM

Room: E3 431

2019 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only Tournament

ASAP

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth Leadership SD & MY

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
AF NFG LIP	Sophia Dai	1	88 90
AF	Melody Yu	32	89

Flip for Sides: Nova 42 Academy GD & UP

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
AF	Giuseppe DiMassa	75	88
AF	Urja Parekh	24	87

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

ELAC

Key:
- very structured
- good arguments
- solid facts

AFEL:
- very structured
- good arguments
- solid facts

Great analysis of the

62

Tiya Basilio - AofHL

FLIGHT: A

Open Public Forum - Sat 10/26 Pattern A Round 3 - 1:00PM

568

Room: E3 455

2019 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only Tournament

ASAP

Flip for Sides: Wilshire Academy MH & SL <i>Aff</i>	Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth Leadership KH & SG <i>Neg.</i>
--	--

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)	Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
2	Matthew Hyun	2	84 78	2	Kaitlyn Huang	1	78 85
1	Sean Lee	4	82 75	1	Susie Gu	3	76 83

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? NO

Tiya Basilio
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

AofHL
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Susie - Please speak up a little. Be confident! The summary speech should be used to answer the arguments against your points. Don't say "stuff like that."

Sean - Gesture more. Time speech so you're not caught off guard when time goes off. A "contention" is a synonym for point. A "sub-point" is a supporting detail to a larger topic. For example, if the point is "It will hurt the environment" then a sub-point would be "It will hurt the water" or "It will hurt the air" etc. Summary should be used to answer arguments against your case.

Matthew - The death point should have probably been brought up first considering its impact. Take up all your time. Use cards when rebuttaling more. Don't say a URL for evidence. State impacts of the 5g points.

Kaitlyn - Use more evidence in your rebuttals. For example don't just make the claim that China would spy with a 5g network without a card.

RFV: I need solid cards and numbers for save lives on the Aff. The Neg. gave big impacts w/ evidence for global warming which went unrefuted.

Aff 30 seconds

P1 - Benefits the EU

China better infrastructure

~~BRI~~
~~EU~~

Neg 30 Sec
30 Sec

P1 - China power

- lacks transparency

- Geo political

- influence

- Influence over developed countries

P2 - China Europe

Can trade

- Cost of transportation cheaper & faster

↓ Sig. investment

↓ Mild authoritarianism to totalitarianism
won't be the strongest
Due to amount of countries joined

New infrastructure they will damage environment

yeah that's it

Things like that

P3 - Death trap

- Debt

- Give China natural oil

- Better infrastructure
- Economic growth

Better wording

Climate Change

NO FARD

Extendable Impact??

P3 - BRI have impact on climate change

- Habit loss

- ↑ pollution 250

- Coastal

- ↑ green house gas

P4 - SC Network

- Faster

- Cheaper

what is impact

Spy on them infrastructure. Not new

Go onto existing

P5 - Saves lives

↓ Death

NO #'s

long term

- Climate most hurt

1.3 Billion
204 million

poverty in

69 **Tiya Basilio - AofHL**
FLIGHT: B
 Open Public Forum - Sat 10/26 Pattern A Round 3 - 1:00PM
 Room: ⁵⁶⁸ ~~E3-435~~ 2019 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only Tournament
 ASAP

Flip for Sides: Perfect Score Academy JK & DH				Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth Leadership AJ & SC			
Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)	Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
2	Joseph Kim	1	97 97	2	Annie jiang	2	96 96
1	Danny Hong	4	94 94	1	Sarah Chen	3	95 95

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate. Low Point Win? NO

Tiya Basilio Tiya Basilio
 JUDGE'S SIGNATURE JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Sarah
 1st -
 Neg
 Danny
 1st
 Aff

Good clear tone. Understood case well. However, I found it grasping that China is painted as a little too perfect. You are contradicting your own case when you concede Muslims are harmed.
 - Please clearly state where you got your sources during your speech

Annie
 2nd
 Neg -

Good clear tone. I was looking for a more direct refutation to the point on Muslims & how China can't be trusted but nice line by line.

Joseph
 2nd
 Aff

Good focus on point they are dropping. Good comparing carbon and showing how it is insignificant. Give more impact to global warming when extending.

RFV: I thought that China in power would harm the countries involved because Neg proved China is out for themselves.

1st Aff 20 Sec
35 Sec

Cost Benefit Analy

Pt1 - BRI Countries

- 6.4%
- Power systems
- Impact - Economic infrastructure

Deteriorate infrastructure
 Political strings & Economic w/ debt trap
 Italy heavily indebted

Pt2 - Econ. Trade

- Billions gain.
- Cash crops
- Jobs
- 1.3 Trillion

Influence to China
 No win-win
 Power vs. Economics

Need more evidence!

Can't compare EU to smaller countries

Pt3 - Well-Being of World

1.3 Billion in poverty

- ↓
- 32 million out of poverty
- Canceling debts
- 80% of aid in Africa

EU

Renewable Belt Road Initiative
 ↓ emissions by plants
 Bio-mass worse than coal

30 sec

2nd Neg

Net benefits

Pt1 - Gain power

- Exports goods
- Spread geo-political influence
- ↓
- Harms ethnic Muslim 14 million Muslims
- Harvest organs from minorities while still alive

Pt2 - Bankrupt economies

- Privatize national assets
- Gain control cyber
- SPY
- Autonomy

Pt3 - Climate Change

- ~~Coal~~ us

WHO
 4.2 million's
 Pollution
 26 Billion Coal