

113

Melissa De Leon - ELAC

FLIGHT: B

Open Public Forum - Sat 10/26 Pattern A Round 4 - 3:30PM

Room: E3 357

2019 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only Tournament

ASAP

APF 2nd

NEG 1st

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth Leadership AJ & SC

Flip for Sides: ModernBrain RA & AR

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
4	Annie Jiang	4	94
2	Sarah Chen	3	96
			190

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
3	Ryan Aminloo	1	100
1	Aryan Ray	2	98
			198

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? NO

Melissa De Leon
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

ELAC
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

RFD: I vote NEG because APF is really non responsive to many key arguments, such as the enviro arguments. APF mishandles the argument by saying that China is reducing emissions & doesn't acknowledge NEG's arguments that it's just in China & not anywhere else.

I buy that the econ arguments never happen because aff doesn't respond sufficiently to the fact that 89% of workers are Chinese, so only China benefits.

over all good job both teams :)

116

Naren Borjigin - AofHL

FLIGHT: B

Open Public Forum - Sat 10/26 Pattern A Round 4 - 3:30PM

Room: E3 455

2019 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only Tournament

ASAP

Flip for Sides: Nova 42 Academy EL & VL

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth Leadership AC & CL

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
A	Emmy Lin	3	88
A	Victoria Liao	4	87

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
N	Andy Chen	1	90
N	Claire Liu	2	89

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____


JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Aff: framework was supported by and followed through.

~~...~~

Neg: good debate overall but rebuttal was bit weak.

115

Naren Borjigin - AofHL

FLIGHT: A

Open Public Forum - Sat 10/26 Pattern A Round 4 - 3:30PM

Room: E3 455

2019 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only Tournament

ASAP

Flip for Sides: Nova 42 Academy GD & UP

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth Leadership KG & ED

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
A	Giuseppe DIMassa	1	93
A	Urja Parekh	4	88

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
N	Kevin Guo	2	90
N	Ethan Dong	3	89

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____


JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION



Aff:

Thoughtfully argued their
Aff position with impact.

Neg:

good follow up on
Contentions demand caveat.

Nadine Yates

101		Miyoung Yoo - AofHL		FLIGHT: B	
Room: E3 451		Open Public Forum - Sat 10/26 Pattern A Round 4 - 3:30PM			
ASAP		2019 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only Tournament			
Flip for Sides: La Reina High School and Middle School SR & AN			Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth Leadership SR & PH		
Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)	Pos	Name
1	Seraphina Rios	3	93	1	Sophia Ren
2	Amaia Nordquist	4	88	2	Pearl Hsieh
Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.			Low Point Win? _____		
					
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE			JUDGE'S AFFILIATION		

Everyone in this round forgets off followers like crazy. Please remember speaking skills are part of this ~~debate~~ ^{round}.

Great debate. I have sided w/ Aff as they had better evidence to support case and impacts. Whiting had some good points regarding Eis lack of need for chrome on the ball of factom. Overall Aff had much more evidence. Great job ^{for} permitting out time frame for Aff's charges. Great debate ~~overall~~ overall.

Natalie Yates - AofHL

106

~~Miyoung Yoo - AofHL~~

FLIGHT: A

Open Public Forum - Sat 10/26 Pattern A Round 4 - 3:30PM

Room: E3 451

2019 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only Tournament

ASAP

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth Leadership KH & SG

Flip for Sides: Wilshire Academy HS & AL

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
2nd Neg	Kaitlyn Huang	8 3	95
1st Neg	Susie Gu	4	94

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
2nd Aff	Hadyeh Saborouh	9 2	96
1st Aff	Andrew Lee	1	99

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? *Yes*

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Aff / Great job both sides.

I disagree with your evidence violations. I feel all ~~of~~ rebutted all of your contentions except CC and that goes across plus had over this was low over shot. You argued your case well but answer of China simulated your points excellent to me as a neutral debater.

Aff / I agree with you and feel that your impacts are supporting and outweigh the Aff.

Your rebuttal to infrastructure and the basically China taking ~~the~~ lowering leverage as Ed was strong but I feel you handled their points well. Ultimately I think you upheld their value and yours.

103

Mike Moran - ELAC

FLIGHT: A

Open Public Forum - Sat 10/26 Pattern A Round 4 - 3:30PM

Room: E3 417

2019 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only Tournament

ASAP

Flip for Sides: ModernBrain ST & VS

NEG


Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
MG	Sabrina Tang	2	89
PA	Vismaya Sista	4	87

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth Leadership SH & MA

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
LO	Shirlyn Huang	3	88
MA	Madeline Ang	1	90

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____


JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Harold - ELAC
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

~~NEG~~ NEG

~~NEG~~ AFF

You need to stay on track, cover all your contentions, ~~and~~

Don't be afraid to turn argument, you did it with AFF's technology argument.

RFD: Look at the flow, AFF's Impact Analysis out weight in this round

4N
4A
3CF
1AN
1A
3CF
2N
2A
3CF
2N
2A

105 **Sam Velasco - ELAC**
FLIGHT: A
 Open Public Forum - Sat 10/26 Pattern A Round 4 - 3:30PM
Room: E3 431 2019 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only Tournament
ASAP

Flip for Sides: ModernBrain IG & MG				Flip for Sides: Perfect Score Academy DK & CK			
Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)	Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
1A	Isabel Gan	1	90	2N	Dorin Kim	4	87
2A	Meghana Gunturi	3	88 88	1N	Clarice Kim	2	89

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate. Low Point Win? _____

[Signature] ELAC

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

43 sec left
20 sec speech

Att Neg

Opp Aff

- INC
- ① clear + organization
 - ② better time allocation between your contentions

- ① clear + good organization + counter / links to opp

- 2NC
- ① Use ~~all~~ your speech time to XDT ~~the~~ impact

- ② the 2A should XDT your FW bc it ~~was~~ was dropped ^{to get XDT until the end}
- ③ Have an answer to US ^{tariff} to the EU

- ② Be a little more clear when transitioning ^{→ answer FW}

The Att is winning
 that the EU joining the BRI will lead to ↑ in trade, and define the scope of death traps.

109

Sam Velasco - ELAC

FLIGHT: B

Open Public Forum - Sat 10/26 Pattern A Round 4 - 3:30PM

Room: E3 431

2019 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only Tournament

ASAP

Flip for Sides: Perfect Score Academy KK & TH

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth Leadership KK & MC

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
2N	Kaiden Ko	1	93
1N	Tayten Han	2	92

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
1	Katherine Kligys	3	88
2	Melody Chang	4	81

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____


JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

ELAC
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

AFF

more

① organized + clear

② Time allocation on each the 6 contentions

③ you extend your impacts + contention on cultural until the last speech


④ More offense

NEG

① Good use of defense + organization

② ~~Answer~~ Answer
Authoritarianism like the delinks your second contention

the neg wins that chinese deals aren't transparent and lead to "death traps", and the construction of of the BRI increases CO2 and leads to extinction.



The aff has no offense on extension of crimes.
While the aff does manage to drink the soup from
camps of concentration camps being a victim
of the FBI they don't extend it beyond the second
specimen (A+++) they also
answers what the issue of the loans
crimes and don't provide answers
to increase of CO2.

The defense truly argues is that we are an
opinion the environment which contradicts
with true conditions in the environment.

110

Melissa De Leon - ELAC

FLIGHT: A

Open Public Forum - Sat 10/26 Pattern A Round 4 - 3:30PM

Room: E3 357

2019 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only Tournament

ASAP

NEG 1st

AF 2nd

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth Leadership SJ & JW

Flip for Sides: Perfect Score Academy JK & DH

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
1	Stephanie Jing	3	84
3	Joleen Wong	4	82 166

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
4	Joseph Kim	1	85.
2	Danny Hong	3	83 168

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? NO

Melissa De Leon

ELAC

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

JOSEPH - Great refutations... please be more organized, you are responding to your C2 then their C1 then your C3, & you're not telling me where you are.

THE ~~NEG~~ ^{AF} WAS AHEAD IN THIS ROUND, but as we progressed throughout the round, the NEG continued to contradict themselves. Ex: Neg says EU's econ is top 20 wealthiest in the world... THEN neg also says there will be a global recession ~~if~~ EU doesn't join, bc their econ is weakening? TOO many contradictions force me to vote on the most probable outcome being China exerting their authoritative ~~power~~ ^{power} on other nations, meaning that there are no benefits.

David Reyes - ELAC

114

~~Eunice Kang - Wilshire~~

FLIGHT: B

Open Public Forum - Sat 10/26 Pattern A Round 4 - 3:30PM

Room: E3 507

2019 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only Tournament

ASAP

Flip for Sides: ModernBrain DG & JK

Flip for Sides: Perfect Score Academy AC & RL

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
<u>neg</u>	Dea Gupta	<u>2</u>	<u>98</u>
<u>neg</u>	Jenny Kim	<u>1</u>	<u>99</u>

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
<u>Asst</u>	Ariel Choe	<u>3</u>	<u>95</u>
<u>Asst</u>	Ryan Lee	<u>4</u>	<u>94</u>

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

David Reyes
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

East Los Angeles College
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Amazing Round!!!

The negation won because they stuck to the criteria, had amazing clash and solid structure

Asst

- You dropped the global econ issue half way through
↳ fight for it till the end
- The EU has several affluent countries and together they union could fight against these debt traps.
- No transparency → what country has full transparency in deals??
- give more examples of said "green tech"

Neg

- Amazing structure, great speaking
- Push more on possible "hidden motives" china could have for BRI
- Overall solid job

Again, amazing round to everyone!!!

111

Eric Strauss - PhilDebate

FLIGHT: B

Open Public Forum - Sat 10/26 Pattern A Round 4 - 3:30PM

Room: E3 408

2019 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only Tournament

ASAP

Aff going 1st

Neg going 2nd

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth Leadership HX & AL

Flip for Sides: Perfect Score Academy AC & EE

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
1	Hanbo Xu	3	84
3	Andy Liu	4	81

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
2	Amanda Chu	1	88
4	Erdem Erkhbileg	2	87

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? NO

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION


Philharmonic Debate

Neg wins

*WINNER
Perfect Score Academy AC & EE*

Good analysis on both sides in this round. Amanda probably asks more out of the first cross than does Hanbo. Andy needs to work much faster down the floor in the AR. His reasoning is sound, but he'll end up leaving stuff unaddressed at this pace. Erdem is more brisk in his approach to the rebuttal, and covers a lot more ground. While aff attempts to collapse multiple warrants into a single response, Neg does a better job of actually addressing warrants.

Arthur Kozloyan - Modern Bain

104		Tachyun Kim - PSA					
		FLIGHT: A		Open Public Forum - Sat 10/26 Pattern A Round 4 - 3:30PM			
Room: E3 425		2019 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only Tournament					
ASAP							
Flip for Sides: La Reina High School and Middle School SF & RG			Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth Leadership NS & YY				
Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)	Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
2	Sarah Fireman	2	96	4	Nancy Sun	3	90
1	Regan Gama	1	98		YYY		
Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.				Low Point Win? _____			
 JUDGE'S SIGNATURE				Modern Bain JUDGE'S AFFILIATION			

C1

Meta

- I do just for our good; I get what you're saying but I need more economic detail.
- Did not talk about reg effect for EU even
- Good on human rights watch but did not explain relation in detail but good overall

CX1

• Good, recognized opponent did not have specific reasoning for solar panels; pollution contentious

CX2

AFF

- /take time introducing resolution
- Don't fully understand EU
- Structure is unrecognized (there isn't that easy to trade w/)
- Not fully understanding how the funds will form/work

* EU isn't that strong, Euro had dropped recently
 * Solar panels are a transition, not solid evidence

• Nancy, you need to slow down; organize your thoughts; I'm not sure why you don't have a partner but I would hope in the future you have one or you. It will make your life easier; put less strain on you, that's very brave; courageous of you to go above. I wish the best for you. I know how difficult it is to compete alone in a two person event.

* you don't have to re-read your entire point when asked, you can just shorten; give key points

Core

- Direct & straight-forward rebuttal to opponent
- Simple & easy to understand & services of day correct side

• You're restating previous points & not adding any substance to them/reasoning. If you're restating your points, this is when you substantiate them

GX

• Rebuttal by EU not benefiting

• Finally brought up that trade overall is good BUT

Need to rebut & affirm this big hole in argument + pollution

APD:

Unfortunately NECA just had a closer understanding of their side. AFF could not substantiate claims likely due to burden of only being one person team

Arthur Kozlovian - Modern Brain

107

~~Tachyon Kim - PSA~~

FLIGHT: B

Open Public Forum - Sat 10/26 Pattern A Round 4 - 3:30PM

Room: E3 425

2019 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only Tournament

ASAP

Flip for Sides: Wilshire Academy AL & RK

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
2	Alex Lee	1	99
1	Rich Kang	3	96

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth Leadership JS & SC

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
1	Joy Sun	2	98
2	Seth Chang	4	96

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Modern Brain

CL

- FFF
- BPAI = better trade
 - slow down a bit; running over words
 - "So there are job opps but we not comment; but please go on about these poverty figures"
 - China depending on EU; thus EU should call shots; can explore other options to improve plan

- NEG
- CBAU of BPAI
 - ~~slow~~ running over ends of words
 - Good on how the EU could offer from examples of other nations but better if trying closer examples; what would happen
 - Not clear on unemployment rates increasing from BPAI
 - Should also address liabilities opposed to straight up costs
 - Plan over benefits vs cost; did not catch

CL

- * explained the use of leverage
- * Cross of on how ~~you~~ joining EU as block
- * continue of how or why it would be better
- if the EU as a whole was there, they have leverage it shouldn't matter what nations join, as the ones who wanted to join will join w/o harming international relations

NEB

- CBAU vs Cost-Benefit explanation (think??)
- know need to know that are more fine
- Japan has the money but you missed the biggest point; Japan has LITTLE BPAI RESOURCES vs China
- Good catch on no citations/credibility
- Well environs need to be added into benefit; my econ notes now add that into econ benefits as it saves money in the future from clean-up

- Interesting how liberal dem is in danger, not sure how relates, needs more exploration
- Well yes, the resource reservoirs do count
- Good catch on "BPAI transparency" no citations
- Strange example/theoretical question; phrasing is off

Q2 * Here's the thing about ret zero econ plan:
at the end of the day there are borders!
even though it is a joint deal conversion
rates will always hurt someone, it's not an all
deal, it's trade? only depends on currency rates

Core
• Only realizing what evidence was brought,
yes that is important but need something in
way of why their reasoning was wrong but
good catch on their dropping arguments
• Oh holder your reasoning to realism
also, see none of that

QX
* But during that question, it does
seem obvious

Fans
• Yes I like the CBB, but some
costs are not considered
• Yes, there are laws but completely ignore
environmental impacts
• Lots of evidence but dropping in any
shouldn't ~~be~~ been total loss of it but
should've been addressed as disregarded
• you are technically correct

* Not sure why following CBB to Cost-Benefit is not
allowed

• Not emphasizing figures for loss
• Good on danger of lib democracy but a little late now
to fully explain
• However according to opponents you did not address their
points; good, you addressed them but too late into speech
• Overall good but structure? organization held you back

* Mixed opp on "sunny lines" w/ environmental impacts, could've
played at human life approach of well being
* Good question of "force" vs "influence"
* But should be addressed the words of China? questioned
as well as take a look @ China's track record of
strong army.

• Good, I wish you mentioned the memorandum in more
detail earlier; how vague; wishy/washy that is;
WHAT IS SURGE
• Lowest HA abuse

AFD: AFF had a better case? more presence,
they organized in such a way that their plan &
ideas were known; seen as well as ~~being~~
outlining what they ~~were~~ were doing. NGA
wanted too long to give correct information
that could be explored further

Winners

Kudos JX & CL win

112

Eric Strauss - PhilDebate

FLIGHT: A

Open Public Forum - Sat 10/26 Pattern A Round 4 - 3:30PM

2019 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only Tournament

Room: E3 408

ASAP

Aff going 2nd

Neg going 1st

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth Leadership JX & CL

Flip for Sides: Wilshire Academy MH & SL

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
2	Jessica Xue	2	89
4	Chloe Leong	1	92

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
3	Matthew Hyun	4	78
1	Sean Lee	3	79

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? No

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Philharmonic Debate

In the Neg rebuttal, ~~the~~ Matthew really doesn't do any argumentational work. Neg x-ap3 debt-trap as their ^{only} ~~primary~~ AT to a skew of Aff warrants. Neg ATs Aff's cooperation warrant by saying "China has bad relations w/ Russia," which is non responsive. Conversely, Chloe actually addressed all the Neg warrants on point. Following Rebuttal, Neg had nothing standing (except 5th contention added in rebuttal). Aff had everything standing. Pretty much round over.

117

Mike Moran - ELAC

FLIGHT: B

Open Public Forum - Sat 10/26 Pattern A Round 4 - 3:30PM

Room: E3 417

2019 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only Tournament

ASAP

Flip for Sides: Wilshire Academy KA & BA

NEG Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
MG	Kriste An	2	98
PK	Brandon An	1	99

Flip for Sides: Nova 42 Academy JL & DZ

Aff Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
Mo	Justin Liao	4	86
Lo	Daniel Zheng	3	87

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

[Signature]
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Hned - ELAC
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Aff

Don't be afraid to turn arguments, I felt like you were playing it safe

NEG

- You need to look at the big picture
How with BRI help the E.V.? What will happen ~~specifically~~ specifically?
Get into Depth with what you are saying

RFD: Look at top of case, NEG show that there is no Benefit

David Reyes ELAC

102

Eunice Kang - Wilshire

FLIGHT: A

Open Public Forum - Sat 10/26 Pattern A Round 4 - 3:30PM

Room: E3 507

2019 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only Tournament

ASAP

Flip for Sides: ModernBrain LH & AF

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership SD & MY

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
<u>Ney</u>	Liz Hsiu	<u>4</u>	<u>95</u>
<u>Ney</u>	Anna Feng	<u>2</u>	<u>96</u>

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
<u>Ass</u>	Sophia Dai	<u>3</u>	<u>97</u>
<u>Ass</u>	Melody Yu	<u>1</u>	<u>98</u>

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

David Reyes
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

East Los Angeles College
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Solid Round!!!

Ass one because they followed criteria and proved their claims.

Ass

- Show more as far as evidence for china forgiving debt

Ney

- Press further on the ~~danger~~ dangers of chinese censorship in the world. spell