

~~Sam Velasco~~ ~~ELAC~~ ~~Fidi Jimenez~~ ~~Modern Prairie~~

137

Andrew Rodriguez

Taohyun Kim - PSA

Open LD - Sat 10/26 Pattern A Round 6 - 6:30PM
2019 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only Tournament

Room: E3 511

ELAC

ASAP

Affirmative: Nova 42 Academy CC

Negative: Academy of Higher Learning JM

Name	PTS (100-70)
Chloe Chun	95 70

Name	PTS (100-70)
James Miller	94 71

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

AK


ELAC

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

AFF. Many ~~great~~ Great points! You cited new Hampshire college and used it as a stat, but look and research behind that, offer up ~~for~~ your examples even if he doesn't ask.

NEG. Very good arguments! great way to use the AFF's stat of ~~the~~ ~~the~~ the college, and how they went bankrupt



Sofelia Winkelman - ELAR

135	Meng Lou - Kudos Leadership		Open LD - Sat 10/26 Pattern A Round 6 - 6:30PM
Room: E3 508			2019 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only Tournament
ASAP			
Affirmative: Nova 42 Academy ES		Negative: Academy of Higher Learning NH	
<u>Name</u>	<u>PTS (100-70)</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>PTS (100-70)</u>
Esha Salman	<u>94</u>	Nelson Hu	<u>90</u>
Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.			Low Point Win? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<u>Sof Wink</u>		<u>For Hire</u>	
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE		JUDGE'S AFFILIATION	

Dropped ~~the~~ points

- creaky diversity & in creed
- admission w/ minority
- more likely to admit minority
- how ~~standard~~ ^{standard} ~~task~~ ^{task} are optional

good pointing at ~~that~~ that
 OAFF is Not Solving ^{hitting} ~~but~~ almost all points & claims

~~good~~ Dropped one

- Quality went up after set was not accepted

Keep up confidence!
 Don't let AFF talk or blittle ur points!

Very good at attacking
 BUT ~~to~~ work on Refuting
 points & hitting every point

Wonderful 😊
 Speaker!




Eunice Kay - Wilshire

134	Colin Ho - Nova 42		Open LD - Sat 10/26 Pattern A Round 6 - 6:30PM
Room: E3 517			2019 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only Tournament
ASAP			
Affirmative: Kudos College of Youth Leadership JW	Negative: Philharmonic Debate JL		
<u>Name</u>	<u>PTS (100-70)</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>PTS (100-70)</u>
Julianne Wu	<u>93</u>	Jeffrey Liu	<u>95</u>
Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.			Low Point Win? _____
<u>Eunice Kay</u>		<u>Wilshire</u>	
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE		JUDGE'S AFFILIATION	

Philharmonic won this round because kudos failed to mention all of the opponent's arguments she dropped. Philharmonic gave better ideas and points which outweighed kudos. There were points that would've made kudos a lot stronger if she emphasized it throughout the round. Overall, both teams did amazing.



Vicor Hugo Herrera - ELAC

136	Ellie Jiang - Kudos Leadership	Open LD - Sat 10/26 Pattern A Round 6 - 6:30PM	
Room: E3 521		2019 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only Tournament	
ASAP			
Affirmative: Academy of Higher Learning TH	Negative: Nova 42 Academy JJ		
<u>Name</u>	<u>PTS (100-70)</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>PTS (100-70)</u>
Timothy Ha	<u>97</u>	Jeremiah Jung	<u>96</u>
Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.		Low Point Win? _____	
		<u>ELAC-Hive</u>	
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE		JUDGE'S AFFILIATION	

Aff:

- Your impacts are plausible, but are they possible? Advice—Research what caused the Great Depression. Is Education in any way ~~possit~~ responsible? If so, LINK it!
- You first mention impacts during cross-ex, and do not return to them til your closing speech, because your opponent said you dropped them. If it weren't for him, you might not have impacted at all.
- Your second speech was your weakest. You lose sight of structure and fail to adequately give time to each argument.
3 contentions = 1min per contention, and you'll still have a minute to protect your case

Neg:

- Regarding your challenge of Common Good vs. Collective Well-Being.
 - Common = Common People
 - Common People = A Collective.
 - Good = Well-Being.You're arguing for the same criteria. You wasted 1:30min on unnecessary challenge.
- You do very well in attacking Aff's case. You provided great counters to it. You had me swaying to your side.
- Great work addressing dropped impacts on Aff-case. But take time to kill it with analysis of why it won't happen.
- GPA sucks, sure, good rebuttal, but the way you presented this actually hurt you, since you impl all academic assessments are biased, including SAT.

General

Not everything is meant to be refuted.

Mitigation, is a tool in the box.

"OK, this happens, but does it outweigh _____."

You're both excellent speakers, keep searching for the truth(s)