

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Kudos CuCh	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Raymond Cu	26	3
2	Eric Choi	23	4

NEGATIVE

POS	Kudos LK	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Jonathan Liu	27	2
1	SALLY Kang	27.5	1

The better debating was done by the NEG from Kudos LK
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Tait Hawk Oden White-Rasmussen

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1AC You got to your 1AC overview of
 (S) w/ extra time, so take advantage
 of that to explain the way the ~~job~~
 works in the plan. It could stop the neg
 from running so many econ dia's in the
 next speech, and stop you from having to
 answer that.

2AC Know what you're reading about. Reading
 cards to the table (you're staring down the
 whole time) won't win you the round, you have
 to explain why these cards ~~are~~ the neg. case.
 Otherwise you'll contend all of your points in
 cross-X

RFD

AFF ~~did~~ answer politics! So I weighed their extinction argument
 1st & voted on the immigration 2. Then the CP which had more (S).

1NC Clarity & speed was pretty good for your
 speech, the only thing to help more is time manage
 assign half of the next gen. (S) to your partner &
 you can finish your case arguments.
 In your CP give plan text. You didn't

2NC In terms of analysis you're answering the aff
 but a big picture 2's for me. Also, be
 super careful w/ the "Global warming isn't
 real" AIT (R). You're using classically
 biased evidence & you can't always get
 away w/ that - not all judges will let you
 & most terms will pounce on your credibility
 for running these arguments