

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please record individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: <u>Kudos WILSHIRE PK</u> Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> Con	Team: <u>Kudos SE</u> Circle Side: Pro <u>Con</u>
Speaker 1 Name: <u>Nora Sharp</u> ← <u>Candilla Park</u> (P)	Speaker 2 Name: <u>Nora Sharp</u> ← (29)
Speaker 3 Name: <u>Angela Chen</u> ← <u>Hoyoon Kim</u> (P)	Speaker 4 Name: <u>Angela Chen</u> ← (29)

Team Points 30

29-30 Outstanding
 27-28 Above Average
 24-26 Average

Team Points 27

The team that won this debate is Wilshire PK representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters: Excellent projection, professionalism, clarity, tone, pace, vocabulary usage, posture. Reasoning was spot on. Did show signs of aggression, but was put under control. Overall a brilliant display of debating.

Comments to Debaters: Great projection. Try to control nervousness. Great job trying to combat opposition, but try to provide stronger points. Great Breakdown. Overall great debating.

These are the reasons for my decision:

Team A's reasoning was well-thought out, brilliantly addressed, & ~~was~~ ^{made} hard for team B to counter. The win was imminent.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Capimilim OCC Judge

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please record individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: Kudos Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker 1 Name: Joseph Kang 29
 Speaker 3 Name: Natalie Wang 30

Team: JMS Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker 2 Name: Jade dePeno 28
 Speaker 4 Name: Timothy Chung 28

Team Points 59

29-30 Outstanding
 27-28 Above Average
 24-26 Average

Team Points 56

prep 1:34 left
 47 left

prep 1:17
 43 left

The team that won this debate is Kudos
 (Team Name for the Winning Team)

representing the PRO CON
 (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters: destruction.
 we need to spend the money.
 calm
 easy to understand
 dropped
 1/2
 50% decrease in meth
 time?

Columbians dying
 economic kingdom.
 Nuclear War
 Democratic countries have prospered.
 pros harms of policy
 alternative is not a solution
 set aside funds

Comments to Debaters: framing
conclusion
 great volume.
 Speaks clearly
 stumbling on words
 Very calm and direct
 dropped
 Restated in
 contention. summary

global
 money in debt
 Rehab.
 Works of Man
 Don't work
 vs. money
 Major issue
 in drug
 policy is add
 with flaws

too early.
 money in debt
 Rehab.
 Works of Man
 Don't work
 vs. money
 Major issue
 in drug
 policy is add
 with flaws

These are the reasons for my decision:

One dropped argument. Con did ask a question that pro agreed to. Overall better support and concrete detail in terms of standing behind their arguments and providing evidence. Better arguments, better support, better detail. Great Final focus from Con. Every argument was supported with backup/a better argument. Strong supporters of framing being the result.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please record individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSSE HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: Kudos Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker 1 Name: Cicily Deng
 Speaker 3 Name: Mei Johnson

Team: Nova 42 LN Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker 2 Name: John Nahas
 Speaker 4 Name: Tiana Lopez

Team Points 53

29-30 Outstanding
 27-28 Above Average
 24-26 Average

22-23 Below Average

Team Points 57

The team that won this debate is Nova 42 representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

- Speaker 1: Nice responses to questions in crossfire 1.
 - Really great points are brought up when values and morals are presented
 - Speaker 3: slow down a bit and pace yourself, you have a few enunciating issues.
 - Admitting that you have few arguments is probably not a very good idea.
- These are the reasons for my decision:

Comments to Debaters:

- I like how you began with defining some possible over-the-top terms that might cause confusion. Speaker 2
- Speaker 4: Very good job with the response "without economy, there are no lives." Really great job!
- You guys had really awesome questions that won you the debate

Team Kudos had my vote from the time human life was discussed then Speaker 4 changed my vote by answering Speaker 3's question of "I the economy [more important] than human lives" with "there would be no human life without the economy." On top of that, Nova 42 provided more credible evidence to support their claim, while Kudos provided little evidence and used the same one multiple time which actually made their argument weaker.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: [Signature]

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please record individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: <u>RIS KK</u> Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> Con	Team: <u>Kudos CC</u> Circle Side: Pro <u>Con</u>
Speaker 1 Name: <u>Lauren Kang</u> <u>28</u>	Speaker 2 Name: <u>Justin Chwang</u> <u>27</u>
Speaker 3 Name: <u>Brian Kung</u> <u>27</u>	Speaker 4 Name: <u>Angela Chen</u> <u>26</u>

Team Points 27.5 = 55 ÷ 2

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points 53 ÷ 2 = 26.5

The team that won this debate is RIS KK representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Lauren: great composure and manners during crossfire, also great tone and inferences during both your speeches!

Brian: great line by line refutation, but remember to pull dropped points through the debate so I can use that to weigh the debate!

Comments to Debaters:

Justin: great analogy w/ Vienna conference - slow down on your first speech so you meet your tone.

Angela: strong refutations! Just try to take better notes so you don't spend time asking what her contentions were.

These are the reasons for my decision:

The reason I voted for the AFF is because even though I gave the environmental harms to the NEG the AFF showed me how violence ↑, drug use ↑, and drug production ↑. The negative made great refutations, but it was too late. GREAT DEBATE OVERALL!

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: NOVA 42

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School
May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please record individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: Wilshire SK Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker 1 Name: Lyna Kim 29
 Speaker 3 Name: Tiffany Shin 29

Team: Kudos LL Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker 2 Name: Winnie Lam 28
 Speaker 4 Name: Elaine HU 27

Team Points _____

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points _____

The team that won this debate is PRO Wilshire representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Tiffany - WOW! AWESOME 2AC
 → Use cx to get clarity on the FARC stuff. CX started out strong making Elaine seem uncertain about the dangers that the drug war poses.

→ Very weak FF: RESTATE impacts. Don't just say we win off subpoint 3b and they haven't given you a criterion. Tell me why we stop continued policies!!

These are the reasons for my decision:

Comments to Debaters:

Winnie: You have empirics that show there is less supply b/c 60% of cocaine use is ↓ in U.S. that is PROOF that supply is down.
 E&W: You need answers to make distinction b/w US legaliz of marijuana and the mass production of COCA in LATIN AM.

Very diff drug w/ violent effects on ppl v/a more mild drug....

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: [Signature] Ridgecrest

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please record individual speaker points.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	School: <u>KUDOS WS</u>	POINTS: _____
	Speaker: <u>CATY SEETO</u>	<u>26</u>
	Speaker: <u>KELLY WONG</u>	<u>22</u>

NEGATIVE

POS	School: <u>WILSHIRE LJ</u>	POINTS: _____
	Speaker: <u>ISABEL JANG</u>	<u>24</u>
	Speaker: <u>ANIKA LEE</u>	<u>25</u>

The better debating was done by the AFF from KUDOS WS
AFF of NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended.

Signed: [Signature] NOVA 42

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

CATY - GOOD PRESENTATION AND COVERAGE
 KELLY - WAY TOO HOSTILE/RELAX.
 YOU GOT SO WORDED UP IN GRAND CROSS THAT YOUR AFF WAS A MESS.

ISABEL - GOOD JOB ON YOUR CASE PRESENTATION SEE BELOW
 ANIKA - KEPT YOUR COOL MOST OF THE TIME
 BOTH - ARGS W/OUT EVID ARE FINE BUT IF THE OTHER TEAM HAS EVID THEN YOU NEED IT. AND YOUR EVIDENCE WAS PRETTY WEAK!

LOW POINT WIN TO AFF BECAUSE: YOU GOT WAY TOO HOSTILE AND A LITTLE RUDE.

AFF WINS ON ISSUES - BALOON WAS NOT ADEQUATELY REFUTED. MANY NEG DECS WERE UNSUPPORTED. NO IMPACT ON COYTEL LEADER CAPTURES AND SO ON.