

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School
May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please enter individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: Kudos WX Circle Side: Pro Con
Speaker 1 Name: Michelle Xu ; 28
Speaker 3 Name: Michael Wu ; 30

Team: Nova 42 LN Circle Side: Pro Con
Speaker 2 Name: John Nahas ; 29
Speaker 4 Name: Tiana Lopez ; 29

Team Points 58

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points 58

The team that won this debate is Nova 42 LN representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

- securing boarder
- evaluated
- decreased homicide
- policies do good
- 68,000 guns recovered
- limited crimes
- down 20%
- 42:1 down of cocaine
- drug use sky rocket

Comments to Debaters:

- Statistics show it does more harm
- increase in marijuana
- likely to grow by 2050
- increase in drug use
- money gone to waste: 40 billion
- homicide rates increased
- increase
- destruction of legal crops

These are the reasons for my decision:

Very compelling ; ~~persuasive~~ . persuasive .
U.S. policies are harming.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: [Signature]

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School
 May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please enter individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: <u>Kudos</u> Circle Side: Pro <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Con	Team: <u>JMS</u> Circle Side: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pro Con
Speaker 1 Name: <u>Joseph Kiang</u>	Speaker 2 Name: <u>Brenda Wong</u>
Speaker 3 Name: <u>Natalie Wang</u>	Speaker 4 Name: <u>May Tang</u>

Team Points ~~27~~ ~~28~~ 29

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points ~~27~~ ~~28~~ 29

Slow Down!

The team that won this debate is Kudos KW representing the PRO CON (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Joseph
 - lost speak too fast.
 - misplaced
 - Columbia
 - opp more effective
 3. critical!
 1-4.5 drug conventions necessary
 2. relations
 * more investment.
 These are the reasons for my decision:

Natalie
 - economy
 → war on drug has ~~decreased~~ lowered.
 * saccharine
 * cost on lives
 - commitment, how that load?
 - key investment
 reduction of these policies.

Brenda
 A7 medicate drug addicts
 B7 Harm Hurty peppy
 → lowly battle
 → declaring war on drugs
 - Global war on drugs has fail
 → commitment.

May
 → prop severe?
 → low level
 street gun? street fight?
 related topic?
 details Joseph evidence

- Joseph, slow down... I don't understand... neither did the other ^{group} but keep it up!
- Brenda → great speaking. On point...
- Natalie → Also try to slow down... But great job. Good info
- May → great volume... good connection & examples mood +

Joseph & Natalie had more recent info. Therefore that's right. Greater arguments → more relevant. ^{strong} ^{conv} evidence... statistics... + evidence.
 On point... y evidence... statistics... + evidence.
 → other group spread as recy? Good job to all.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min ✓
Speaker 2	4 min ✓
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min ✓
Speaker 3	4 min ✓
Speaker 4	4 min ✓
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min ✓
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min ✓
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min ✓
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min ✓
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min ✓
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min ✓
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:
important link (relations)
investment in lat. Am.

Good Explaining

LPW
 JB

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School
 May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please enter individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: <u>Chandler KA</u> Circle Side: Pro <u>Con</u>	Team: <u>Kudos</u> Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> Con
Speaker 1 Name: <u>Tony Kukavica 30</u>	Speaker 2 Name: <u>Cicily Deng 29</u>
Speaker 3 Name: <u>Nikhil Markkar 29</u>	Speaker 4 Name: <u>Mei Johnson 28</u>

Team Points <u>29</u>	29-30 Outstanding 27-28 Above Average 24-26 Average	22-23 Below Average	Team Points <u>28</u>
-----------------------	---	---------------------	-----------------------

The team that won this debate is Kudos representing the PRO CON (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:
Tony
 national security
 Americas well being
 framework 2-3 parties
 separate
 geographic zone
 cocaine coc producers
 cut off profits
 money need to achieve goals
 solving stability
 these are the reasons for my decision

Nikhil
 - aggravates violence?
 Mexico wants more US help
 79% say there not doing enough
 - US can do alot to help.
 alliance - say people
 - clear threat
 - retaliation
 -> violence may inc in w/ term and short term.
 dramatically reduced security

Comments to Debaters:
Cicily
 - enforcement leads to violence.
 violence escalates (Mexico)
 more than 2,000 killed
 - 66 countries - drug group
 - unlawful armaments
 - 4 years 1 billion of USD

Mei
 we should look at what the US is focusing on.
 - protect US citizens
 still getting violence by the border.
 - increases violence
 not successful
 - expensive

Tony Good on point notes, arguments & evidence
Cicily Great tone, voice! Good job.
Nikhil Great points... Good and confident. Nice connections
Mei On point but slow down so we can clearly understand you.

Major points and correlations -> focus on topics on
 better arguments -> on point. well presented with evidence
 other group wasn't giving direct response

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please enter individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: <u>Nova</u> Circle Side: Pro <input checked="" type="radio"/> Con	Team: <u>Kudos</u> Circle Side: <input checked="" type="radio"/> Pro Con
Speaker 1 Name: <u>Carib McCoy</u> <u>25</u>	Speaker 2 Name: <u>Angela Chen</u> <u>28</u>
Speaker 3 Name: <u>Jan Tin</u> <u>29</u>	Speaker 4 Name: <u>Nora Sharp</u> <u>27</u>

Team Points 54

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points 55

30 second prep
 30 second prep - 1 min
 representing the PRO CON
 (Please circle the winning side)

The team that won this debate is Kudos
 (Team Name for the Winning Team)

Comments to Debaters:
 Good introduction
 Seem to be unclear about policies
 Clear about structure of argument
 supported why
 * - Evidence supporting neg's argument. ✓

Comments to Debaters:
 Great structure
 clear speaking
 Cross fire questions were strong
 Great summary.
 Hypothesis ✓

These are the reasons for my decision:

In comparison to the negative, the aff did capitalize on the lack of knowledge about the "current policies". In addition, the neg seemed to be unknawledgable or confused about the policies that were becoming in effect/ in effect now.
 Pro wins.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please enter individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: <u>JMS</u> Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> <u>Con</u>	Team: <u>Kudos</u> Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> <u>Con</u>
Speaker 1 Name: <u>Sanjay Narayan</u> <u>27</u>	Speaker 2 Name: <u>Justin Chuang</u> <u>29</u>
Speaker 3 Name: <u>Nelson Scott</u> <u>25</u>	Speaker 4 Name: <u>Angela Chen</u> <u>25</u>

Team Points 53

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points 55

The team that won this debate is Kudos representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

IF speaking quickly, make sure you pronounce words clearly.
 Good structure
 Framework ✓ → Great
 Great arguments.

Con

Comments to Debaters:

great eye contact
 great Intro
 Speaks clearly
 Speaking extemporaneously ✓
 Make sure crossfire questions are there.
 * Reformation vs. continuation *
 good crossfire questions.

Pro

These are the reasons for my decision:

Argument of reformation vs. continuation heard largely. Although aff did state facts, reformation seemed to be besides the point. Agreements were sometimes connected with stating certain facts and saying the current drug programs were not working.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please enter individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: <u>KUDOS WS</u> Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> Con Speaker 1 Name: <u>CARLY SZETO</u> <u>26</u> Speaker 3 Name: <u>KELLY WONG</u> <u>28</u>	Team: <u>WILSHIRE PK</u> Circle Side: Pro <u>Con</u> Speaker 2 Name: <u>CAROLINA ROSE</u> <u>29</u> Speaker 4 Name: <u>HO JOON KIM</u> <u>27</u>
--	--

Team Points 54

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points 56

The team that won this debate is Wilshire PK representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

- You make really good points concerning crime rates and provided valid stats as evidence.
- Good questions on crossfire 2
- You guys had really great evidence
- Speaker 2 made interesting points about other countries questioning if our help is really needed.

Comments to Debaters:

- Good pacing, fast but not too fast, just enough to get points acrossed.
- Great counter questions
- Stats provided as evidence made really good counterarguments
- Next time let the other speakers finish their argument though.

These are the reasons for my decision:

Speaker 2's question in crossfire I won them the debate, "Are you saying that people have a price?" Speaker 1 responded with "yes," meaning they've ~~accepted their~~ ^{needed their} ~~own claim~~. This gives team Wilshire PK the automatic win. The card showing assumption of ~~pro~~, ~~no~~ people would have disqualified team Wilshire PK but there wasn't enough evidence to sway my decision.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please enter individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: <u>JMS</u> Circle Side: <input checked="" type="radio"/> Pro <input type="radio"/> Con	Team: <u>Kudos LF</u> Circle Side: Pro <input checked="" type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> Con
Speaker <u>2</u> Name: <u>Jaclyn Takeuchi</u>	Speaker <u>1</u> Name: <u>William Lin</u>
Speaker <u>4</u> Name: <u>Brandon Wong</u>	Speaker <u>3</u> Name: <u>Kylin Feng</u>

Team Points 57

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points 53

The team that won this debate is JMS representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

- * Good questions but make sure you make arguments out of them instead of asking unrelated, subjective questions due to emotion.
- * Speaker 4, you make really good counter arguments against speaker 3's contentions.
- * Great research = great evidence for both your own contentions and other arguments.

These are the reasons for my decision:

There just wasn't enough proof/evidence on the con side of the debate. You guys seemed unsure of your contentions as well. These facts get Team JMS the win.

Comments to Debaters:

- * Speaker 1, you're not giving me much to analyze when you're answering speaker 2 questions. Specify to make your points stronger instead of just saying "all of em".
- * Speaker 3, you seemed unsure of your responses to speaker 4's question in crossfire 2.
- * You guys had shown me one contention and kept using that as a counter, not strong enough.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Ashley Young

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please enter individual speaker points.

CPW (A)

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: Kudos Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker 1 Name: Jax 25

Speaker 3 Name: Kirk 27

Team: Wilshire Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker 2 Name: Lyna 28

Speaker 4 Name: Tiffany 28

Team Points 52

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points 56

The team that won this debate is Kudos representing the PRO CON

Comments to Debaters:

Jax: good speaker

Comments to Debaters:

Lyna: too much fluctuation in your voice.

Kirk: SLOW DOWN! respect your opponents' wishes to slow down.

Tiffany: I love ur voice!!!! Do oratory!! Good rebuttal speech. good CX calm, cool, collected. Don't charge.

These are the reasons for my decision:

Tiffany - carry overtop moment entire debate.

~~CPW~~ CX: 3 minutes talking about rules? both sides need to keep the ball rolling!

it was close. spreading was not as big of an issue as the AFF was making it. CON had the stronger case. Kirk does need to slow down. as long as the judge can understand MVA

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Jax

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please enter individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: <u>UNI</u>	Circle Side: Pro <input checked="" type="radio"/> Con	Team: <u>Kudos</u>	Circle Side: Pro <input type="radio"/> Con <input checked="" type="radio"/>
Speaker 1 Name: <u>Anna Natman</u>	<u>26</u>	Speaker 2 Name: <u>Casey</u>	<u>26</u>
Speaker 3 Name: <u>Anna</u>	<u>27</u>	Speaker 4 Name: <u>Ryan</u>	<u>27</u>

Team Points <u>55</u>	29-30 Outstanding 27-28 Above Average 24-26 Average	22-23 Below Average	Team Points <u>53</u>
-----------------------	---	---------------------	-----------------------

The team that won this debate is UNI representing the PRO CON

(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:
 Natman: you're a good speaker
 Natman: trust your partner
 a little more
 don't make points during cx.
 Anna: be careful not to be
 rude during cx.

Comments to Debaters:
 Casey: speak clearer.
 couldnt understand
 your 1st speech.
 Ryan: good speaker
 connect w judge & audience
 more
 carry criteria throughout
 entire debate
 you brought up criteria briefly
 in 1st speech & then focused
 on it in final focus.

These are the reasons for my decision:
 UNI won because though
 didn't have the best refutation
 stuck to the resolution
 the most.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Ashley King NOVA

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please enter individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: <u>KUDOS LL</u> Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> Con	Team: <u>WILSHIRE RO</u> Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> Con
Speaker 1 Name: <u>WINNIE LAM</u> <u>27</u>	Speaker 2 Name: _____
Speaker 3 Name: <u>ELAINE LIU</u> <u>26</u>	Speaker 4 Name: <u>BLAKE RO</u> <u>24</u>

Team Points 26

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points 24

The team that won this debate is AFF KUDOS LL representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

SOME COMMENTS

WINNIE - WATCH THE WHINE IN YOUR VOICE/DELIVERY
ALSO WATCH CROSS FIRE FACIAL REACTIONS / SOMETIMES APPEARED RUDE

ELAINE - 2ND CONS - LITTLE ROUGH BUT FF PRETTY GOOD

Comments to Debaters:

IN ROUND

BLAKE - SLOW DOWN YOUR BRAIN AND MAKE THAT FULL AND CLEAR ARGUMENT. SEEMS LIKE YOU THINK YOU SAID MORE THAN YOU REALLY DO

These are the reasons for my decision:

EASY ROUND FOR AFF
ALL ENDS MET
ALL ISSUES ADDRESSED

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: [Signature] Nova 92

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please enter individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: UNI KW Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker 1 Name: SHAWN WU 23

Speaker 3 Name: CHRIS KIM 24

Team: KUDOS WH Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker 2 Name: MICHAEL HUANG 26

Speaker 4 Name: JULIE WANG 25

Team Points 24

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points 25

The team that won this debate is KUDOS WH representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters: BOTH - LEARN THE TOPIC BETTER

SHAWN - BE CAREFUL NOT TO KEEP CUTTING OFF YOUR PARTNER IN GRAND CROSS. - NEED TO GET

CHRIS - NEED RESEARCH AND A BETTER UNDERSTANDING OF THE TOPIC
- DON'T LET YOUR PARTNER KEEP INTERRUPTING YOU.

Comments to Debaters:

MICHAEL - YOU NEED TO BE 2ND SPEAKER. YOUR COVERAGE AND UNDERSTANDING WOULD HAVE SERVED YOUR CASE BETTER

JULIE - NOT SURE IF YOU EVEN UNDERSTOOD SOME OF THE ARGUMENTS.

These are the reasons for my decision:

SOME COMMENTS IN ROUNDS

OVERALL - NEG CRIT OF WORSE W/OUT WINS THE ROUND - BALLOON EFFECT WAS ACTUALLY TURNED BY NEG.

COLUMBIA EXAMPLE STOOD AND NEG SHOWED MORE GOOD W/ POLICIES

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: [Signature] NOVA 42

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please enter individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: <u>UNI</u> Circle Side: Pro <input type="radio"/> Con <input checked="" type="radio"/>	Team: <u>Nova 42</u> Circle Side: Pro <input checked="" type="radio"/> Con <input type="radio"/>
Speaker 1 Name: <u>Yonah Kim</u> <input checked="" type="radio"/> 27	Speaker 2 Name: <u>Tim Treinen</u> <input checked="" type="radio"/> 29
Speaker 3 Name: <u>Eunice Park</u> <input checked="" type="radio"/> 28	Speaker 4 Name: <u>Ethan Wu</u> <input checked="" type="radio"/> 28

Team Points 27

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points 29

The team that won this debate is Nova 42 representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

- Focused on points that didn't combat opposition
- great projection & breakdown
- clarity (it was clear)
- great job

Comments to Debaters:

- Great volume; projection
- Excellent points; statistics
- clarity (it was clear)
- great counter-argument
- overall ~~clear~~ ^{well-rounded} debaters

These are the reasons for my decision:

Team B had stronger and more valid arguments.
Team A struggled to combat them & in failing to do so
Team A deserved the win.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: [Signature] GCC Judge

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please enter individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: <u>Chandler LL</u> Circle Side: Pro <input checked="" type="radio"/> Con <input checked="" type="radio"/>	Team: <u>Kudos SM</u> Circle Side: Pro <input checked="" type="radio"/> Con <input checked="" type="radio"/>
Speaker 1 Name: <u>Vivian Lu</u> (29) (29)	Speaker 2 Name: <u>Joshua Ma</u> (26)
Speaker 3 Name: <u>Catherine Lee</u> (30)	Speaker 4 Name: <u>Jacqueline Shang</u> (28)

Team Points 29

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points 27

The team that won this debate is Chandler LL representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

- valid arguments
- Great projection, a bit fast but necessary
- clarity
- stuck to main point & supported it well.
- Excellent job

Comments to Debaters:

- valid arguments
- not as clear
- great volume
- ~~be~~ careful of making analogies; they can help & hurt you
- watch contradicting statements
- overall great job

These are the reasons for my decision:

Team A had excellent projection, had clarity, well thought out arguments & countered opposition with good reasoning. overall victory was pretty clear.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: [Signature] GCC Judge

S. Weiss

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please enter individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: <u>NOVA 42 CB</u> Circle Side: Pro <input type="radio"/> Con <input checked="" type="radio"/>	Team: <u>KUDOS WL</u> Circle Side: Pro <input checked="" type="radio"/> Con <input type="radio"/>
Speaker 1 Name: <u>Michael B. 27</u>	Speaker 2 Name: <u>Albert W. 28</u>
Speaker 3 Name: <u>Jack C. 26</u>	Speaker 4 Name: <u>Harry L. 28</u>

Team Points _____

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points _____

The team that won this debate is KUDOS WL representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Michael - great comment on assume only 1. Very clever answer to eradication by fumigation!

Jack - Nice job explaining Hegemony Good (AFTER the round :-))
Be careful! Your Mexico evidence seems to ~~not~~ affirm!

Comments to Debaters:

Albert - Nice job

Harry - Be careful in cx not to be rude. Yeah, I get it, they don't have a framework.

Both: missed opportunity to turn their Mexico evidence!

These are the reasons for my decision:

1 Affirm. Eradication is not working and has ^{severe} health impacts. Current policy not working ∴ don't continue

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

S. Weiss Ridgecrest.

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please enter individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: JMS Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker 1 Name: Timothy Chung 28
 Speaker 3 Name: Jade DePierio 28

Team: Kudos SJ Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker 2 Name: Michelle Jeon 27
 Speaker 4 Name: Lauren Song 27

Team Points _____

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points _____

The team that won this debate is Aff JMS representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Jade - familiarize yourself more w/ policies and how they are failing. You are a very smooth speaker. Very poised. Also be clear: that money would be far better spent on rehab. programs.

Timothy - be careful with time allocation

Also watch your opponent's time! 4:50

Good taking her to task for CTU

Nice job on unwinable war

These are the reasons for my decision: WWII length < 41 years

Jade - summary

Neg: You need to address the enormous cost the Aff points out & the link to deficits and the alternative they allude to. Truth Testing: You need to address this! What does that mean. Truth Testing: OK. You need to address the ~~micro~~ and you need to address the time spent.... Policy = fail.

Comments to Debaters:

Michelle - Good job reading your case and then press Jade further when she discusses the flaws :... :

Lauren - watch your time! You spoke for 4:50. (H)

GrandCX - don't get caught up in stuff that isn't important like "just cocaine" or "why aren't they helping us"

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: [Signature] Ridgecrest

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please enter individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: New West SN Circle Side: Pro Con
Speaker 1 Name: Aidan Shev 28
Speaker 3 Name: Grace Nelson 27.5

Team: Chandler PD Circle Side: Pro Con
Speaker 2 Name: Indu Pandey ~~28~~ 28.5
Speaker 4 Name: _____

Team Points 55.5

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points ~~55.5~~ 57

The team that won this debate is Chandler PD representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

- Aidan:
- great job!
- the summary should have been more comparative, as you didn't have enough time to really explain WHY you won

- Grace:
- great job, as well!
- the final focus needed to sum up your points - brass

Comments to Debaters:

- great job; bold move for going maverick.
- a lot of your points went unferted; you should extend those and weigh them against the aff

These are the reasons for my decision:

- unferted args on neg side o/w aff

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Fred Wang

norm > good

~~Banking~~
~~Finance~~

drug politics bad

my source > theirs
Starts out different from news papers

(1) harms

- conflict - so few battles won
- Dec 2007 George W. Bush / bin Laden

Mexico
70,000 dead drug war
- asking U.S. to change

(3) devastation

- of L. America
- grenades
- she just want us to leave world peace
- legal of Colombia: U.S. war on drugs failure

want us to leave

- former president;
no one cares

thousands of people died w/o policies

(2) result of politics & progress

- over-crowded jails
- L. Americans paying for it
- 38,000 U.S. citizens - drug overdoses

NEG

- good > harm

1) democracy

- drug traffic ↑ violence
- police ↑ econ growth
- money earned
- drug policy deteriorates media freedom
- democratic ideal

spreads over \$; no link between policies + dem

not killed 270k ppl
trafficking not causing int. security

not relevant

- (3) U.S. has maintained by drug policies
- key to help those in need
- L. America = big take

- (4) oil protection
- Venezuela: large oil asset
- oil in Persian Gulf

Obama's drug war miser

- longest war; never talked about

\$51 B taxpayers

killing internet, trees

No warrant that BO doesn't need
analytical; no source

not a waste; saving lives

their sources are newspapers; mine are experts

No first-world country born on genocide

2) terrorism

- 39% terrorist groups connected to drug
- war on drugs = war on terror
- majority of attacks
- police solve

security vs. cartel

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School
May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please enter individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: Wilshire LJ RIS KK	Circle Side: Pro <input checked="" type="radio"/> Con <input type="radio"/>	Team: RIS KK Wilshire LJ	Circle Side: Pro <input type="radio"/> Con <input checked="" type="radio"/>
Speaker 1 Name: Lauren Kay	29	Speaker 2 Name: Izabelle	28
Speaker 3 Name: Brian Kory	28	Speaker 4 Name: Annika	27

Team Points 29

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points 28

The team that won this debate is ~~Wilshire LJ~~ RIS KK representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Lauren
Bright
• Very articulate
• Good questions
• Don't orally prompt your brother too much
be careful of "the best is the best indicator" i.e. Plan Columbia

Brian
• Good clash
• Very responsive

Comments to Debaters:

Izabelle
• Confident
• Try not to interrupt too much doing cross fire

Annika
• very organized speech

These are the reasons for my decision:

I evaluated this debate under a net benefit analysis on current policies because I bought the Aff's argument of "harm vs. good". Under the net benefit analysis, I voted off the lives lost (fumigation), increased violence, ^(cost in Mexico) and increased consumption which was left unresponded (2x drug consumer). I felt ~~pro~~ the less production didn't matter if there was increased consumption. Also the validity of the drug violence was questioned. It was a close debate. Good job to both sides!

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

1) Current measures fail

- CNN
- Top consumer of drugs, AX
- Guardian

- Effortless, USDEA

• we've decreased lots of DCLs

- never decreased alot of drug land

- Questionable success
- Not attain their drug consumption ↑

2) Anti-drug policies spur violence

- Increased violence
- UN report - show most violent

3) Drug eradication hurts Latin America

- kills animals
- millions killed

4) Current drug policies hurt Latin America

- more critical of policies
- badly formulated
- violates sovereignty

- 75% of pop die - without drug policy much higher - haven't had dekadetes benefits from US

1) Effective

- driving
- production increase w/o
- decrease production
- 50% over 1 year

2) Crimes will decrease

- lower crime rates

3) High drug prices - prevent drug gangs

- 1.5 in Mexico, the drug trade is so important, it's just shifted - 2. Impoverished in various countries

- 1. Not part of current political

- main reason

- 15% ↑ in Mexico = crime rates ↑
• crime ↑ out of the drug use in

- increased since 1988

