

~~Zade Max~~
 DAVID
 FINNIGAN
 DAVID FINNIGAN

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE
 Chandler School
 May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please enter speaker points for each individual student.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: KUDOS Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker 1 Name: Cicily Deng 29
 Speaker 3 Name: Mei Johnson 28

Team: WILSHIRE Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker 2 Name: LYNA KIM 30
 Speaker 4 Name: Tiffany Shin 28.5

Team Points 57

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points 58.5

The team that won this debate is WILSHIRE SK representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters: The 1st Negative Speaker is very, very gifted: solid pitch and good ~~and~~ elocution, a confidence that did not border on arrogance, plus superior hand gestures, good eye contact plus excellent examples to make her case. Similarly, the 2nd Negative Speaker was articulate and was a rather solid second speaker for this team. She also, smartly, apologized for what she called, "the mess we made in the grand crossfire." Nice tough, smart move. Good pairing.

Comments to Debaters: 1st Affirmative Speaker absolutely was articulate but seemed to lose energy as the Round progressed. Some of her crossfire answers could have been stronger. The 2nd Affirmative Speaker also has obvious talents but in this Round she did, at times, seem to sputter a bit - not tumble, just sputter. The 2nd Affirmative's final speech was only one minute instead of two, and this was not good since that is the last time the Affirmative can make its case.

These are the reasons for my decision: This Round was won by the CON for upholding the Resolution,
~~in such a way so as to warrant a Negative ballot.~~
 a Negative

Category	Time
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Affirmative came on strong and presented an effective case. However, Affirmative was weak during some crossfire moments (though in fairness the entire Round had crossfire issues). Affirmative also repeated some prior points too much and did not push enough into new territory to uphold their case. The Negative team was effective and highly articulate, especially the 1st Negative speaker, whose rhetorical approach gave the Round a fresh feel of clarity. The Affirmative let too much slip by into Negative territory, and thus Negative is awarded this ballot.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: David Finnigan (Nova 42)

Thank you, ladies of the Kudos and Wilshire academies.

Zade Max
DAVID
FINNIGAN

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School
May 25, 2013

DAVID FINNIGAN

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please enter speaker points for each individual student.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: <u>RIS</u> Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> Con	Team: <u>KUDOS</u> Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> Con
Speaker 1 Name: <u>Lauren Kong</u> 29	Speaker 2 Name: <u>Michelle Xu</u> 28
Speaker 3 Name: <u>Brian Kong</u> 30	Speaker 4 Name: <u>Michael Wu</u> 27

Team Points 59

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points 55

The team that won this debate is RIS KK representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:
The 1st Affirmative Speaker had a strong knowledge of her case and interacted well with her partner (not too surprising since he's her old brother). A solid analysis, smoothly presented, but watch the posture (a common problem with shorter debaters especially middle school girls who still are growing). Her partner and brother, the 2nd Affirmative speaker, was eloquent throughout the Round and clearly he listened to the Round, too.

Comments to Debaters:
The 1st Negative Speaker is articulate and thorough in her replies and her counterattack was polite. (This was appreciated.) She also gave good pushback when questioned during crossfire. The 2nd Negative Speaker clearly is a new debater and he simply needs to grow: during crossfire he lost much credibility after admitting that evidence he had just read actually makes the Affirmative case. "Oh, good point, okay, drop that." was his reply in that crossfire.

These are the reasons for my decision:

This Round was won by the Affirmative team which successfully upheld the Resolution in such a way as to warrant an Affirmative ballot. The Affirmative team was well-coordinated and carried the center of this debate: good rejoinders by the 1st Aff. speaker with solid back-up from her partner. Noteworthy too was how the 2nd Aff. speaker caught his own regarding when he (unintentionally) confused heroin types as he separated heroin from its black tar, brown tar and white tar. The Negative team lacked organization and unfortunately fumbled at several points in the Round (said fumbled noticeable and discussed above). This Round saw the Affirmative team meet its burden and thus is awarded this ballot.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Thank you, debaters of Kudos and David Finnigan (Nova 42)

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please enter speaker points for each individual student.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: <u>Nova 42 CB</u> Circle Side: Pro <input type="radio"/> Con <input checked="" type="radio"/>	Team: <u>Kudos CC</u> Circle Side: Pro <input checked="" type="radio"/> Con <input type="radio"/>
Speaker 1 Name: <u>Michael Brennan 26</u>	Speaker 2 Name: <u>Justin Chuang 28</u>
Speaker 3 Name: <u>Tack Crawford 24</u>	Speaker 4 Name: <u>Angela Chen 27</u>

Team Points 50

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points 55

The team that won this debate is Kudos CC representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

- ~~work on clarity and~~
- Too much time spent on eradication issue.
- Refuted decriminalization argument without supporting card. evidence
- Balloon effect argument could have been stronger with more data

Comments to Debaters:

- Good job addressing contentions.
- Good clarity

These are the reasons for my decision:

Kudos CC addressed the points made most effectively and concisely. Nova 42 CB spent a lot of time pressing a point that was already explained sufficiently by Kudos.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Ignore Back

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please enter speaker points for each individual student.

2nd neg

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: <u>Chandler KA</u> Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> Con	Team: <u>nova 42 TW</u> Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> Con
Speaker 1 Name: <u>Tony Ukavica 27</u>	Speaker 2 Name: <u>Tim Treinen 24</u>
Speaker 3 Name: <u>Nikhil Adarkar 26</u>	Speaker 4 Name: <u>Ethan Wu 25</u>

Team Points 53

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points 49

The team that won this debate is Chandler KA representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

- well prepared

Comments to Debaters:

- weakened argument by limiting scope to 20 day window

- lack of supporting evidence.

~~back~~

~~back~~

These are the reasons for my decision:

Almost complete lack of evidence presented by nova. Chandler KA was far better equipped for the argument and were effective at pressing Nova for supporting evidence.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crosfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crosfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Jowita
Chomentowska

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please enter speaker points for each individual student.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: Kudos Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker 1 Name: Josh Ma 28
 Speaker 3 Name: Jacqueline Shang 28

Team: JMS Circle Side: Pro Con 30
 Speaker 2 Name: Sanjaye Nataran
 Speaker 4 Name: Nelson Scott 30

Team Points 28
total 56

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points 30
total 60

The team that won this debate is Nelson & Sanjaye representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Some arguments needed better sources such as for balloon effect. If you have better sources it'll make your arguments better.
 Work on filler words.
 Agreed your opponents resolution.
 could topics about military ~~issues~~.
 now policy fails just need to get more sources.
 Overall great job!
 These are the reasons for my decision:

Comments to Debaters:

Barley looked at notes!
 Fantastic job!
 Really knew what your talking about.
 Great Arguments.
 Asked Great questions
Outstanding! job!

Their debate was outstanding.
 (on) Opposing team contradicted themselves a lot, tended to agree on topics w/ opposing team (pro)
 Projected voice very well, very detailed, knowledge of topic! Very great eye contact!

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Jowita Chomentowska

Jowita

Chomentowska

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please enter speaker points for each individual student.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: <u>UNI KW</u> Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> Con	Team: <u>Kudos KW</u> Circle Side: Pro <u>Con</u>
Speaker 1 Name: <u>Sean Woo 29</u>	Speaker 2 Name: <u>Joseph Kiang 30</u>
Speaker 3 Name: <u>Chris Kim 29</u>	Speaker 4 Name: <u>Natalie Wang 30</u>

Team Points 20
total 58

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points 30
total 60

The team that won this debate is Joseph & Natalie representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Had great arguments but had more sources - ^{you need to back up your info better!} overall good job!
 Great pace.
 Ecstasy argument was good
 Good job asking questions!
 Had sources for each convention!!
 work on filler words!

Comments to Debaters:

Had many great citations and sources!
 Won argument on balloon effect
 Explained well on refuting about cartel
 looked at current situations
 40:14 ✓ good argument
 outstanding job!

These are the reasons for my decision:

They had ~~more~~ ~~info~~ background info & cited sources to win arguments. Such as balloon effect, Allocated budget & cartel. Also, group spoke clearly, had no filler words.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Jowita Chomentowska

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please enter speaker points for each individual student.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: <u>A (Kudos LL)</u> Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> <u>Con</u>	Team: <u>B (Chandler)</u> Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> <u>Con</u>
Speaker 1 Name: <u>Winnie Lam</u> <u>30</u> <u>1st</u>	Speaker 2 Name: <u>Vivian Lu</u> <u>28</u> <u>3rd</u>
Speaker 3 Name: <u>Elaine Liu</u> <u>28</u> <u>3rd</u>	Speaker 4 Name: <u>Catherine Lee</u> <u>29</u> <u>2nd</u>

Team Points 29

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points 28.5

The team that won this debate is Team A (Kudos LL) representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Winnie: Great speech, a perfect debater you attack the opposition well. Just make ~~it~~ connections with your opening statements to the resolution.

Elaine: Great speaker good debater but you need to help your partner more during the grand crossfire.

Comments to Debaters:

Vivian: Good Speech, and great debater, but you need to attack the opposition better as well as help your partner during the grand crossfire

Catherine: Excellent, good job on answering the opposing teams questions and you attack the opposition very well

These are the reasons for my decision:

Team A: Both Teams were excellent just that team A attack the opposing team better during the crossfire and were more aggressive otherwise it would of being an even fight.

Carl R. Val

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please enter speaker points for each individual student.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: <u>A</u> (<u>KUDOS KD</u>) Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> Con	Team: <u>B</u> (<u>NOVA 42</u>) Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> Con
Speaker 1 Name: <u>Casey Dean</u> <u>27</u> <u>3rd</u>	Speaker 2 Name: <u>John Wahus</u> <u>30</u> <u>1st</u>
Speaker 3 Name: <u>Ryan Ker</u> <u>27</u> <u>4th</u>	Speaker 4 Name: <u>Tiana Lopez</u> <u>30</u> <u>2nd</u>

Team Points 27

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points 30

The team that won this debate is Team B Nova representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:
 Casey: Good debate, try not to show any facial concern if your partner is making mistakes. Great speech, good show of evidence.
 Ryan: You a Hack your opposition very well

Comments to Debaters:
 John: Best speaker I seen ~~with~~ along with your partner. Love how you manipulated the opposi team to agree to your statements.
 Tiana: One of the best speakers I seen so far. Great debater, you and John were a perfect match for each other as a team. Great Chemistry

These are the reasons for my decision:

Team B because John manipulated the opposing team to agree to his ideas. Team A failed to make a reb. to the sale of weapons to other countries. ~~See~~ Team A seem to be lost in their evidence.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

[Handwritten Signature]

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please enter speaker points for each individual student.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: ~~JMS~~ Kudos WS Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker 1 Name: Edy Szeto ; 29

Speaker 3 Name: Kelly Wong ; 29

Team: JMS Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker 2 Name: Jade de Perio ; 29

Speaker 4 Name: Timothy Chung ; 28

Team Points 58

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points 57

The team that won this debate is Kudos WS representing the PRO CON (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

- increase use
- 8.7% at use drugs
- spending
- 800 billion \$
- U.S. can't afford
- 20,000 killings
- incarceration
- employment
- 800 billion dollars
- increase debt

Comments to Debaters:

- constitutional
- succeeding
- necessary
- effective
- not letting drugs be imported
- gov. changing policies
- 611 decline
- laws following policies
- violence increases

These are the reasons for my decision:

better argument overall, better crossfire. provided good statistics.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: AA

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please enter speaker points for each individual student.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: New West Charter Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker 1 Name: Aidan Shev; 29
 Speaker 3 Name: Grace Nelson; 28

Team: Kudos LF Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker 2 Name: William Lin; 27
 Speaker 4 Name: Kylin Feng; 28

Team Points 57

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points 55

The team that won this debate is New West SN representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

- do more harm
- die
- drug war
- devastation
- human lives
- a lot of deaths
- social consequences: economical
- overcrowded jails
- 32,000 lost citizens
- billion lost
- forgotten about other countries
- causing violence
- never asked

Comments to Debaters:

- necessary
- died in conflict
- infectious disease
- national interest
- war erupts
- violence down
- explosive
- preventing
- no progress
- wreck families
- latin vs. america
- no evidence
- eliminating diseases

1 min
 30 SEC
 10 SEC count down.

These are the reasons for my decision:

Better argument, especially during crossfire - U.S. has no business in interfering.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____



Ashley Song

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

mark.

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please enter speaker points for each individual student.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: <u>WILSHIRE</u> Circle Side: Pro <input checked="" type="radio"/> Con <input type="radio"/>	Team: <u>KUDOS</u> Circle Side: Pro <input type="radio"/> Con <input checked="" type="radio"/>
Speaker 1 Name: <u>Blaise</u>	Speaker 2 Name: <u>Jax</u>
Speaker 3 Name: <u>Blake</u>	Speaker 4 Name: <u>Kirk</u>

Team Points _____

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points 54

The team that won this debate is Kudos representing the PRO CON

Comments to Debaters:

Blaise: org. 2nd speech & rebuttal better. don't be so nervous. good during CX. too many intentions! more burden on you defend 6 intentions AND attack 3 of your opponents.

Comments to Debaters:

Jax: FIERCE IN CX! If you want to spread practice. PUFO should be slower. Kirk: be careful not to be rude during CX. let others talk too. don't intimidate during CX.

These are the reasons for my decision:

Kudos won. → stuck w/ resolution proved their framework backed up all arguments well. all stepped intention #1 & 2 off needed more impact on ref to con's intention #3. (US leadership) there is a whole argument on why negating is BAD. read your evidence more.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

[Handwritten Signature] NWA

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School
May 25, 2013

30 sec

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please enter speaker points for each individual student.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: <u>Kudos</u> Circle Side: Pro <input checked="" type="radio"/> Con	Team: <u>Wilshire</u> Circle Side: Pro <input type="radio"/> Con <input checked="" type="radio"/>
Speaker 1 Name: <u>Michael</u> 25	Speaker 2 Name: <u>Carota Candilla</u> 28
Speaker 3 Name: <u>Julie</u> 24	Speaker 4 Name: <u>Hojwon</u> 29

Team Points 49

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points 57

The team that won this debate is Wilshire representing the PRO CON

Comments to Debaters:
Michael: dont read too much.
1st speech could be stronger

Comments to Debaters:
Candilla: good 1st CX
good summary speech.

Julie: LISTEN TO OPP'S CASE!
dont read too much.
decent final focus

Hojwon: very confident & focused
good summary speech!!
final focus 1st could be more organized
good speakers overall!!

These are the reasons for my decision:
PK shined more impact on 1, 3, 4 contentions & overall
AFF needed more impact
CON defended points better
needed to focus on contention 2 more.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Ashley MOVA

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please enter speaker points for each individual student.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: <u>Nova 42</u> Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> Con	Team: <u>Kudos WL</u> Circle Side: Pro <u>Con</u>
Speaker 1 Name: <u>Caleb McCoy</u>	Speaker 2 Name: <u>Albert Wen</u>
Speaker 3 Name: <u>Ian Tera</u>	Speaker 4 Name: <u>Harry Liang</u>

Team Points 30

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points 29

The team that won this debate is Nova 42 representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:
 Caleb - hurting the environment
 A - Freedom - making money counter productive (more violent) → no impact?
 B - other routes need to be found. President stands up to the US
 C - increase violence (not US) or weaken institutions
 D - destroy environment
 → don't address the main issue → harm legalization
 These are the reasons for my decision:
 Nova 42 had more evidence and statistics stated.

Comments to Debaters:
 Albert → no policy will help stop the crime → lack of intervention to stop it.
 (classic standards)
 B = murders / violence → police cost lives - reduce violence
 C = shift focus away all budget
 D = all or none
 "evidence"
 - get out full access to military.

Harry - Framework Argument: what routes?
How does it support?
Shiftly focus
Columbus supports
no harm
hurts the business

Harry → Good job keep your cool - nice points

→ Albert your info is well said! keep it up
 → Caleb try to be able to explain in your own words.
 But great job!
 → Ian → Amazing talking = clear

You guys should use all prep time!

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1 ✓	4 min
Speaker 2 ✓	4 min
Crossfire (1&2) ✓	3 min
Speaker 3 ✓	4 min
Speaker 4 ✓	4 min
Crossfire (3&4) ✓	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary ✓	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary ✓	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All) ✓	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus ✓	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus ✓	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please enter speaker points for each individual student.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: <u>UNI</u> Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> <u>Con</u>	Team: <u>Kudos SC</u> Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> <u>Con</u>
Speaker 1 Name: <u>Yenah Kim</u>	Speaker 2 Name: <u>Angela Chen</u>
Speaker 3 Name: <u>Eunice Park</u>	Speaker 4 Name: <u>Nora Sharp</u>

Team Points <u>29</u>	29-30 Outstanding 27-28 Above Average 24-26 Average	22-23 Below Average	Team Points <u>30</u>
-----------------------	---	---------------------	-----------------------

The team that won this debate is Kudos SC representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:
 Yenah - Temporary
 not decrease violence
 Profits to guard supply
 with that security
 (costs); point
 - increase violence
 - massive budget conflict
 - columbian
 - not want help

Eunice
 - include cocaine
 = balloon effect
 supply, not attacks
 Alternative
 rehab
 - supply Demand
 rehab
 more recent source.

Comments to Debaters:
 Angela -
 to reduce cocaine -
 - cooperation
 1- policies are working
 2- strength of policy
 Ability to control
 - needed in order to
 stop drug cartels
 0% no-
 - easy access to
 drug money

Nora
 - 30 - what I stated
 - increase
 - control flow
 - educational
 generation
 argues on our
 side
 policies changed in 2010

These are the reasons for my decision:
 Yenah → have evidence that there is violence
 Nora → Great voice / Great talkies & examples
 Eunice → Had a more recent source so Nora's evidence didn't work
 Angela → Great job keep it up
 Yenah + Eunice =
 Big Cards ...
 For quotes!

Tree cards have no time left -
 - Yenah - Big cards left time
 - pro had better evidence

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1 ✓	4 min
Speaker 2 ✓	4 min
Crossfire (1&2) ✓	3 min
Speaker 3 ✓	4 min
Speaker 4 ✓	4 min
Crossfire (3&4) ✓	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary ✓	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary ✓	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All) ✓	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please enter speaker points for each individual student.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: <u>(A) JMS BWJT</u> Circle Side: Pro <input type="radio"/> Con <input checked="" type="radio"/>	Team: <u>(B) UNI APNK</u> Circle Side: Pro <input checked="" type="radio"/> Con <input type="radio"/>
Speaker 1 Name: <u>Jaclyn Takeuchi 27</u>	Speaker 2 Name: <u>Anna Park 28</u>
Speaker 3 Name: <u>Brandon Wong 28</u>	Speaker 4 Name: <u>Nathan Kim 29</u>

Team Points 55

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points 57

The team that won this debate is UNI ~~APNK~~ APNK representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Jaclyn Takeuchi - Loud and clear. 27 ↑
 Very well done.
 Prep time - 2:00min keep up the good work.
 ↓
 Brandon Wong - Good eye contact. 28
 very well done.
 Spoke clearly.

Comments to Debaters:

Nathan Kim - Loud but too fast. 29
 Everything was on point.
 Prep time - 2:00 started everything very clearly. Very very well done.
 Confident. (keep up the good work)
 ↓
 Anna Park - loud and clear. 28
 Argued her points clearly.

These are the reasons for my decision:

The PRO side explained everything and made it very understanding for me. They argued their point across.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Ang

Jaclyn ^{Tateuchi} Love the confidence.
Well prepared.

1st speaker.
3:21
2nd round - 2:22.

- ① constitutional
- ② Anti drug policies are not failing.
- ~~③~~ ~~#~~
- Not failing but succeeding -

~~Anna Park~~
Nathan Kim - too fast.

speaker 2:
4:09 2:12
2nd round ~~2:22~~

- ① ?
- ② current anti drug policies are corrupt.
corrupted money.

Crossfire: Nathan Kim explained everything. 3:12
He answered everything.
Jaclyn was on point.
She was arguing her point very well.

Brandon Wong - Really good.
*5.2 million drugs from Mexico.

Speaker 3, 1:30
3:12
second round - ~~2:22~~

- Anna Park - People are dying
- Failing (proven)
 - All of the anti drug policies are failing. And more people are dying.
 - Stated everything.

Speaker 4.
4:03
second round - 2:05

Crossfire - Both really good. (3:08min)

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please enter speaker points for each individual student.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: <u>Wilshire</u> Circle Side: <input checked="" type="radio"/> Pro <input type="radio"/> Con	Team: <u>Kudos</u> Circle Side: <input type="radio"/> Pro <input checked="" type="radio"/> Con
Speaker 1 Name: <u>Isabel Jang</u> <u>30</u>	Speaker 2 Name: <u>Michelle Jeon</u> <u>29</u>
Speaker 3 Name: <u>Anika Lee</u> <u>30</u>	Speaker 4 Name: <u>Lauren Song</u> <u>29</u>

Team Points 60

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points 58

The team that won this debate is Wilshire representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters: Wilshire
 Anika she was very passionate about her position. Rebutal was excellent, went over all points. Isabel also good in the rebutal and crossfire questions.

Comments to Debaters: Kudos
 Michelle did a very great job. I liked the rebutal where she questions the sources and validity of it. Great point Lauren did good at reinstating the points and giving facts.

These are the reasons for my decision:

Both teams where great but the other team didn't rebutal all points like the meaning of policy. They went point by point and it was more clear.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Iris Plasencia

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please enter speaker points for each individual student.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: _____ Circle Side: Pro Con	Team: _____ Circle Side: Pro Con
Speaker 1 Name: _____	Speaker 2 Name: _____
Speaker 3 Name: _____	Speaker 4 Name: _____

Team Points _____

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points _____

The team that won this debate is Chandler PD representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Comments to Debaters:

PSA
[Handwritten signature]

These are the reasons for my decision:

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please enter speaker points for each individual student.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: Nova 42 Circle Side: Pro Con
Speaker 1 Name: Sory Nachabe 27
Speaker 3 Name: Joe Sung Park 26

Team: JMS BWMT Circle Side: Pro Con
Speaker 2 Name: Brenda Wong 28
Speaker 4 Name: May Tong 29

Team Points 53

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points 57

The team that won this debate is JMS BWMT representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Sory = Good projection. What would make that projection better is looking up & giving eye contact.

Joe Sung - Strong evidence. You should analyze it more ~~so~~ so I will know why that argument matters. Try not to cut off or interrupt people.

Comments to Debaters:

Brenda: I really liked how you froze Sory in the cross-fire. You took command. Try to elaborate more. If they dropped your contentions, extend & explain its significance.

May: Very commanding voice. Good evidence & projection.

These are the reasons for my decision:

What if ultimately came down to was the cost of law enforcement agencies. Now this might not have been the strongest point for AFF. but it was the only one left standing with credible evidence.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Kudlos

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please enter speaker points for each individual student.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: <u>(A) JMS BWJT</u> Circle Side: Pro <u>(Con)</u>	Team: <u>(B) UNI APNK</u> Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> Con
Speaker 1 Name: <u>Jaclyn Takeuchi 27</u>	Speaker 2 Name: <u>Anna Park 28</u>
Speaker 3 Name: <u>Brandon Wong 28</u>	Speaker 4 Name: <u>Nathan Kim 29</u>

Team Points 55

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points 57

The team that won this debate is UNI ~~JMS~~ APNK representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Jaclyn Takeuchi - Loud and clear. 27 ↑
Very well done.
Prep time - 2:00min keep up the good work.
↓
Brandon Wong - Good eye contact. 28
Very well done.
Spoke clearly.

Comments to Debaters:

Nathan Kim - Loud but too fast. 29
Prep time - 2:00 Everything was on point.
started everything very clearly. Very very well done.
Confident. (keep up the good work)
↓
Anna Park - loud and clear. 28
Argued her points clearly.

These are the reasons for my decision:
The PRO side explained everything and made it very understanding for me. They argued their point across.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Ang