

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School
 May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please assign individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Partner is sick

Team: <u>Kudos WX</u> Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> <u>Con</u>	Team: <u>Chandler PD</u> Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> <u>Con</u>
Speaker 1 Name: <u>Michelle Xu</u> ²⁵	Speaker 2 Name: <u>Indu Pandey</u> ²⁸
Speaker 3 Name: <u>Michael Wu</u> ²⁷	Speaker 4 Name: _____

Team Points 52

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points 28

The team that won this debate is Kudos WX representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please Circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

- Focus less on providing answers on how U.S. policies could be improved, but argue more on why they're doing more harm than good.
 - As courtesy, please ~~avoid~~ refrain from speaking about & expressing frustration while the other person is speaking.

Comments to Debaters:

- Great job at speaking. Extremely persuasive. Problem is that you lack evidence
 - Focus on getting more evidence & maybe don't spread yourself too thin by only trying to fit as many contentions in
 - Time management → crossfire is not a time to expand your arguments but to focus on crossfire is not other arguments

These are the reasons for my decision:

PRO had plenty of evidence, which allowed them to speak up more specifically even though CON was much more persuasive in speech.
CON misconstructed PRO's argument about her bacides namely, she ~~misstated~~ stated that it is only drugs that are being affected, when PRO clearly provided detailed examples which stated entire biodiversity being affected.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Jacqueline MZ NOVA 42 Assistant
Debate Coach

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please assign individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: KUDOS Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker 1 Name: Winnie Lam 27

Speaker 3 Name: Elaine Liu 27

Team: JMS Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker 2 Name: Jade de Perio 29

Speaker 4 Name: Timothy Chung 27

Team Points 27

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points 28

The team that won this debate is JMS CdP representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Winnie: great voice, presence, better eye contact, less reading during later half, polite, respectful, great defender, organized

Comments to Debaters:

Good teamwork
Jade: good eye contact, vocal expressiveness, great use of crossfire attacks and defense, kept on topic, polite

Elaine: speak more in crossfire, ask for clarification don't just ignore or avoid topic. Strong voice, good eye contact

Timothy: better use of time, great speaker but less to say, I wanted to hear more, more clarity in crossfire, great use of emphasis

These are the reasons for my decision:

Several arguments were not completely addressed or countered on both sides. Strong, unique arguments were utilized by Kudos and JMS provided a strong presence and counter-argumentative strategy throughout.

Kudos gave strong attacks that gave them an edge but JMS had ~~some~~ ^{a couple points} remaining that were not addressed by Kudos during crossfire. In particular the rehabilitation framework presented and strong push about the unwinnable war. Kudos had brilliant statistics but

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Caleb Oden

missed a couple points

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please assign individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: <u>UNI APNK</u>	Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> Con
Speaker 1 Name: <u>Nathan Kim</u>	<u>27</u>
Speaker 3 Name: <u>Anna Park</u>	<u>24</u>

Team: <u>Kudos RW</u>	Circle Side: Pro <u>Con</u>
Speaker 2 Name: <u>Jax Rounds</u>	<u>27</u>
Speaker 4 Name: <u>Kirk Wu</u>	<u>26</u>

Team Points 51

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points 53

The team that won this debate is Kudos RW representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

- (Speaker) ③ - make more eye contact; but improved in Final Focus
- Should avoid letting other side ask same question twice. The 1st time you attempted to answer it, your answer lacked evidence
- Be familiar w/ format of debate!
- ①: Spoke well & clear - good job

Comments to Debaters:

- Great job in addressing ballooning effect right off the bat
- Try to cite examples encompassing L.A. as a whole, as opposed to just Colombia
- ③ Tailor speed of your speech to judge in room

These are the reasons for my decision:

PRO side dropped disease & ballooning effect/wing pin arguments presented by CON side.

PRO did not answer what CON pointed out (how is cocaine directly & explicitly related to drugs)

PRO: pay attention to wording; just b/c you mention disease does not mean it directly relates to what they're talking about
CON did a better job in paying attention to details & wording, which allowed them to attack & question the PRO side's argument well.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Jacqueline CMZ NOVA 42 Assistant Debate Coach

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please assign individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: <u>Chandler LL</u> Circle Side: <input checked="" type="radio"/> Pro <input type="radio"/> Con	Team: <u>Kudos KD</u> Circle Side: <input type="radio"/> Pro <input checked="" type="radio"/> Con
Speaker 1 Name: <u>Vivian Lu 28</u>	Speaker 2 Name: <u>Casey Duan 28</u>
Speaker 3 Name: <u>Catherine Lee 28</u>	Speaker 4 Name: <u>Ryan Lee 29</u>

Team Points 56

29-30 Outstanding
 27-28 Above Average
 24-26 Average

22-23 Below Average

Team Points 57

The team that won this debate is Kudos KD representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

1) Insights into
 2) Increases stability
 3) Increases terrorist

- speaker 1: work on standing in place, good volume.
- speaker 2: Good use content, do not apologize during a debate when nothing is
- Good points
- Be sure to try to address all opponent's contentions.

Comments to Debaters:

1) Murders, about 100,000 and with cocaine
 2) Statistics grow up drug policies are not
 3) Prevented terror attacks
 4) Many harms caused by the use of drugs

- Speaker 1: Good volume but don't keep your paper so close to your mouth while talking.
- speaker 2: Good volume, work on eye contact.
- Strong points.
- Good job on addressing contentions.

These are the reasons for my decision:

Both teams had very good information but only one team addressed all their opponents contentions which was "Kudos KD". This was one of my reasons for the decision. The second reason would be that in the second crossfire, "Chandler LL" did not clearly answer the questions that were asked. When it came down to this decision, it was hard to choose because both teams did very well.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: [Signature] - GCC

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School
 May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please assign individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: <u>JMS BWMT</u> Circle Side: Pro <input type="checkbox"/> Con <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Team: <u>Kudos SJ</u> Circle Side: Pro <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Con <input type="checkbox"/>
Speaker 1 Name: <u>Brenda Wong 26</u>	Speaker 2 Name: <u>Michelle Jean 28</u>
Speaker 3 Name: <u>Mary Tang 29</u>	Speaker 4 Name: <u>Lauren Slog 30</u>

Team Points 55

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points 50

The team that won this debate is Kudos SJ representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

1) Increase jobs
 2) Saves money
 3) Beneficial

- Struggled to answer questions in first crossfire.
- Good job on rebuttal, opponent's evidence.
- Good volume.
- Weak on the content.

Comments to Debaters:

1) Unnecessary amount of evidence and interesting
 2) Unlabeled human rights
 3) Substitution programs to help work
 4) Coddling public a feature

- Good questions in first crossfire
- Good job on rebuttal, opponent's content
- Good volume.
- Good eye contact.
- Reason, clearness a bit more.
- Good information.

These are the reasons for my decision:

Both teams had good information and were able to address their opponents' contentions. The reason for my decision is that speaker 1 failed to answer the questions she was asked in the first crossfire. "JMS BWMT" also agreed with the affirmative on one of their points. Performance-wise, the affirmative was clearly more prepared.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: MCC. [Signature] - GCC

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please assign individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: NOVA 92 TW Circle Side: Pro Con
Speaker 1 Name: Tim Treinen
Speaker 3 Name: Ethan Wu

Team: _____ Circle Side: Pro Con
Speaker 2 Name: _____
Speaker 4 Name: _____

Team Points _____

29-30 Outstanding
27-28 Above Average
24-26 Average
22-23 Below Average

Team Points _____

The team that won this debate is _____ representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Bye

Comments to Debaters:

These are the reasons for my decision:

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please assign individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: UNI EPYK Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker 1 Name: Yunah Kim 27

Speaker 3 Name: Eunice Park 30

Team: JMS BWJT Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker 2 Name: Jaclyn Takeuchi 26

Speaker 4 Name: Brandon Wong 28

Team Points 57

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points 54

The team that won this debate is UNI EPYK representing the PRO CON (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Yunah - eye contact → more
 • they say drug prices are ↓, not ↑
 they don't really defend themselves well through.

Eunice - good eye contact, volume / 2nd cross - look @ judge during cross

Comments to Debaters:

Jaclyn - don't hunch back and forth, make more eye contact.

cross - rehab is an alternative policy, even if you didn't specifically name it as such

Brandon: try not to be so aggressive

My neg flow is very blank on the aff side - try to rebut their case more effectively.

Jaclyn - be better prepared for your summary.

These are the reasons for my decision:

opponents fail to address much of their case. UNI did a good job covering all critical points and explaining points clearly

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Celena Chen

Kudos

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please assign individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: <u>Nova 42 MT</u> Circle Side: Pro <input type="radio"/> Con <input checked="" type="radio"/>	Team: <u>Wilshire LJ</u> Circle Side: <input checked="" type="radio"/> Pro <input type="radio"/> Con
Speaker 1 Name: <u>Caleb McCoy</u> 27	Speaker 2 Name: <u>Isabel Jara</u> 26
Speaker 3 Name: <u>Ian Tian</u> 26	Speaker 4 Name: <u>Anika Lee</u> 28

Team Points 53
26.5 avg

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points 54
27 avg

The team that won this debate is Wilshire LJ representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Caleb: enunciate more clearly, eye contact.
your speech needs to be more well-rehearsed,
less hesitation, shuffling papers.
try not to make sweeping, blanket arguments -
difficult to defend. "all policies changing"
Ian: you could afford to speak faster.

Comments to Debaters:

Good job on defense, Anika!
Anika, good volume, assertion, projection, eye contact
Isabel, you could use some work on enunciation. Overall
good speeches.

on both sides, -there isn't much clash).
neither of you address each others'
cases well.

These are the reasons for my decision:

Wilshire did a better job on the framework debate - pointed out
key flaws in their opponent's "changing" policies argument. Nova fails
to provide details about policies or to answer specific questions
on it.

Both teams are excellent debaters! Keep debating!

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Celena Chen

Kudos

Song, Ashley

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School
May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please assign individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: <u>Kudos</u> Circle Side: Pro Con	Team: <u>PSA</u> Circle Side: Pro Con
Speaker 1 Name: <u>Albert</u>	Speaker 2 Name: _____
Speaker 3 Name: <u>Happy</u>	Speaker 4 Name: _____

Team Points _____

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points _____

The team that won this debate is _____ representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Comments to Debaters:

Drop

These are the reasons for my decision:

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please assign individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: RIS KK Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker 1 Name: Lauren Kong 30
 Speaker 3 Name: Brian Kong 30

Team: Kudos Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker 2 Name: Joseph King 29
 Speaker 4 Name: Natalie Wang 29

Team Points 60

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points 58

The team that won this debate is RIS KK
(Team Name for the Winning Team)

representing the PRO CON
(Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Lauren Kong - nice tone & eye contact.
 Brian Kong - very good at reaching out to the listener & making your point. Good eye contact. strong tone.
 Lauren Kong - Great job during the cross fire arguing against all the statements that the opponents have made.

Comments to Debaters:

Joseph King - Great. Connecting with the listener even when reading fast.
 Natalie Wang - Good eye contact even when reading fast.
 During the cross fire missed to argue against a lot of points that the opponents have made. At some point they agree & disagree with the opponents. Some statements contradict one another.

These are the reasons for my decision:

The 1st team did a better job arguing against all the contentions that the opponents have made. The second team did not completely disagree with what the opponents have said, at one point they agreed and then said the opposite. During crossfires the second team made a weak argument when answering the questions of Team #1. Should have stronger facts to argue against all the contentions that the opponents made.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: [Signature] college student

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School
 May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please assign individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: Wilshire Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker 1 Name: Blake Ro 30
 Speaker 3 Name: _____

Team: Kudos Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker 2 Name: William Lin 29
 Speaker 4 Name: Kylin Feng 29

Team Points 69

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points 58

The team that won this debate is Wilshire representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:
 Blake Ro - Great Speaker. Strong tone. Confident Posture. Very good job during the crossfires. Handling the arguments well & in a strong manner even though he was without a partner. 30.

Comments to Debaters:
 William Lin - Good job during the crossfire. Speaking well, maybe add more power. Avoid saying "um, uhm". Overall, great job! 29
 Kylin Feng - Good speaker! Made good points. A little stumbles during crossfires but managed it well. 29
 Drop some arguments, get lost during point/counter out the contentions.

These are the reasons for my decision:

The debate was a little messy because both sides seemed confused at times. They would get lost during when pointing out the contentions. Team Wilshire handled the crossfires very well, with strong points, arguments, & smoothly. The Kudos Team had a hard time answering the questions and arguing against the points that Wilshire would make. Both had some stumbles regarding contentions, but Wilshire made stronger points & fought against the contentions better.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: [Signature] college student

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School
 May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please assign individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: Nova 42 Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker 1 Name: John Nahas *god v26*
 Speaker 3 Name: Tiana Lopez *28*

Team: Chandler KA Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker 2 Name: Tony Kokavia *- god eye*
 Speaker 4 Name: Nikhil Adarkar *26*

Team Points 27
1:15
god ex
god ex center

29-30 Outstanding
 27-28 Above Average
 24-26 Average
 22-23 Below Average

Team Points 26

The team that won this debate is Nova 42
(Team Name for the Winning Team)

representing the PRO CON *1:30*
(Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:
 Why did you need the definition?
 - bad impact
 All 3 arg link to lives, god
 All arguments based on etymology?
 - too many numbers
 - bad cross Link extinction?
 - bad analysis
 - too much future / needs present

Comments to Debaters:
 Needs more facts - don't expect peace zone
 • Good speed / voice
 • What "saves" - Show Impact
 • Try not to stutter / pause (Both)
 • Hegemony impact? -> Trade?
 - admitted draw - good timing
 - admitted draw - good timing
 - admitted draw - good timing
 • No link?
 • confusing Taliban argu
 • Good evidence but no explanation (copy)

These are the reasons for my decision:
 • Both need to face judge during CX
 • Don't spend lots of time refuting points in Final Focus

• Wins peace zone argument
 • Wins at-gncc argument
 • Loss extinction
 ↳ points -> irregular

• Wins ~~the~~ cost benefit
 • Wins project columbic
 • Lacks statistic
 ruin time frame

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Edison Wong Kudos

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Alana Jim

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please assign individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: <u>PSA</u> Circle Side: Pro Con	Team: <u>Nova 42</u> Circle Side: Pro Con
Speaker 1 Name: _____	Speaker 2 Name: _____
Speaker 3 Name: _____	Speaker 4 Name: _____

Team Points _____	29-30 Outstanding 27-28 Above Average 24-26 Average	22-23 Below Average	Team Points _____
-------------------	---	---------------------	-------------------

The team that won this debate is _____ representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters: _____

Drop

These are the reasons for my decision:

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Alaina Jims

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please assign individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: Nova 42 Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker 1 Name: Sary 25
 Speaker 3 Name: Jaesung Park 27

Team: Kudos Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker 2 Name: Cecily 29
 Speaker 4 Name: Mer Johnson 27

Team Points 26

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points 28

The team that won this debate is Kudos representing the PRO CON

Comments to Debaters:

Comments to Debaters:

Be clear on your framework. This sets up the entire debate. The resolution isn't about alternatives to what we are currently doing.

- Work on pronunciation of names
- Be able to support your points with evidence.
- Nice job in CX + good thinking on your feet. Just have a more solid case next time!

These are the reasons for my decision: Solid case next time!

- Good use of evidence to counter their points
- Good use of All or Nothing Framework
- Work on pronunciation
- Watch using ~~repetitive~~ absurd or illogical analogies.

The con made better evidential support + a more solid case.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Alaina Jims - Ribet

Nava - Pro 45s 30s

Sp. 1 - Enunciate & Know pronunciation

Cont 1 - Drug prohibition

Deadly, costly

- Don't dismantle gangs - more powerful than ever
- Drug war damages business
- Policy does address main issue

CX

Con? Hydra effect - take one Kingpin down - another comes up

Spending on new policy? No exact evidence

Framework? TALK about alternatives? - Not talking about alternatives

Speaker 3 - Nova

Impossible to end drug case - Calderon evidence

Impossible to stop drug trade into the US - Does this mean we shouldn't try?

- Fox News - crackdown only left drug cartels more powerful (I hesitate to say Fox is a legit source)

US Govt - Not constitutional power

US Govt - real problem.

Speaker 4 - Kudos

Framework - We are allowed to provide alt. - See framework - valid?

- Hydra effect - invalid - old policy

Legalization is bad - 4 yr old buying crack? A bit of a stretch!

Policies don't effect Latin American business

- Anti drug policy is working - evidence

SX

Hydra effect - which is more valuable - economy or relations

Do anti drug policies have anything to do with economy.

Policies for anti drug haven't changed much - have they changed at all?

How? Nova Sp 1 Summary

Addressed 4 yr old buying crack - valid criteria = ? How?

Kudos

Countered framework
no source for prohibition

Other nations can't fend for themselves (?)
Yes, they can.

Kudos - Con 30s 2s

Sp 2 - All or Nothing Framework

Cont 1 - Control working Percentages down - cocaine ↓ %

Cont 2 - Gang involvement

~~cont 2~~ Good facts

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please assign individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: <u>Kudos</u> Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> <u>24</u>	Team: <u>New West</u> Circle Side: <u>Con</u> <u>28</u>
Speaker 1 Name: <u>Joshua</u>	Speaker 2 Name: <u>Aidan</u>
Speaker 3 Name: <u>Jackie</u> <u>27</u>	Speaker 4 Name: <u>Grace</u> <u>23</u>

Team Points <u>51</u>	29-30 Outstanding 27-28 Above Average 24-26 Average	22-23 Below Average	Team Points <u>51</u>
-----------------------	---	---------------------	-----------------------

The team that won this debate is Kudos representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Joshua: took up (paper blocked your case needs more impact face)
LISTEN TO OPP'S CASE!!!!
good grand CX.

Jackie: confident, good eye contact
good 1st CX

Comments to Debaters:

Aidan: awesome summary speech!
good grand CX - be nice during CX
it's OK if opp dont answer your question -> restate & mention in speech.

Grace: need more organized rebuttals
be clear during CX
participate in grand CX
• expand 2nd contention. need impact.
• subpoints and help.

These are the reasons for my decision:

aff stuck w/ the resolution more & better though
neg had good points but were less relevant to resolution
neg: needed to present their evidence clearer
including case, stat, effects, etc.
both sides need more impact on all contentions & rebuttals.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Joshua NOVA

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please assign individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: KUDOS WS Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker 1 Name: CATY SZETO 30
 Speaker 3 Name: KELLY WONG 24

Team: UNI WK Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker 2 Name: Shawn Woo 28
 Speaker 4 Name: CHRIS KIM 27

Team Points 59

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points 55

The team that won this debate is Kudos WS representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

The 1st Affirmative speaker likely is one of the most articulate middle school debaters whom this judge has seen this season. This speaker is gifted: solid diction, excellent timber in her pitch, nice command of the speaking space, good eye contact, etc. Normally these skills are learned in high school, so it is impressive to see here. Similarly gifted is the 2nd Affirmative speaker, whose, "If terrorism is still ~~around~~, analysis stuck through the round. Superior work here, too.

These are the reasons for my decision.

Comments to Debaters:

The 1st Negative speaker appeared a bit shy but this is common in middle school debate and nothing about which to overly concerned. The 1st Negative speaker offered some good replies during crossfire and he should build on that skill. The 2nd Negative speaker also has much potential as a debater, but he needs to learn to use all of his speaking time. (This, again, is simply a matter of growing and maturing as a debater.)

This Round was won by the Affirmative team which upheld the Resolution in such a way as to warrant an Affirmative ballot. The Affirmative team is, above all, a well-organized pairing, a cohesive debate unit. This naturally counterintuitive Resolution traditionally weakens Affirmative teams but that was not the case here. The Negative teams needs to focus more on stretching out their arguments and using the full time allotted for each of their speeches: there were too many Negative speeches that were coming up short on time. But this is a natural problem for middle school debaters and the Negative team will learn to speak longer as they continue their debate education. The Affirmative team presented effective rebuttals which helped them secure this ballot.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: David Finnigan (Nova 42 Academy)

Thank you, debaters of Kudos and UNI.

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please assign individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: Kudos SC Circle Side: Pro Con
Speaker 1 Name: Angela Chen 27
Speaker 3 Name: Nora Sharp 28

Team: Wilshire KS Circle Side: Pro Con
Speaker 2 Name: Lyna Kim 28
Speaker 4 Name: Tiffany Shin 28

Team Points _____

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points _____

The team that won this debate is Wilshire KS representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Comments to Debaters:

Angela: Great defender, great use of teamwork, balanced team

Lyna: great speaker vocally, impressive presence, great control of crossfire, great attacks

Nora: Very prepared and organized. Soft spoken but polite. Strong argumentative voice.

Tiffany: a little aggressive → more respect to opponents. Very knowledgeable about topic. Strong ideas, analytical skills

These are the reasons for my decision:

Contention 3 or softside approach of Pro side wasn't as impactful as it should have been. Areas This area of argument was touched upon too often during debate to be left ambiguous. Pro, however, kept up against the 'legalization good' and attacked often / defended well. Con was a little more organized and focused and kept up with their required points. Con was a little aggressive and should tone down their attitudes during crossfire. Apology was heard and commended.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Caleb Oden

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please assign individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: JMS NS Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker 1 Name: Sanjaye Narayan 29

Speaker 3 Name: Nelson Scott 29

Team: Kudos WH Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker 2 Name: Michael Huang 28

Speaker 4 Name: Julie Wang 27

Team Points 59

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points 55

The team that won this debate is PRO JMS NS representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Sanjaye - good damaging effects.
military - good sources.
Current policies fail - good details.

Crossfire - ~~you made the con with~~
great question to ~~ask~~ the other team.
about balloon effect. they had to agree with you.

Nelson - good response to argument/con case.
Flawed contention.
good sources "unwinnable war" lead me to believe your statement. good quotes.

Crossfire - well done

These are the reasons for my decision:

Pro showed more strength in combining arguments and stronger statements

everyone did a great job!

Comments to Debaters:

Michael - well organized made me believe your denunciation of the resolution. Well back with information.
parallel government - good detail

Crossfire - good detail - U.S. intervention will be better w/o the intervention.
good effort use of statistics & questions

Julie - good use of creative thoughts & ideas to support your case.
- statement: "we may achieve a place w/o drugs. was a tall order to believe"

grand cross
military - good point.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please assign individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: <u>KUDOS CC</u>	Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> Con
Speaker 1 Name: <u>JUSTIN CHUNG</u>	<u>28</u>
Speaker 3 Name: <u>ANGELA CHEN</u>	<u>27</u>

Team: <u>WILSHIRE PK</u>	Circle Side: Pro <u>Con</u>
Speaker 2 Name: <u>CANDILLA POPE</u>	<u>29</u>
Speaker 4 Name: <u>HUJUN KIM</u>	<u>30</u>

Team Points 52

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points 59

The team that won this debate is CON representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Justin - good sources, effective points - ineffective + expensive little progress in disbanding criminals
 Balloon effect will backfire - good believable point.
 "their approach is unwin"
 Angela - good rebut about peace zones good point decriminalization.
 Crossfire Nailed ~~Justin~~ Hujun on decriminalization

Comments to Debaters:


Candilla
~~Angela~~ = statement that was a game changer - "the At/pro team cannot give alternate laws/suggestions"
 good source and argument about peace zones many good sources on saving lives, tourism, foreign relations, better trade.
 good points - decriminalization bring up non-topical points from other side
 Crossfire was Great points - ~~about a~~
 Hujun - lead me to understand and want to believe
 good point - resolution scite
 * proposing plan was nothing to do with current policies
 Crossfire - Master the definition & defines rules which corners the other side
 good defence.

These are the reasons for my decision:

Con team lead me to my decision due to the fact they they spoken with authority & confidence lead most arguments

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____



Alaina Sims

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please assign individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: Nova 42 Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker 1 Name: Sary 25
 Speaker 3 Name: Jaesuhg Park 27

Team: Kudos Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker 2 Name: Cecily 29
 Speaker 4 Name: Mer Johnson 27

Team Points 26

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points 28

The team that won this debate is Kudos representing the PRO CON

Comments to Debaters:

Comments to Debaters:

- Be clear on your framework. This sets up the entire debate.
- The resolution isn't about alternatives to what we are currently doing.
- work on pronunciation of names
- Be able to support your points with evidence.
- Nice job in CX + good thinking on your feet. Just have a more solid case next time!

- Good use of evidence to counter their points
- Good use of All or Nothing Framework
- work on pronunciation
- Watch using ~~repetitive~~ absurd or illogical analogies.

These are the reasons for my decision: solid case next time!

The con had better evidential support + a more solid case.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Alaina Sims - Ribet