

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please assign individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: <u>Wilshire</u>	Circle Side: Pro <input type="radio"/> Con <input checked="" type="radio"/>
Speaker 1 Name: <u>Lyn Kimmin</u>	<u>30</u>
Speaker 3 Name: <u>Tiffany Skin</u>	<u>30</u>

Team: <u>Chandler</u>	Circle Side: Pro <input checked="" type="radio"/> Con <input type="radio"/>
Speaker 2 Name: <u>Indu Pandey</u>	<u>29</u>
Speaker 4 Name: _____	_____

Team Points 30

29-30 Outstanding
27-28 Above Average
24-26 Average

22-23 Below Average

Team Points 29

The team that won this debate is Wilshire representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Wilshire:
Lyn was very clear in her points and also in rebuttaling the other teams points.
Tiffany great job in catching that contradiction from the other team.

Comments to Debaters:

Chandler:
Indu seemed very passionate about the topic and trying to prove her points. Great job.

These are the reasons for my decision:

They addressed the points made by the other team. Their rebuttal was very impressive. It was very clear.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Chris Plasencia

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please assign individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: New West Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker 1 Name: Adden Shev 28
 Speaker 3 Name: Grace Nelson 27

Team: Kudos Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker 2 Name: Jay R 28
 Speaker 4 Name: Kira Wu 28

Team Points 27

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points 28

The team that won this debate is Kudos representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters: New West
Very Good.

Comments to Debaters: Kudos
Great evidence and

These are the reasons for my decision:

Team Kudos had more evidence to prove their points

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

LPW

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please assign individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: Nova 42 Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker 1 Name: Jack Crawford

Speaker 3 Name: Michael Brennan

Team: Kudos SC Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker 2 Name: Angela Chen

Speaker 4 Name: Nora Sharp

Team Points 28

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points 27

The team that won this debate is Kudos SC representing the PRO CON

Comments to Debaters:

- You guys make really good points
- Great questions! And responses!
- Simple yet effective arguments, nice!
- Jack, you did a lot of reading off, try to be extemporaneous with your responses
- Really good questions during cross fire guys!

Comments to Debaters:

- Nice ~~point~~ ^{pointing} you a little slow for debate but still got points acrossed and actually work to your advantage
- Nice catch on dropped contention
- Acknowledging that they've dropped several of your reasons won you the debate.

These are the reasons for my decision:

Team Nova 42 convinced me to side with the Aff just a little too late. They made a really great argument that outside won them the debate during the Grand Cross Fire. PFD rule that no new arguments can be brought up after the magic line which is before Speaker 1 Summary. They have failed to respond to arguments given by Kudos SC which lead me to giving that team the W.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: [Signature]

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please assign individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: <u>A</u>	Circle Side: Pro Con
Speaker 1 Name: <u>Ethan Tim Treinen</u>	<u>29</u>
Speaker 3 Name: <u>Ethan W</u>	<u>30</u>

Team: <u>B</u>	Circle Side: Pro Con
Speaker 2 Name: <u>Michelle Jean</u>	<u>30</u>
Speaker 4 Name: <u>Lauren Sen.</u>	<u>30</u>

Team Points 29

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points 30

The team that won this debate is Team B representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Tim: Good evidence, a lot of facts. Need to slow down a bit.
Ethan: Great supporting evidence

Comments to Debaters:

Michelle: Perfect, Great speaker and just flawless.
Lauren: Very Good just help your partner a bit more on the grand crossfire

These are the reasons for my decision:

Both teams presented really good evidence and attack ~~the~~ each of the opposing teams arguments, but Team B did a much better crossfire, pointed out team A no framework

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Col R VA

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please assign individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: A Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker 1 Name: Blake Lo 30
 Speaker 3 Name: _____

Team: B Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker 2 Name: Jacqueline Shang 29
 Speaker 4 Name: Joshua Ma 28

Team Points 30

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points 28

The team that won this debate is Team A representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Blake: Good speech, didn't rush you were just really well prepared with all your evidence. Some of your arguments could be a little stronger with a bit more evidence.

Comments to Debaters:

Jacqueline: Great speech, you were amazing, but you did not needed your box in front of you, organized yourself before going up.
 Joshua Ma: Good evidence, you were great on the 1-1 cross fire.

These are the reasons for my decision:

The reason is because Team B failed to answer 2 of the opposing arguments but Team A didn't provide enough evidence to convince me on one of the argument but enough to get ahead of the opposition

Curt R V

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please assign individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: _____	Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> Con
Speaker 1 Name: <u>Lauren Kong</u>	<u>29</u>
Speaker 3 Name: <u>Brian Kong</u>	<u>29</u>

Team: _____	Circle Side: Pro <u>Con</u>
Speaker 2 Name: <u>Ryan Ker</u>	<u>28</u>
Speaker 4 Name: <u>Casey Duan</u>	<u>27</u>

Team Points 29

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points 28

The team that won this debate is PRO (Lauren + Brian) representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:
Very Great Projection voices
NO Filler words
Great arguments w/ Great sources!
Very Organized.

Comments to Debaters:
Had good arguments but should explain more why ~~has~~ its safe & effective & use a source for that.
Great topics on blood flow, more benefits than harm, helps violence from occurring.

These are the reasons for my decision:

con only had one drug - cocaine (use more sources).
Pro had more arguments and sources to back them up. They were more organized.
con explained U.S. should intervene, but how

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Jolita Chomentowska

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please assign individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: _____ Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker 1 Name: Venon 28
 Speaker 3 Name: Envious 28

Team: _____ Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker 2 Name: Cicily 29
 Speaker 4 Name: Mei 29

Team Points 28

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points 29

The team that won this debate is Cicily & Mei representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Pro
 ↑ profits
 ↑ violence
 policy needs to be better
 Good! projection of voice.
 Great eye contact!
 Good ~~summary~~ summary
 Try asking? is

Comments to Debaters:

Very Good, Arguments!
 Loved your sources!
 Had evidence to back up!
 Focused on current!
 Very good projection voice!

These are the reasons for my decision:

During ? period the Pro could have defended themselves better. Try to talk about current not future.
 Con had more argumentative points and evidence Also didn't have filler words.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Jovita Chomentovska

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please assign individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: <u>JMS BWJT</u> Circle Side: Pro <input type="checkbox"/> Con <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Team: <u>Kudos WS</u> Circle Side: Pro <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Con <input type="checkbox"/>
Speaker 1 Name: <u>Jaclyn Takeuchi - 28</u>	Speaker 2 Name: <u>Cathy Szeto - 30</u>
Speaker 3 Name: <u>Brandon Wong - 28</u>	Speaker 4 Name: <u>Kelly Wong - 30</u>

Team Points 28

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points 30

The team that won this debate is Kudos WS representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Comments to Debaters:

- 1) Constitutional
 - 2) Not failing our country (Law)
- Speaker 1: Do not apologize while debating.
 - Good questions being asked during crossfire.
 - Speaker 2: Great energy, great volume
 - Speaker 3: Try to raise your energy while debating.
 - Be sure of points rather than saying "I think".

- 1) Current policies increase use
 - 2) Harm the economy
 - 3) Enforcement leads to violence
 - 4) Unethical and unworkable
- Strong points
 - Great volume
 - Good eye contact
 - Good responses to crossfire questions
 - Speaker 4: Great energy, good debating style

These are the reasons for my decision:

Both teams had a good understanding of their topic and good points. My decision was based on the crossfire because even though there was a lot of information given by both sides, the way "Kudos WS" was defending themselves was better. The questions they were asking in the second crossfire also threw off their opponent. Speaker number 3 had answered the question in favor of the affirmative rather than the negative.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Alex Sinani - GFC

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please assign individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: Kudos LF Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker 1 Name: William Lin - 27
 Speaker 3 Name: Kylin Peng - 27

Team: Nova 42 MT Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker 2 Name: Caleb McCoy - 29
 Speaker 4 Name: Zan Tien - 29

Team Points 27

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points 29

The team that won this debate is Nova 42 MT representing the PRO CON

Comments to Debaters:

- 1) Increase drug use and violence
- 2) Hurt US economy
- 3) Legalization is a viable option

- Good points
- Good air control
- Good question during first crossfire.

Comments to Debaters:

- 1) Is working
- 2) Continue to address the supply of drugs

- Great introduction
- Good question during first crossfire
- Good answers at second crossfire
- speaker 4: Great energy, but let your opponent finish when he has to say rather than putting him off with another question.

These are the reasons for my decision:

Both teams had a good understanding of their topic with good points. The reason for my decision is that "Kudos LF" was not able to address a lot of the points that "Nova 42 MT" had stated and had a hard time defending their claims in the crossfires.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Alex Sinani - GCC

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please assign individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: Wilshire Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker 1 Name: Isabel Jang 29
 Speaker 3 Name: Anika Lee 30

Team: Kudos Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker 2 Name: Albert Wen 28
 Speaker 4 Name: Harry Liang 29

Team Points 29.5

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points 28.5

The team that won this debate is Wilshire LJ representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters: Very well prepared team

Comments to Debaters:

29) Isabel: great control of crossfire / time
very organized, great setting of pacing

28) Albert: brought strong ideas and
put focus on important points

29) Anika: Super friendly, expressive, strong speaker
makes judge want to pay attention to every word. Great eye contact
best speaker seen in a long time

29) Harry: Great eye contact. Strong
Speaker Great Rebuttalist
Great gestures.

These are the reasons for my decision:

Close debate but the Wilshire team had better control and pacing of attacks/defense and keeping their points safe. Great performance by kudos but keeping control of our points and defending/attacking but Wilshire had a slight attacking edge.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Caleb Oden

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please assign individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: Nova 42 Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker 1 Name: Sary Nachabe 26
 Speaker 3 Name: Jaesung Park 29

Team: Kudos Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker 2 Name: Michael Huang 28
 Speaker 4 Name: Julie Wang 29

Team Points 27.5

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points 28.5

The team that won this debate is Kudos WH representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters: Individual
Jaesung (29) Sary (26)
Spoken to already

Comments to Debaters: Individual
Julie (29) Michael (28)

These are the reasons for my decision:

Kudos had a little better organization! and a little more use of teamwork
Great Clash between Julie/Jaesung
 So many strong arguments from both sides but kudos (con) had more attacks pass through. Economy is betterment and savior of life is a fantastic argument but proof of drug issue stands for neg (kudos)

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: [Signature]

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please assign individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: KUDOS Circle Side: Pro Con
Speaker 1 Name: WINNIE LAM
Speaker 3 Name: ELAINE LIU

Team: UNI (Fullerton) Circle Side: Pro Con
Speaker 2 Name: Anna Park
Speaker 4 Name: Nathan Kim

Team Points 29

29-30 Outstanding
27-28 Above Average
24-26 Average

22-23 Below Average

Team Points 28

Kudos LL

The team that won this debate is _____
(Team Name for the Winning Team)

representing the PRO CON
(Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

1st Speaker is solid and was brimming with confidence (but not overly so). 2nd Speaker was a bit nervous at first but quickly handled her ~~burden~~ burden with aplomb. 2nd Speaker also did some extemporaneous analysis that was impressive. Good teamwork and team member interplay.

Comments to Debaters:

1st Speaker knows her case well and her analysis and crossfire work made this a very close Round. The 2nd Speaker, too, exhibited strong knowledge of his case and held his ^{own} during crossfire with the Affirmative 2nd speaker. But he needs to strengthen his closing argument/final rebuttal skills. Overall, this is a team that will grow and has a healthy confidence, much deserved. WORTH NOTING: 1st Speaker doesn't take herself too seriously, which is good!

These are the reasons for my decision:

This Round was won by the Affirmative team which successfully upheld the Resolution in such a way as to warrant ^{the} ballot. This team started off a bit too rocky but ultimately they held their own against the Negative team, which asked some good questions and made some solid points. However, Negative's questioning and use of time during the Grand Crossfire was problematic because Negative ^{SPENT} too much time citing long sections of evidence and not enough time asking questions. Affirmative's questions throughout all 3 crossfires was very good. This is a very ^{solid} ballot Resolution for any Affirmative team to support, and in this case the Affirmative did meet its burden. Thank you, debaters of Kudos and UNI.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

David Finnigan (Nova 42 Academy)

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School
May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please assign individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: _____ Circle Side: Pro Con
Speaker 1 Name: _____
Speaker 3 Name: _____

Team: _____ Circle Side: Pro Con
Speaker 2 Name: _____
Speaker 4 Name: _____

Team Points _____

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points _____

The team that won this debate is _____ representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Comments to Debaters:

PSA HK

did not show.

Forfeit. Win goes to

These are the reasons for my decision:

KUDOS
KW

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: David Finnigan, (Nova HZ Academy)

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School
May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please assign individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: _____ Circle Side: Pro Con
Speaker 1 Name: _____
Speaker 3 Name: _____

Team: _____ Circle Side: Pro Con
Speaker 2 Name: _____
Speaker 4 Name: _____

Team Points _____

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points _____

The team that won this debate is UNI KW representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Comments to Debaters:

BY E

NO SHOW

These are the reasons for my decision:

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please assign individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: JMS CdP Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker 1 Name: Jade de Pono
 Speaker 3 Name: Timothy Chung

Team: Nova 42 LN Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker 2 Name: John Nahas
 Speaker 4 Name: Tiana Lopez

Team Points _____
 Jade = 27.5
 Timothy = 28.5

29-30 Outstanding
 27-28 Above Average
 24-26 Average

22-23 Below Average
 Team Points _____
 John = 29.5
 Tiana = 28.5

LOW POINT WIN

The team that won this debate is JMS CdP representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Jade Jerry Wang says hi.
 Make sure everything is prepared ahead of time (the IAC)
 Good speaking
 work on fluidity
KEEP TIME!

Timothy
 Good speaking
 Try to be more efficient in going over opponents' case
 You should use all of your rebuttal time
MAKE SURE YOU GUYS KEEP TIME!

Comments to Debaters:

John
 Great fluidity in speaking
 Good pace to get in all the info
 When reading, don't put paper in face

Tiana
 Smart to go over opponents' case first
 Good analysis

These are the reasons for my decision:

Both sides did an OK job on the framework debate so it didn't come down to that. No extensions throughout the debate.
 Both teams need to do a lot more of impact calculus. Explain why or how the impacts are reached.
 So, it really came down to the # of lives affected, bc both teams didn't extend contentions. Bc the neg doesn't access the 1 million lives, I would have to vote for the aff's evidence on # of lives impacted.
 Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____
 They had a greater # of lives.
 The aff did a better job of impact calculus

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please assign individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: WILSHIRE PK Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker 1 Name: CANDILLA PARK
 Speaker 3 Name: HOTOON KIM

Team: PSA LL Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker 2 Name: _____
 Speaker 4 Name: NO SHOW

Team Points _____

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points _____

The team that won this debate is WILSHIRE PK representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Comments to Debaters:

FORFEIT

WILSHIRE WNS

These are the reasons for my decision:

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: [Signature]

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please assign individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: <u>JMS BWMT</u> Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> Con	Team: <u>KUDOS CC</u> Circle Side: Pro <u>Con</u>
Speaker 1 Name: <u>BRENDA WONG</u> <u>12</u>	Speaker 2 Name: <u>JUSTIN THUNG</u> <u>14</u>
Speaker 3 Name: <u>MAY TANG</u> <u>13</u>	Speaker 4 Name: <u>ANGELA CHEN</u> <u>13</u>

Team Points 25

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

Team Points 27

The team that won this debate is KUDOS CC representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:
 BOTH DEBATERS NEED BETTER ORGANIZATION - TOO MUCH TIME FINDING EVID
 BRENDA - CASE READ WAS FINE
 RESULTAL/SUMMARY WAS PRETTY WEAK
 YOU NEED TO TAKE BETTER NOTES + THAT WILL HELP YOUR SUMMARY. KEEP PRACTICING YOU'LL BE FINE
 MAY - GOOD JOB - BUT I AM NOT A BIG FAN OF CANNED SPEECHES - LOOSE CONNECTION WITH JUDGE.

Comments to Debaters:
 JUSTIN - BEST IN ROUND SPEAKER WISE
 CROSS FIRE STRONG AS WELL
 BRIEF AND TO THE POINT.
 ANGELA - BE CAREFUL ON THE EVIDENCE YOU BRING UP - CAN HURT YOU.
 BOTH
 ASKING YOUR OPPONENT TO EXPLAIN W ARG IN CROSS FIRE IS INVITING THEM TO EXTEND. BE CAREFUL.

These are the reasons for my decision:

EASY ROUND FOR NEGATIVE
 AFF FAILED TO SHOW SIG HARM
 TO OUTWEIGH NEG POSITION

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: [Signature] NOVA 42

Heghize Manarya

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Hellen Makanya

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The continuation of current U.S. anti-drug policies in Latin America will do more harm than good.

Please assign individual speaker points.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT THIS BALLOT UNTIL AFTER THE COIN TOSS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE DEBATERS HAVE DETERMINED SIDE/SPEAKING ORDER

Team: Jefferson Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker 1 Name: Sanjaye Narayan
 Speaker 3 Name: Nelson Scott

Team: Kudos Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker 2 Name: Michelle Xu
 Speaker 4 Name: Michael Wu

Team Points 29

29-30 Outstanding
 27-28 Above Average
 24-26 Average

22-23 Below Average

Team Points 30

The team that won this debate is Kudos representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Sanjaye - very strong speaker, powerful tone & eye contact. 30pts
Nelson - Good job pointing out the statements that the opponents have made. Maybe practice just a little more so you won't stumble over your words, sometimes. Overall, it was excellent. 29pts

Comments to Debaters:

Michelle - a little too fast! But still managed to keep eye contact. Making very good points regarding the argument. Great job! 29pts.
Michael - Great speaker! Confident. Good job fighting against all the arguments that the opponents have stated. 30pts.

These are the reasons for my decision:

The 2nd team did a better job debating against all the arguments that the opponents have made. More smooth & fast thinking when it comes to cross fires. The first team missed some of the arguments that the second team made which made their debate weaker.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: *[Signature]* College Student