

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The United States is justified in intervening in the internal political processes of other countries to attempt to stop human rights abuses.

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Kudos AL

UNI SK

Max = 30

Max = 30

Audrey Lam

28

Shine Kim

27

The better debating was done by the AFF from Kudos
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Shine - Mo oblig => justified if it's the only rt. thing to do.

Audrey - It's her cross-ex.

Shine - you need to be careful if you are going to argue that we don't have a responsibility to act when we see HR abuses. You imply we should always do nothing and you don't give any justification for this. Your V is justice so this was PROBLEMATIC. Also, you don't respond to Aff's norm setting arg.

Audrey - you need to do a better job explaining why the U.S. is justified in intervening - not just the UN & global domination arg. Weak 2AR. Great 1AR.

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The United States is justified in intervening in the internal political processes of other countries to attempt to stop human rights abuses.

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

Walnut BZ

Kudos VQ

Brian Zeng

Victor Qin

POINTS

POINTS

Max = 30

Max = 30

29

28

The better debating was done by the AFF from WALNUT BZ
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Tail Hawk Open White - Rasmussen

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF

Your CLASH IS SUPERB IN THE 1AR.
CONTENDING NEG FIW WAS A BULLSY
MOVE & I LIKE IT.

NEG

GREAT JOB W/ THE APPLICATION of NEG CASE! S ON
AFF CASE. BRINGING UP BRIC HELPS w/ THE NATO ARGUMENT
IS STILL A PRETTY HEAVY SKED TOWARD US HEGE. DON'T
FORGET HEGE HAS A SOFT G

RFD

MAX LIVES SAUED BY UNIPOLAR POWER. WENT UNCONTESTED IN RD. S> AFF (S) 702
MOST LIVES SAUED.

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The United States is justified in intervening in the internal political processes of other countries to attempt to stop human rights abuses.

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

UNI CA

Ribet AM

Connie Ahn

Alex Mendoza

POINTS

POINTS

Max = 30

Max = 30

26.5

27

The better debating was done by the AFF from Connie Ahn
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended:

Signed: Nathan Lam

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF
V: Cloud
V: Life

VC: Protecting human rights → Extend

- CI) Moral obligation
- CA) Improve self-interest
- CS) Protecting human rights

NEG
V: Morality

- 1) Self Determination
 - Violates international law
 - North Korea

Human rights violation
- only time when sovereignty violation justified

Help other countries
- Other government solve own problem

- 2) Own crisis on backburn
- negative consequences

- 3) Government legitimacy
- immoral

US has moral obligation
• the capability
• we're indeed up to

United States for United States
→ Let the UN

RFD

This debate was hard to evaluate so I ended up looking at the flow. I voted aff because her case was dropped. I felt the sovereignty argument was won by the aff as she showed they're certain times sovereignty could be violated.

Also, the question of the implementation of UN, which was left unresponded.

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The United States is justified in intervening in the internal political processes of other countries to attempt to stop human rights abuses.

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

POINTS

RIS JL

Kudos SY

POINTS

POINTS

Jonas Le Barillec

Stanley Yu

Max = 30

Max = 30

30

30

The better debating was done by the AFF from Jonas Le Barillec
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Denis Barsarian

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

proved his point on the affirmative side
and showed great examples to counter
argue the negative side. Amazing speaker
and is a fast speaker, but
clear at the same time.

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The United States is justified in intervening in the internal political processes of other countries to attempt to stop human rights abuses.

AFFIRMATIVE

Walnut BC

Brian Chang

POINTS

Max = 30

26

NEGATIVE

Nova 42 PC

Peter Choi

POINTS

Max = 30

~~25.5~~ 25.5

The better debating was done by the Aff from Brian Chang 3:30
AFF OR NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Edison Wang

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Clear! (Needs to be clear)

Don't ~~pause~~ Pause

Extinction theory - weak

than govt?

- Killing each other arguments?

- Strong cases

- Try to use all of time

- Weak cases of VCTC

- Try to use all time

Needs a bit stronger cases
- More tone

- Needs eye contact

- Voice carries

- Connect to diplomats

Try use all of the time

- Try not to keep empty eye

- Good ~~clear~~ voice

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The United States is justified in intervening in the internal political processes of other countries to attempt to stop human rights abuses.

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

Kudos AZ

Walnut JJ

Alexander Zhao

Justin Jiang

POINTS

POINTS

Max = 30

Max = 30

29

26

The better debating was done by the AFF from KUDOS AZ
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature] NOVA 42

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

SOME COMMENTS IN ROUND -> VALUE AND VALUE CRITERION
AFF KEPT DEBATE CLEAR AND WENT OVER EACH ARG CLEARLY AND EFFECTIVELY. INTERVENTION (WESTERN) WAS JUSTIFIED AND SHOWED TRENDS TO HEALING HR ABUSES TOOK OUT OLDER/WEAKER NEG BRIEF AGAINST IT. NUKE WAR IMPACT WAS DEALT WITH BUT IF I DON'T HAVE ANYTHING TO WEIGH AGAINST A WEAKER IMPACT ITS STILL A WINNING IMPACT. LINKS FROM TEXT. WENT AFF AND NEG DIDN'T GIVE ME ANYTHING TO WEIGH AGAINST ESPECIALLY NOTHING AGAINST AFF VALUE OF LIFE

EASY WIN AFF

SCJFL SPRING DEBATE

Chandler School

May 25, 2013

Resolved: The United States is justified in intervening in the internal political processes of other countries to attempt to stop human rights abuses.

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

Kudos JW

Nova 42 JW

Jerry Wang

Jong Hak Won

POINTS

POINTS

Max = 30

Max = 30

30

29

The better debating was done by the aff from Kudos.

AFF or NEG

TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Angela Chon

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF won framework → life is more important than sovereignty

• minimizing NR

• U.S. = superpower → good job on explaining why other states can't intervene.

NEG → keep bringing up that intervention is effective and not saving lives. That got a little lost in your NR.

• explain HOW sovereignty → leads to international peace, not that it just does.

• good job on bringing up that we dropped your 3A+3B, but when you talk about how democracy is created, explain how that makes it UN justified.