

CBSR DEBATE STATE QUALIFIER
Los Osos High School, CA
February 23, 2013

Mezaki-Botros (Natalie Mezaki & Beshouy Botros) vs. Rooney-Lala (Megan Rooney & Karan Lala)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

Mezaki - Botros

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

Rooney - Lala

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the AFF from Mezaki / Botros
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Affirmative team presented a solid case. They ~~presented~~ cited evidence of their contentions. They did very well answering questions and in their rebuttal. Their resolution was backed up with solid argumentation and good speaking skills.

CBSR DEBATE STATE QUALIFIER

Los Osos High School, CA

February 23, 2013

Barr-Williams (Pilar Barr & Serina Williams) vs. Rambhatla-Curlin (Harsh Rambhatla & Jahnavi Curlin)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

Pilar Barr + Serina Williams

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

Sri Harsh Rambhatla + Jahnavi Curlin

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the NEG from Harsh Rambhatla + Jahnavi Curlin

AFF or NEG

TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: Meg Jenner

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

CBSR DEBATE STATE QUALIFIER

Los Osos High School, CA

February 23, 2013

Barr-Williams (Pilar Barr & Serina Williams) vs. Rambhatla-Curlin (Harsh Rambhatla & Jahnvi Curlin)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

PILAR BARR/SERINA WILLIAMS
NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

HARSH RAMBHATLA/JAHNAVI CURLIN
NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the NEG from RAMBHATLA/CURLIN
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: [Signature] [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

CBSR DEBATE STATE QUALIFIER

Los Osos High School, CA

February 23, 2013

Barr-Williams (Pilar Barr & Serina Williams) vs. Rambhatla-Curlin (Harsh-Rambhatla & Jahnavi Curlin)

AFFIRMATIVE

Pilar Barr + Serina Williams

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NEGATIVE

Harsh Rambhatla + Jahnavi Curlin

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the Neg from Harsh Rambhatla + Jahnavi Curlin
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: _____

[Handwritten Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

CBSR DEBATE STATE QUALIFIER

Los Osos High School, CA

February 23, 2013

Mezaki-Botros (Natalie Mezaki & Beshouy Botros) vs. Rooney-Lala (Megan Rooney & Kara Lala)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

Mezaki-Botros

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

Beshouy Botros (1)

Natalie Mazaki (2)

The better debating was done by the

Neg
AFF or NEG

from

Rooney-Lala

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

Megan Rooney (1)

Kara Lala (2)

TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed:

Nancy Braet-Hurley

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

This was a fabulous round to watch both teams did a great job. I voted for the neg team because the aff team did not have a strong/good/useful response to "how China was keeping North Korea "in check". I also didnt have any examples of illustrating the growth of the new middle class in China and specifically any new "rights" that they have because of their new found money. What rights have they "demanded" (per crossfire) and received? I wish both teams good luck as they are all very talented!

Brown, R.

CBSR DEBATE STATE QUALIFIER

Los Osos High School, CA

February 23, 2013

Rooney-Lala (Megan Rooney & Karan Lala) vs. Mezaki-Botros (Natalie Mezaki & Beshouy Botros)

AFFIRMATIVE

²⁷
Mezaki - Botros

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NEGATIVE

²⁷
Rooney-Lala

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the Neg from Rooney-Lala
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: Rach G. [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Neg team wins on the basis of providing a more attack resilient body of evidence. Aff case sounds good on surface, but Neg succeeded in casting doubt on it by pointing out the lack of real evidence, esp. with regard to liberating trade improving human rights in China. Likewise they neg pointed out the thickness of evidence for China helping US interests in North Korea. Aff counterattacks were repelled more effectively by Negs evidence of Human Rights violations in China.

Neg wins

CBSR DEBATE STATE QUALIFIER

Los Osos High School, CA

February 23, 2013

Abbott-Shah (Tommy Abbott & Ryan Shah) vs. Knudsen-Maine (Daniel Knudsen & Liz Maine)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

Knudsen-Maine

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

Abbott-Shah

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the Neg from Abbott/Shah

AFF or NEG

TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

The Neg won this round because they provided a position/point along with sources. The Aff provided points however when asked by Neg for sources to support the points they had no evidence to support and strengthen their case.

CBSR DEBATE STATE QUALIFIER

Los Osos High School, CA

February 23, 2013

Knudsen-Maine (Daniel Knudsen & Liz Maine) vs. Abbott-Shah (Tommy Abbott & Ryan Shah)

AFFIRMATIVE

Knudsen - Maine

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NEGATIVE

Abbott Shah

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the NEG from Abbott-Shah
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: Nancy Smith

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Neg-team presented their facts to back up the topic & was able to answer AFF team's question with a lot of information. They cited info clearly.

AFF-team did not present their information in an understandable manner. They were unable to answer Neg⁴³ team's question with info to support their contentions. This team are both strong speakers

CBSR DEBATE STATE QUALIFIER

Los Osos High School, CA

February 23, 2013

Knudsen-Maine (Daniel Knudsen & Liz Maine) vs. Abbott-Shah (Tommy Abbott & Ryan Shah)

AFFIRMATIVE

Knudsen-Maine

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NEGATIVE

Abbott-Shah

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the AFF from Knudsen-Maine
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: _____

Nader

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Best job supporting
the arguments.

- Economic
- Terrorism
- trade
- Human right
- pollution