

149 David Dosch - Kudos

Open LD Round 2 - 10:00am FLIGHT: A

Room: RIS 204

2012 SCJFL Fall Debate

Round Start:

Ballot Due:

Affirmative: Magnet Learning Academy DK

Negative: Irvine JC

Name	Points (30-0)	Name	Points (30-0)
Drew Kalasky	26	Joanna Cho	27.5

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

David Dosch

Kudos

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Overall the debate was rather confusing because many of the arguments made didn't function under either of the frameworks presented. Ultimately the debate boiled down to the efficacy and safety of TK. I Negated because I feel that the Neg sufficiently established that TK minimizes civilian casualties.

James Zhang
148 Jeffrey Fan - RIS

Open LD Round 2 - 10:00am FLIGHT: A

Room: RIS 203

2012-SCJFL-Fall Debate

Round Start:

Ballot Due:

Affirmative: Irvine HZ

Negative: Kudos College of Youth Leadership
VQ

Name	Points (30-0)
Z Harrison Zhang	L 27.5

Name	Points (30-0)
Victor Qin	W 27.5

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

AFF

- Need to incorporate value & VC
- SOUND CONFIDENT
- Good CX start, Good CX, solid

Neg

- Don't concede so much in CX.
- Ask about speed, Don't just go fast
- Try to stay in one spot for speech
- limit the amount of "okay's"

154 ^{James Davis} Jeffrey Fan - RIS

Open LD Round 2 - 10:00am FLIGHT: B

Room: RIS 203

2012 SCJFL Fall Debate

Round Start:

Ballot Due:

Affirmative: Nova 42 Academy LK

Negative: Honor Academy DP

Name	Points (30-0)	Name	Points (30-0)
<u>2</u> Luke Kim	<u>L</u> 27.9	<u>1</u> Dennis Park	<u>W</u> 28

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

[Signature]
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

RIS
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Oral

146 Eric Garcia - Magnet Academy

Open LD Round 2 - 10:00am FLIGHT: A

Room: RIS 101

2012 SCJFL Fall Debate

Round Start:

Ballot Due:

2:58

Affirmative: Walnut MG

Negative: Irvine AW

Name	Points (30-0)	Name	Points (30-0)
Melinda Guo	26	Albert Wen	24

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

E. Han
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Magnet
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

IAC: Very professional delivery.

INC: Using all the prep time and leaving nothing for the INR is problematic. Good definitions of hard & soft power. The Neg case is good, but it takes so long to deliver that it only left 20 seconds to refute the affirmative case. Try not to click the pen during cross examination & prep time, or anytime.

Reason for decision: Both side are claiming to improve & protect national security. Affirmative is the only side that upholds the value of morality. That is a fine tie breaker. Also AFF puts good clash on Neg's national security arguments.

150 Eric Garcia - Magnet Academy

Open LD Round 2 - 10:00am FLIGHT: B

Room: RIS 101

2012 SCJFL Fall Debate

Round Start:

Ballot Due:

Affirmative: Irvine RK

Negative: Kudos College of Youth Leadership SY

Name	Points (30-0)
Ryan Ker	25

Name	Points (30-0)
Stanley Yu	28

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

Eric Garcia
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Magnet
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

IAC: I love your energy & volume. You should rehearse the IAC more so you can improve your eye contact. That evidence on contention one is about one minute. That's too long. There was one minute remaining when you stopped.

INC: Good flow. You will want to be careful about the speed with a parent judge. I was fine with it.

IAR: I'm glad you still read evidence, but you must answer the INC's ~~arguments~~ arguments on your contention one. Say why those specific arguments are wrong or irrelevant.

INR: You had 2 min of prep remaining. Why not use it? Even if only to rehearse the tagline extension, you should use the prep time.

Reason for decision: The negative case was not specifically refuted. This conceded a massive amount of national security evidence. Also, ~~the~~ ^{they} puts some good arguments on the Cole 03 ev. in the INC.

153 Henry Zhang - RIS

Open LD Round 2 - 10:00am FLIGHT: B

Room: RIS-205

2012 SCJFL Fall Debate

Round Start:

Ballot Due:

Affirmative: Kudos College of Youth Leadership JL


Negative: Irvine JY

Name	Points (30-0)
Jonathan Liu	28.5

Name	Points (30-0)
Jennifer Yang	24

Above, ~~CIRCLE~~ the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____


JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Ridgecrest
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

ORAL

151 Henry Zhang - RIS

Open LD Round 2 - 10:00am FLIGHT: A

Room: RIS 205

2012 SCJFL Fall Debate

Round Start:

Ballot Due:

Affirmative: Honor Academy JC


Negative: Irvine CD

Name	Points (30-0)
John Cho	W 27

Name	Points (30-0)
Casey Duan	23

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE 

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION Ridgecrest

ORAL

144 Caitlin Emmons - Irvine

Open LD Round 2 - 10:00am FLIGHT: A

Room: RIS Lib. Conf. Rm.

2012 SCJFL Fall Debate

Round Start:

Ballot Due:

Affirmative: Nova 42 Academy JW

Negative: Walnut JY

Name	Points (30-0)	Name	Points (30-0)
Jong Hak Won	28.5	Justin Yeh	27.5

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

[Signature]
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Kudos - Irvine
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Prep:
Aff - 2:04

Neg: BNA
2:11

Aff upholds their burden better than the negative. The negative's value + criterion are essentially decimated by the end of the round. Aff was able to successfully undermine the negative's ~~arg~~ contentions by getting at the ~~cross~~ cross of the claims.

147 Daniel Tartakovsky - RIS

Open LD Round 2 - 10:00am FLIGHT: B

Room: RIS 604

2012 SCJFL Fall Debate

Round Start:

Ballot Due:

Affirmative: Kudos College of Youth Leadership AL

Negative: Walnut BZ

2:33 net

Name	Points (30-0)
Audrey Lam	28.5

Name	Points (30-0)
Brian Zeng	26

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

Dan
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

RIS
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

general comments

- compare value-criterion w/ opponents'
- explain the impact of each argument
- aff should label voting times
- neg is right in cross X
(morality is defined by the value criteria)

voting

- aff wins morality & ends-based ("regardless of external conditions")
- so, can't decide in terms of utilitarianism
- ∴ aff win
- even if I use utilitarianism, aff arguments
 - 1) "may be inherent"
 - 2) "learn from mistake"
 are conceded, so impact of U.S. image outweighs the marginal loss of intelligence

145 Daniel Tartakovsky - RIS

Open LD Round 2 - 10:00am FLIGHT: A

Room: RIS 604

2012 SCJFL Fall Debate

Round Start:

Ballot Due:

Affirmative: Irvine CC

Negative: Walnut BC

3.07 left

Name Points (30-0)

Name Points (30-0)

Christine Chang 276.5

Brian Chang 25.1

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

[Signature]
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

RIS
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

1:25
3:07
1:29

ME-4:08
AR-1:44
ME-1:42

- aff wins soft power advantage
afft
- neg concedes util
- neg doesn't extend impacts to util

152 Caitlin Emmons - Irvine

Open LD Round 2 - 10:00am FLIGHT: B

Room: RIS Lib. Conf. Rm.

2012 SCJFL Fall Debate

Round Start:

Ballot Due:

Affirmative: Ridgecrest Intermediate School JL

Negative: Kudos College of Youth Leadership JS

Name	Points (30-0)	Name	Points (30-0)
Jonas Le Barillec	27.5	Jacqueline Shang	27

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

Caitlin
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Kudos - Irvine
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Aff: 1:00

Neg: 1:27

RFD: The Aff side sets out a clear case that links together justice and the rule of law. The neg fails to refute it but proposes alternative understanding. Aff wins their framework and the negatives.