

226 Ashley Soong - Nova 42

Open Pub.-Forum Round 5 - 4:00pm

Room: RIS 205

2012 SCJFL Fall Debate

Round Start:

Ballot Due:

**Affirmative:** Kudos College of Youth Leadership ACJC

**Negative:** The Gooden School SCJN

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-0)
2	Angela Chen	3	24
1	Justin Chuang	2	24

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-0)
2	Samuel Christopher	4	21
1	John Nahas	1	25

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win?

Scott McKeever  
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Magnet  
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

FLIP FOR SIDES

Pro

Con

John, you are persuasive but abrasive, work on the latter.

Justin, work on tagging, and clear summary in CF and speeches.

Samuel, your quote

"money doesn't matter when your dead..."

Angela, be careful with your comparisons, Adapt to (love) (vs.) Mitigate (heat)  
Isn't applicable

Just because something is published does not make it true

Don't seem Exasperated.

It makes you seem like your losing.

231 Daniel Kim - Cerritos

Open Pub.-Forum Round 5 - 4:00pm

Room: RIS 304

2012 SCJFL Fall Debate

Round Start:

Ballot Due:

**Affirmative:** Perfect Score Academy ALRL


**Negative:** Kudos College of Youth Leadership KWBT

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-0)
1A	April Lee	3	29
2A	Ruby Lee	1	30

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-0)
2A	Kelly Wong	2	30
1A	Bryan Tsai	4	28

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? NO

  
 JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

HONOR ACADEMY  
 JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

FLIP FOR SIDES

- Strong crossfire on both sides
- Good neg turns on the 1AC: impact & link turns
- Aff needs to address more individual neg points in the 2AC
- Good constant extension from both sides of arguments
- Strong underviews, need detailed roadmaps, analysis
- Clear, polite, great debate.
- Circular around adaptation & vs mitigation
- Aff dropped Neg impact turns and framework, leading to neg ballot.
- Both teams need to give less examples, more analysis, cards, and attack the opponents case for warrants, brightline, etc.

Joanna Hua  
233 ~~Eric Garcia - Magnet Academy~~

Open Pub.-Forum Round 5 - 4:00pm

Room: RIS 306

2012 SCJFL Fall Debate

Round Start:

Ballot Due:

Affirmative: Ridgcrest Intermediate School  
AWJM

Negative: TTI Forensic Academy CRML

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-0)
<u>3</u>	Alex Winslow	<u>1</u>	<u>30</u>
<u>1</u>	Jay Majmudar	<u>1</u>	<u>30</u>

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-0)
<u>2</u>	Calvin Ru	<u>4</u>	<u>26</u>
<u>4</u>	Matthew Lutz-Paap	<u>3</u>	<u>28</u>

Above, **CIRCLE** the **SIDE** and **TEAM** that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? no

[Signature]  
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Kudos College of Leadership.  
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

**FLIP FOR SIDES**

I believe the aff won for three reasons:

- ① The neg brought up new contentions.
- ② The Aff showed that adaptation was a form of mitigation under the definition
- ③ The Aff showed the impact of death, sickness, AND economy and provided evidence for it as well.

Love the Clash! Good job for breaking!/:

232 ~~Christi Donna Nova 42~~

Open Pub.-Forum Round 5 - 4:00pm

Room: RIS 305

2012 SCJFL Fall Debate

Round Start:

Aff

Ballot Due:

~~Neg~~ ~~KEVL~~ ~~UNI Institute JYAP~~ ~~OK~~

~~Negative: Kudos College of Youth Leadership~~ ~~KEVL~~ ~~OK~~

Pos Name RK (1-4) Points (30-0)

Pos Name RK (1-4) Points (30-0)

JCC Nathan Kim 2 25

IPC Kylin Feng 3 20

ICC Anna Park 4 20

2PC Victor Luo 1 25

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? \_\_\_\_\_

E. Z.  
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Magnet  
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

FLIP FOR SIDES

Both IPC + ICC debaters need to work on likeability in crossfire. There is a lot of shouting. Try to be determined to win, but also be kind to your opponent. This round is decided when ~~the~~<sup>Con</sup> concedes that Developed Countries have a moral obligation along with ~~the~~ Developing or Undeveloped Countries. Both side are endorsing the resolution.

I vote for the AFF from Kudos (Kylin and Victor).

228 Dan Johnson - Kudos

Open Pub.-Forum Round 5 - 4:00pm

Room: RIS 301

2012 SCJFL Fall Debate

Round Start:

Ballot Due:

**Affirmative:** Ridgcrest Intermediate School  
MGMB

**Negative:** TTI Forensic Academy AWZS

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-0)
—	Moon Sung Gwak	<u>2</u>	<u>27</u> <del>28</del>
—	Matthew Baik	<u>4</u>	<u>24</u> <del>25</del>

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-0)
—	Angela Wang	<u>3</u>	<u>26</u>
—	Zaina Syed	<u>1</u>	<u>28</u>

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate

Low Point Win? \_\_\_\_\_

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

FLIP FOR SIDES

VERY CLOSE CALL. ZAINA'S TEAM BOGGED THEM OUT ON THE RESOLUTION.

MIKE KYLE  
227 ~~Kurt Arisohn~~ - Nova 42

Open Pub.-Forum Round 5 - 4:00pm

Room: RIS Library

2012 SCJFL Fall Debate

Round Start:

Ballot Due:

**Affirmative:** Kudos College of Youth Leadership JWKW <sup>NEG</sup>

**Negative:** Magnet Learning Academy MLCL - <sup>AFF</sup>

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-0)
2	Jerry Wang	1	29
1	Kirk Wu	2	28

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-0)
2	Mark Lee	4	22
1	Chris Lee	3	23

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win?     

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

FLIP FOR SIDES

DISCLOSURE  
IN ROUND

COMMENTS IN ROUND

230 JUDGE TBA - Edison Wang Kudus

Open Pub.-Forum Round 5 - 4:00pm

Room: RIS 303

2012 SCJFL Fall Debate

Round Start:

Ballot Due:

Affirmative: Wilshire Academy IJAL

Aff 2nd

Negative: Nova 42 JCDS

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-0)
1	Isabel Jang	4	24
2	Anika Lee 3: 25	2	25

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-0)
2	Eunice Park 3: 22	1	25
1	Yenah Kim 3: 12	3	26

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? No

Edison Wang  
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Kudus  
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

FLIP FOR SIDES

- Developed: 34 devines of CIA moral (Instlt) basis of moral chlc can be if more normal
- Developed country are more stable
  - developing have more problem
  - Developing doing as well
- Developed country contribute greenhouse gas
  - low emissions
  - China is called as developing (a lot of metric tons)
- World will benefit more if develop do less
  - great impacts if less
  - developing will be easier
  - developed should make a lead
  - more stable than developing
- did not refer to criteria
  - 1) simply impossible
    - when oil or oil price don't matter
  - 2) numerous positive impacts, cost human lives
    - great data of it / destroy forest
    - danger of extinction
    - if it man, 30-40% around the globe
  - 3) not a truth
    - no man is five years old
    - UNEP (40% live coral)
  - 4) Design must be to do it
    - good role model
    - start new, open reaction
    - No sign of solution

- Developed - economic based on technology, moral - of right and wrong
- Human cannot mitigate effect
  - "sending to failure if to life"
  - mitigation is little to no effects
  - unnecessary
- Climate change neg have positive
  - warmer by mass of 38% 60
  - Eternal stuff to make food
  - 18 million people die each year
  - let know shipping lanes
  - Economic / social benefits
- Attacking will destroy economies
  - 20 trillion dollars
  - wrong to add more money
  - people in a mess
- 1st Q: Developed caused it so it does it (cannot enter)
  - no resource or money
  - wasting 20 trillion dollars (16 trillion debt)
  - why they are stable
- 3rd Country 1 (Cost world 20 trillion)
  - 56k debt on each person
  - All money is going to nothing
  - 200 all effort to oil price why were thing
- 2nd: why most responsible and how
  - oil price will die
- 1st Q: If you can't do, you don't have moral
- 2nd Q: To moral chlc a SME (why?)
- Impact for a country is still not working
- 3rd Q: Role model? & no

221 Caitlin Emmons - Irvine

Open LD Round: Quarterfinals

Room: RIS Lib. Conf. Rm.

2012 SCJFL Fall Debate

Round Start:

Ballot Due:

FLIP

[Redacted] : Kudos College of Youth Leadership JL  
Jonathan Liu

Neg:

27

[Redacted] Ridgcrest Intermediate School JL  
Jonas Le Barillec

(Aff!)

28

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Dev A to  
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Kudos - Irvine  
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

\*\* FLIP FOR SIDES \*\*

Comments:

Prep:

Aff: 1:40

Neg: 3:06

Neg makes the critical mistake of trying to use 2 card to refute way too much. The 3 contentions Aff had, while similar are very different in the way they play out. 2 card abt conventional cts. failing doesn't respond to military tribunals bad or exec. power bad.

No reason to prefer neg value other than res says U.S.; Don't win under Aff value

223 Arjun Tambe - RIS

Open LD Round: Quarterfinals

Room: RIS 203

2012 SCJFL Fall Debate

Round Start:

Ballot Due:

Flip -- Affirmative / Negative : Kudos College of Youth Leadership SY  
Stanley Yu

Flip -- Affirmative / Negative : Irvine JC  
Joanna Cho

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Arjun Tambe  
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Ridgecrest  
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

\*\* FLIP FOR SIDES \*\*

Comments:

Stanley  
Good call that your frameworks are the same - they are  
You already have args that targeted killing is bad in the aff case - so need to read the byman card should just extend aff offense instead.  
Your IAR should be more offensive - you have lots of defense but don't re-explain your 2nd & 3rd contentions. You could have won the round easily w/ these dropped args

Joanna  
No point arguing for utilitarianism if his value criterion is ALSO the same thing. It used up about 1/2 your NC time  
Good refutations to the AC  
No need to respond to IAC arguments that were not repeated in the IAR  
Need to explain the function of the strively argument about discourse last card you read.

222 Daniel Tartakovsky - RIS

Open LD Round: Quarterfinals

Room: RIS 101

2012 SCJFL Fall Debate

Round Start:

Ballot Due:

~~\_\_\_\_\_~~ Kudos College of Youth Leadership VQ *neg 28.5*  
 Victor Qin 1:52 | eff  
**FLIP**

~~\_\_\_\_\_~~ Honor Academy JC *aff 27.3*  
 John Cho 2:30 | eff

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

*[Signature]*  
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

RIS  
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

\*\* FLIP FOR SIDES \*\*

Comments:

*aff contention doesn't link to value criterion*

- impact to "constitution" or "due process" in terms of lives lost
- aff concedes
  - 1) is-ought fallacy
  - 2) laws of war card (ACRL 2011)
 so aff contentions go away
- neg has offense to info leaks, aff responses are new in the 2AR

224 Henry Zhang - RIS

Open LD Round: Quarterfinals

Room: RIS 204

2012 SCJFL Fall Debate

Round Start:


Ballot Due:

Flip -- ~~Affirmative~~ / Negative: Nova 42 Academy JW  
 Jong Hak Won

Sec 1: 33

Flip Affirmative / ~~Negative~~: Honor Academy DP  
 Dennis Park

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

  
 JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Ridgecrest  
 JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

\*\* FLIP FOR SIDES \*\*

Comments:

for Nova Academy  
 I vote neg on intel turn → links  
 to nat'l security.