

29 Juan Victorio - SMC

Open Parli 3:45 Round 4 Parliamentary Debate

Room: Administration Building (AD) 209

2013 Golden Cowboy Day 1

Topic = 4:00 PM / Start = 4:25 PM

Ballot Due = 5:25 PM

Affirmative: Vanguard University RSTH

Negative: University of Houston Main Campus MSAR

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-0)	Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-0)
PM	Ray Ann Sherley	3	26	MD	Marcus Smith	2	27
MB	Taylor Hooper	4	25	LO	Anthony Rocha	1	28

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

Juan Victorio
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

SMC
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

in your rebuttal you state that we are all american and even though we are of different race we are still the same this contradicts your whole advocacy.

Aff. P.S. ↑ Neg.

Very interesting case. Now you do not do enough work on the tricot just agreeing with it doesn't take you of the hook. But you got lucky that the off dropped the tricot in their rebuttal. still do the work and go over the violation, standards and voters. Now on the contentions, they never truly link back to how the Melting pot as a whole has failed. The Counter Contentions hurt you with the segragation bad arguments and that its unconstitutional because separate is not equal. The China/s. Korea stats go against you to because the off states how they are not diversified like U.S. But overall good job and good luck.

Your tricot position was well run. Good job on the counter criteria of just having to show progress towards success of the Melting pot which ~~was~~ is well backed by your counter contentions and the work on the contentions stated by the gov team. overall good job and good luck.

31 Ashley Given's
~~Robert Black - Glendale, CA~~

Open Parli 3:45 Round 4 Parliamentary Debate

Room: Administration Building (AD) 223

2013 Golden Cowboy Day 1

Topic = 4:00 PM / Start = 4:25 PM

Ballot Due = 5:25 PM

Affirmative: El Paso Community College JSMH

Negative: Chapman University SSTS

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-0)
___	Jamie Salazar	<u>4</u>	<u>28.5</u>

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-0)
___	Shelby Stanton	<u>2</u>	<u>29.5</u>

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-0)
<u>PM</u>	Mary Hetz	<u>3</u>	<u>29</u>

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-0)
___	Tim Seavey	<u>1</u>	<u>30</u>

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ___

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

All of you are very eloquent speakers! This was a very fun round to listen to!! Thank you for that. Wish I could give all of you 30 speaker points, you all deserve it.

Neg: do not let the Aff make the judging crit True/False if you want to weigh impacts. This can trap you in a corner in this kind of debate. Shelby great tying the melting pot to what our culture is now. That is very good analysis.

Aff: do not make the crit True/False & make the judge to have to decide whether think about definitions... this does not tend to work in your favor.

REF: This whole debate comes down to the interpretation of the definition of melting pot. This makes it very hard to judge and not interject ~~my~~ ~~my~~ own opinions. Thus Aff is the only one with a burden in a round. the Aff has not proven their definition is better so round goes neg.

30 Joel Anguiano - EPCC

Open Parli 3:45 Round 4 Parliamentary Debate

Room: Administration Building (AD) 217

2013 Golden Cowboy Day 1

Topic = 4:00 PM / Start = 4:25 PM

Ballot Due = 5:25 PM

Affirmative: Rio Hondo College OSMK

Negative: University of Houston Main Campus DGRT

Pos Name RK (1-4) Points (30-0)

Pos Name RK (1-4) Points (30-0)

mg Ovidio Sanchez 3 25

LO David Ghably 2 27

pm Madeline Kiphen 4 28

MD Randall Towns 4 24

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

most of critique in round
 im giving more leverage
 to the prop of EVO. CRITERIA
 so cont 3 has weight
 over counter con. 1
 gov BALLOT

