

Flip for sides : Olive Children Foundation AGAS Neg.  
Anirudh Gottiparthi & Anshul Shah

1 2

Flip for sides : Nova 42 Academy JNTL Aff.  
John Nahas & Tiana Lopez

1 2

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Catherine  
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Glendale Community College  
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Comments: Olive Children Foundation

- 4/5
- Some points not clearly explain. Given a reason with no explanation followed.
  - Made good arguments towards questions.
  - Proved arguments well

Nova 42 Academy

- Clear 12/10
- Questions answered and explained well.
- Seemed a bit confused when opponents answered their questions.

*Negative*  
Flip for sides : Olive Children Foundation AGAS  
Anirudh Gottiparthi & Anshul Shah

*Affirmative*  
Flip for sides : Nova 42 Academy JNTL  
John Nahas & Tiana Lopez

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Michael Britton  
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Kudos  
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

**Comments:** Aff; 1a

- Opens defining key terms, mapping content into. Monotonously inserts information from speech.
- 1b - Begins with powerful introductory evidence to dispute opponent's strongest contentions. References partner's claims relating results made by opponent. Reinforces own claims briefly.
- 2a - Initiates by moving to summarize in a method that manages to successfully remove ground from opponent's case. Provides strong evidence to support arguments. Successfully adds opponent's claims in a favorable light against opponent.
- 2b - Opens by disproving opponent's claims and taking the most powerful examples from opponent's case and refuting them with numerous convincing counter examples.

**Key:** 1a

- Opens aggressively, claiming that opponent exhibited a lack of good faith in statements, attempting to justify a convincing case. Provides to use examples, and statistics to support case.
- 1b - Opens by claiming that multiple facets of opponent's claims are invalid. Makes an attempt to refute validity and withdraw statistics from opponent.
- 2b - Attempts to finalize claims that opponent has lost on multiple points. Claim that opponent never related the standard and therefore lose the debate. Restates that opponent added information.

NEGATIVE

Flip for sides : Olive Children Foundation AGAS  
Anirudh Gottiparthi & Anshul Shah

POSITIVE

POSITIVE

Flip for sides : Nova 42 Academy JNTL  
John Mahas & Tiana Lopez

①

②

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Joseph P. [Signature]  
JUDGE'S SIGNATUREGCC Student - Speech 101 [Signature]  
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

The winner of

## Comments:

Team Speaker 1:

- Good intro
- Too much dependence on notes (not at all)
- Fast pace
- Supportive arguments
- Conclude more w/ gestures

Speaker 2:

- Good organization
- Fast pace
- Less dependent on notes
- More eye contact
- Elaborate of main arguments
- Use of statistics enhanced main points

Joseph P. [Signature]Speaker 1

- Flawless responses
- Supported by facts

Speaker 2

- Immediate responses
- A bit hesitant

Speaker 3:

- Good pace
- Good variation in tone
- Constructive argumentation with valid examples
- Good gestures

- Forward and backward as a counterpoint of flexibility

Speaker 4 final focus:

- That your reference to the finding of being in line with the findings

- That your reference to the finding of being in line with the findings was correct
- That your reference to the finding of being in line with the findings was correct
- That your reference to the finding of being in line with the findings was correct

6:14 Clockwise

- Good argument

Speaker 3 Summary

- Reference to opponent's points
- Good counter-arguments supported by facts

Speaker 1 Summary

- Good reference to points by opponent
- ~~Good~~ Good reference to points by opponent

Speaker 2 Response

Good point

Speaker 1

Quality (M1)

- Good choice of words
- Some more ground points
- Good argument
- Original argument
- Helpful context for an argument to another
- Good eye-contact
- Good to have and change concepts

Speaker 2

- Good to have

AFJ Flip for sides : Olive Children Foundation VAAS  
Vineet Advani & Anish Sangari  
2 1

Reg Flip for sides : The Harker School JHER  
Misha Tseitlin & Emaad Raghib  
1 2

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

2 prep.

*Alexandra Rosa*

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Comments:

Drug Policies in Latin America

Overall great Debate, both sides were very enthusiastic!  
I would like to see more clarity in your opening speeches.

Cross-X

Cont 1.  
Aero simulation  
- 14% ↑

US policies have  
int. market impacts  
on relations of  
internal.

3. 3, 4th numbers

Negative Results

↓ 20% in 2 years

- Counting (Key-Bias)  
is effective  
- reduced income  
for controls

- Increase in trade  
of Latin Amer  
→ trade  
→ Oil

- increased oil  
= more supply  
→ controlled

Aff.

Simulation still under  
correlation ≠ causation.

Latin Amer countries  
want revision of  
policy

Cont 2. Aero

production = transaction

Cross File 2

compare Key-PIN  
policy or no policy?

Simulation Funding?

Why are you saying  
to not legislate?

RepASC: 22, 10

Why: —

Cross - X File

- Does memo want  
to work without letter?

- Latin American goods  
do not want to  
work w/ us?

Aff

we must look at  
past compliance

↑ in graduation of  
being drugs due  
to policy

- We will lose all of  
- Try don't approach  
help due to industry

Neg

Simulation has stopped  
Aero is not current policy

Causation

- 27/35 control numbers  
captured

- Hedge

Have oil, trend  
better solutions  
due to policy

- Aero point on regulated  
hedging

- Simulation leads to hedge

Cross File  
↑ price around P?  
tech time.

Aff - closing  
- Aero is still used

Key

Framework: not provide  
oil.

prices ↑ food &  
Disregard Argentina  
Policy

Neg - closing

- Evidence does not  
show synergistic effects

- from US policy

- evidence - 20/30/40  
CO-OP

- Hedging

- oil was disrupted

ME Flip for sides : Olive Children Foundation VAAS  
Vineet Advani & Anish Sangari

# 3

# 1

ME Flip for sides : The Harker School JHER  
Misha Tseitlin & Emaad Raghbi

2

# 4

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Madhav  
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

New West  
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

ME Comments:

CF: speaker 1 won. Had more questions and also pointed flaws @ the opponent answers consistently  
CF: speaker 3 won. Pointed out policies continued into 2012 in contrast to speaker 2's 2008 date. Speaker 2 did not address contrast and just continued to insist it has ended.

\* Debate went into an issue about what policies remain current. Perhaps a clarification or a definition of what should be considered current?

GCF: speaker 2 no participation, speaker 4 was in defense  
HFF wins



- have outline of  
and its settlement  
3 - days of punishment/condition  
policies & own company  
company  
- good time to release  
with trade



Room: Administration  
Building (AD) 205

2013 Glendale Middle School Warm up Speech and Debate  
Competition

Round Start: ASAP

Ballot Due: ASAP

Flip for sides : Olive Children Foundation VAAS  
1 Vineet Advani & Anish Sangari 1

Flip for sides : The Harker School JHER  
1 Misha Tseitlin & Emaad Raghbi 2

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Olive Children

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Olive Comments:

1 Good opening statement but speaker still relies on reading from material heavily, and didn't make enough eye contact with audience

2 Good speech, defend stance well, and stated many contentions that were persuasive. Could use some practice with speech part.

1 A lot better flow and eye contact with audience. Had persuasive evidence, and tone.

2 Rushed but important topics stated, as well as many.

Harker

1 Good opening statement, little to no mistakes. Good flow

2 Claims that their opponents are outdated, best speaker interms of flow

1 Had calm tone, and target the main points efficiently.

2 Started off calm and transitioned fast speed pace.

1. worked well together to dominate round  
2. cross fire.

conflicting evidence

1. was less active during Grand Xfire

2. did well to combat both opponents

cross fire