

Room: Administration Building
 (AD) 252

2013 Glendale Middle School Warm up Speech and Debate
 Competition

Round Start: 12:30

Ballot Due: ASAP

APF
 Flip for sides : Olive Children Foundation VAAS

↔ Vineet Advani & Anish Sangari |

They needed argument

Flip for sides : Kudos College of Youth Leadership CSKW

↔ Cathy Szeto & Kelly Wong & I

got details

Zachary or you guys

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate. -

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

NWC

Comments:

APF
 good. Facts
 on top of conversation at all times
 Confidence is good.

Neg
 defensive
 default to not everything
 is perfect.
 needed to defend
 so much which
 leaves less time
 for arguments

Team A

Flip for sides : Olive Children Foundation VAAS
Vinest Advani & Anish Sangari

2

1

Team B

Flip for sides : Kudos College of Youth Leadership CSKW
Caly Szeto & Kelly Wong

1

2

will do more
warm than good

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Gee student

Comments:

Sangari: ✓ lack eye-contact in the beginning
3:53 ✓ cited source from credible sources (LA Times/WHYY)Szeto: ✓ properly outlined points
3:26 • no eye contact at all
• did not cite sourceCrossfire • Team B was unsuccessful in defending
2:58 their claimAdvani: ✓ rarely read off notes
4:18Wang: • had laptop in front - block face
4:36 from judgesCrossfire: • Wang was tapping fingers (nails)
when she couldn't jump in the
speakerSangari: • no need for another intro
2:00

Subjects: ✓ pointed out negative about opponent

2:11

Crossfire: Team A speaking at same time

3+

Advani

2:00

Wang: off team explain goal to down opponent

Room: Administration
Building (AD) 2522013 Glendale Middle School Warm up Speech and Debate
Competition

Round Start: 12:30

Ballot Due: ASAP

Flip for sides : Olive Children Foundation VAAS
Vineet Advani & Anish Sangari

2 1

Flip for sides : Kudos College of Youth Leadership CSKW
Caty Szeto & Kelly Wong

1 2

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Chy
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

GCC
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Comments:

Caty and Kelly didn't prove their point.

Team B Flip for sides : Olive Children Foundation AGAS
Anirudh Gottiparthi & Anshul Shah
1st 2nd

Team A Flip for sides : Wilshire Academy WPHK
William Park & Ho Joon Kim
1st 2nd

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Shafiq

GCC student

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Comments:

* debaters keeping time

pro - do more harm than good

Rik: spoke too fast 3:31 / 4 min
too rehearsed, read too much had a lot of stats, cite?
lack eye contact

Gottiparthi: spoke too fast, stuttered, please slow down hard to understand and follow your points
good stats
went overtime

Crossfire: talk cuts off other speaker
not letting other side finish

Kim: ✓ properly cited sources
one again, spoke too fast, hard to understand
✓ cited opponent fact and prove wrong with a better fact

Shah: • spoke too fast
✓ really good rebuttal and found sources
to quickly prove other side wrong
✓ good hand gestures / tone of voice

Crossfire: Shah had cited all his answer
Kim was disruptive when opponent
was answering - answering to multiple
question thrown at opponent by Kim

Park Summary: does not cite evidence that crimes
are going up (partner has it? where?)
evidence are not strong to
prove opponent

Gottipati Summary: provided details to stats said,
thus making argument stronger

Grand Crossfire: Park, keeps on disrupting
when his question is being answered
Team B has able to prove that
Team A had an invalid point (simulacra
listening skills)

Kim Final:

Shah Final: ✓ good point on life > money

I believe it is normal for all debaters to
speak really fast.

Room: Administration
Building (AD) 2522013 Glendale Middle School Warm up Speech and Debate
Competition

Round Start: 12:30

Ballot Due: ASAP

Flip for sides : Olive Children Foundation AGAS
Anirudh Gottiparthi & Anshul Shah

Flip for sides : Wilshire Academy WPHK
William Park & Ho Joon Kim

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

They
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

GCC
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Comments:

Anirudh Gottiparthi and Anshul Shah won the debate.
Their information was on point and they knew what
they were talking about.

Room: Administration Building
(AD) 2522013 Glendale Middle School Warm up Speech and Debate
Competition

Round Start: 12:30

Ballot Due: ASAP

~~PRO~~ ~~AFF~~
 Flip for sides : Olive Children Foundation AGAS
 | Anirudh Gottiparthi & Anshul Shah

~~PRO~~ ~~AFF~~
 Flip for sides : Wilshire Academy WPHK
 | William Park & Ho Joon Kim

Clearly Dominate than good.

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

ROD

N.W.C.

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Comments:

~~Strong and assertive~~
~~good strong arguments~~
~~Could easily persuade anyone~~
~~felt as though life on details~~

Neg
 strong and assertive
 good strong arguments
 Could easily persuade anyone
 felt as though life on details

AFF
 worked confidence
 - best details
 and defended well.
~~strong~~

Flip for sides (locked) : Olive Children Foundation RSAP
Rohan Saxena & Ansh Patel

2ND

1ST

AFF

Flip for sides (locked) : The Harker School DKRB
Derek Kuo & Rahul Bhetanabhotia

2ND

1ST

NEG

NEG

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

[Signature]
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

NOVA 42
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Comments:

ANSH - EXCELLENT READ EVEN
WITH VOICE CHANGE
CALM AND PROFESSIONAL

RAHUL - AGGRESSIVE

ROHAN - EXCELLENT COVERAGE
AND KEEPIN IT SLOW AND
CLEAR

DEREK

DECISION: CRITERIA → WORLD OPPOSITE OF CONTINUATION
I BOUGHT A LOT ON THE AFF BUT I REALLY
THOUGHT HOW THE AFF HANDLED → CRITERIA CONTINUATION

NEG WINS!

Flip for sides (locked) : Olive Children Foundation RSAP *ACF*
Rohan Saxena & Ansh Patel

Flip for sides (locked) : The Harker School DKRB *Neg*
Derek Kub & Rahul Bhetanabholla

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.


JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Honor Academy
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Comments:

① Safety of US citizens

Cl: ineffective policies
no supply; inefficient
no demand; supply
- forces demand

Cl: Higher effort - Educ
Market supply, stops
demand expansion

Cl: has a limit
negative effect
produce internally
- O demand policy
control

ACF

- Stuck on topic
- More consistent evidence
- Clearer speech
- Remained relevant to resolution

② *ACF* - most prove policies
are harmful
2001 date

Cl: - terrorist funded
→ Cl: increased trade
- unemployment
would be good
Al-Qaeda
not - how?
P.R. Out

Neg

- Spoke in circles
- Has some baseless speculation
- Making links between points and resolution
- Hasn't used time efficiently

*Why have I
organized
not to be
made*

13) own control shows map potential

C1: disease -> points: looking at extremes of culture -> terrorism is increasing trend of police

point belongs to them

ways of doing + function long jobs control knowing what possible

no state provided

ready

specialist not related no evidence using typology in report

never know benefit

14) burden of proof relevant Hufferman had jobs, no years - clear disease -> reduce terrorism

Hydro effect water smaller factors

Logic?

no links = saved lives, proof

=> almost incomplete in getting points who program

what for address

gets in circles

points flow need evidence for policies having terrorism: no receipt

single point negative

refers to map. world

Framework

slow down but your chance

ran out of time

second part: data only relevant - Colombia

last hours - stopping policies no -> fungicide -> Colombia

Flip for sides (locked) : Olive Children Foundation RSAP
Rohan Saxena & Ansh Patel

Aff.

Flip for sides (locked) : The Harker School DKRB
Derek Kuo & Rahul Bhetanabhotla

Neg

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.



JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Glendale Community College

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Safety of US Citizens : Drugs

Comments:

Aff:

Ansh: Good questions.
- Good speaking pace.
- Good job! 😊

Neg:

Rahul: No stat on coke being
- Good job! 😊

Rohan: Because of policy so
frequency is increasing.
- Brought up good points
- Good job! 😊

Derek: speak a little slower
so you don't stumble
on your words.
- Good job! 😊

MIKE KYLE - NOVA 42

Room: Camino Real Building (CR) 2013 Glendale Middle School Warm up Speech and Debate Competition

Round Start: 12:30

Ballot Due: ASAP

Flip for sides : Wilshire Academy LKTS
 LKTS 1st 2nd
 LKTS LKTS
 AFF

Flip for sides : Ridgecrest Intermediate School MGMB
 MGMB 1st 2nd
 MGMB MGMB
 NEG

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

[Signature]
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

NOVA 42
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Comments:

AFF
 LYNA - OK, WOW, NEED TO REALLY SETTLE DOWN IN CROSS FIRE SHIP AND A LITTLE BOLD
 TIFFANY - NICE WORK - SLOWING THE ROUND DOWN AND TRYING TO CRYSTALLIZE

NEG
 MATTHEW - SPEED WAS ALREADY TOO MUCH FOR OTHER JUDGES - AND GOT PRETTY RUDE YOURSELF
 MOON - BETTER CONTROL AND COVERAGE WAS VERY STRONG

PRECISION: CRITERIA MURDER
 EVIDENCE ISSUE - BOTH SIDE ISSUES
 LEGALIZATION WENT AWAY BUT NICE
 WENT AFF ON CONTAMINATION 2 B IMPACT
 WASTE \$

ORALS IN ROUND

AFF WIN)

Flip for sides : Wilshire Academy LKTS
Lyna Kim & Tiffany Shin

AFF

4 2

Flip for sides : Ridgecrest Intermediate School MGMB
Moon Sung Gwak & Matthew Baik

NEG

3

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Comments:

①

All most police laws went

- speaks very fast
- I don't understand his contentions - looks clearly

CS: legalization of drugs
works - in part about work

AFF

looked calm but refuted opponents - refutes

②

- speaks really fast - had to ~~it~~ to slow down

- contentions unclear - still needs

CS: writing many

Anti-drug policies are the drug war?

- focus on a detail?

- didn't answer question

Neg

seemed to have dropped their opp's points at times

Sparks clearly

③

of course, would be
cancel

- suggests cutting floor
of drugs

- must stop drugs
from coming into
countries

- policy is working

- demands come
to evidence

not show that -
not-anti drug campaign
not good the law

deposed

① refuting contention

UTI - quite good

- limits can be
repealed

deposed

deposed

Never change

Contention

= not out of the
to refute balloon
effect

Way kept charging, evidence

- shouldn't see only
deposed US has

subordinate laws + been
refuted

Tends to go in
circle = off-focus

④ did refute to those
refuter

③ - may have no evidence for point,
but offer have no evidence,
proof.

- no refuter

legislature has authority
to do it

deposed
content A

④

speakers done

- not jobs?

- "policy not working"

↳ - balloon effect

- telling LA to follow
to law

- drug fight multilateral
asked how they will

- never suggest
legalized

Room: Camino Real
Building (CR) 2312013 Glendale Middle School Warm up Speech and Debate
Competition

Round Start: 12:30

Ballot Due: ASAP

Flip for sides : Wilshire Academy LKTS Aff.
Lyna Kim & Tiffany Shin

Flip for sides : Ridgecrest Intermediate School MGMB Neg.
Moon Sung Gwak & Matthew Baik

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.


JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Glendale Community College
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Comments:

Neg:

- Matthew :- Good at responses the best
- Lives more important than money.
- Good job! ☺

Mat:

- Speed of speeches was good. Good points.
- Usability
- Good job! ☺

Aff:

- Lyna :- spoke really fast at first but you fixed yourself so it was a good speed.
- Good job when asking ☺ and responding to questions

Tiffany :- "Putting our own laws into other countries"
Good point!

- Good job when trying ☺ to clarify their points

Flip for sides : Wilshire Academy ALU **AFF**
Anika Lee & Isabel Jang

Flip for sides : The Harker School JHER **NEG**
Misha Tseitlin & Emaad Raghib

— WINNER —

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Stephan Brooks
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

OLIVE CHILDREN FOUNDATION
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Comments:

AFF

- DON'T NEED TOPIC AFTER 1ST SPEECH
- "BECAUSE THEY REBUTAL TO ALL OF THEIR REBUTALS"

NEG

2ND; AWESOME QUESTIONS REGARDING OPEN/BLACK MARKETS

RFD: NEG WINS THE TOPICALITY DEBATE NOT ONLY WITH THEIR OBSERVATIONS (WHICH GO UNTOUCHED) BUT ALSO W/ THE ARGS IN GRAND CROSS REGARDING CURRENT US. PAST POLICIES. FURTHER, THE NEG ~~DO~~ ALSO HARMS AFF OFFENSE REGARDING OPEN/BLACK MARKETS, POINTING OUT THAT CARTELS COULD SELL ON OPEN MARKET.

BRUNNEN

1911

1911

— BRUNNEN —

VERTRAGS-UND VERKEHRSGESAMTHEIT

Handwritten signature

BRUNNEN

ZUSATZLICHE ANGABEN:

ANGABEN ÜBER DEN VERTRAGS-UND VERKEHRSGESAMTHEIT

BRUNNEN

BRUNNEN 1911 VERTRAGS-UND VERKEHRSGESAMTHEIT

BRUNNEN 1911 VERTRAGS-UND VERKEHRSGESAMTHEIT

BRUNNEN 1911 VERTRAGS-UND VERKEHRSGESAMTHEIT

BRUNNEN 1911 VERTRAGS-UND VERKEHRSGESAMTHEIT

BRUNNEN 1911 VERTRAGS-UND VERKEHRSGESAMTHEIT

BRUNNEN 1911 VERTRAGS-UND VERKEHRSGESAMTHEIT

BRUNNEN 1911 VERTRAGS-UND VERKEHRSGESAMTHEIT

BRUNNEN 1911 VERTRAGS-UND VERKEHRSGESAMTHEIT

BRUNNEN 1911 VERTRAGS-UND VERKEHRSGESAMTHEIT

Room: Camino Real
Building (CR) 2302013 Glendale Middle School Warm up Speech and Debate
Competition

Round Start: 12:30

Ballot Due: ASAP

Flip for sides : Wilshire Academy ALIJ
#2 Anika Lee & Isabel Jang #1
AffirmativeFlip for sides : The Harker School JHER
#1 Misha Tseitlin & Emaad Raghieb #2
Negative

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Mishad BrittonKudos

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Comments: Aff; 1a - Opens casually with criterion, and stating of key points. Steadily flows facts to establish the base of the argument and provide a logical structure. Fair amount of eye contact.
2a - Begins with reiteration and measure of criterion. Proceeds to provide solid examples of how said.

Neg; 1a - Moderately opens with facts to support initial contention. Attempts solidify point with examples. Solid eye contact.
2a - Opens positively, provides effective use of supporting claims. States statistics to close point. Moderate eye contact.

AFF
Policy is badFlip for sides: Wiltshire Academy ALU
Anika Lee & Isabel JangNEG
Policy is good

Anika(2) Isabel(1)

Flip for sides: The Harker School JHER
Misha Tselitin & Emaad Raghib

Misha(1), Emaad(2)

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.


JUDGE'S SIGNATUREOLIVE
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Isabel (AFF 1)

Comments:

- C1. Ineffective - budget cuts in US will require LatAm to fund the war
- C2. Sovereignty - US interference with Latin laws.
- C3. Americans risk their lives. Supply moves from one place to another.
- Good response to opponent's rebuttal.

Misha (NEG 1):

- C1. Reduced trafficking - down 20% in one year
- 1b. Link with terrorism.
- C2. Increased hegemony - more global political influence
- C3. Increased trade
- 1b. Oil. Antidrug policies monitor traders with Latin
- Good cross-fire question on impact of less spending.

Anika (AFF 2):

- Good rebuttal on opponent's points
- C4. Policies find cartels by creating a black market

Emaad (NEG 2): Good presentation of facts. Good deduction that price increase = supply decrease.

Good Q on why legalization of drugs will help the AFF case.

Decision: A very good debate by both sides. I felt the NEG did a better job presenting facts and rebutting the opponent's position. The AFF did a commendable job responding to all the Neg rebuttals but fell short on backing those with statistics and facts. NEG Wins.

Room: Camino Real
Building (CR) 2302013 Glendale Middle School Warm up Speech and Debate
Competition

Round Start: 12:30

Ballot Due: ASAP

- WINNER -

Flip for sides : Nova 42 Academy JNTL NEG
John Nehas & Tiana LopezFlip for sides : New West Charter GNAS AFF
Grace Nelson & Aidan Shev

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

OLIVE CHILDREN FOUNDATION

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Comments:

AFF1st - YOU CAN PROBABLY RUN
MORE THAN 2 CONTRIBUTIONS2nd - GOOD PRONUNCIATION
OF SPANISH NAMESYOU NEED TO START YOUR
SPEECH W/ REPUTATIONS.GOOD JOB TRYING TO BRING
UP LIVES ARE IN FINAL FOLDS.NEG1st - SUPER AGGRESSIVE, TRUE BODY.
GIVE ME IMPACT ANALYSIS2nd - SIGNALIST METER, NEED TO
KNOW WHERE YOU ARE.

"REGEMAN" NEGOS-60M-AMM

1st - OPENING CP SUMMER YOU WEIGH
IMPACT, GOOD JOB.

YOU HAVE A SIDE-TO-SIDE SOUND.

2nd - GOOD ATTACK ON CAP EVIDENCE.

RFD: THE AFF DROPS THE ENTIRE NEG-CONSTRUCTIVE.THE NEG PRESENTS EVIDENCE THAT SHOWS POSITIVE
IMPACTS FROM FURTHERING OUR POLICIES (12.23%).
DECREASE IN DRUGS/COCAINE). NEG ALSO DID
A GREAT JOB OF COMPARING WORLDS.

Y steht für die Schaltungswerte
 (Werte in Klammern) die hier die
 maximalen Werte der Widerstände sind
 die hier maximalen Werte sind (Y ist die
 die hier maximalen Werte sind (Y ist die

1.1.1. Die hier sind die Werte der Widerstände

1.1.2. Die hier sind die Werte der Widerstände
 1.1.3. Die hier sind die Werte der Widerstände
 1.1.4. Die hier sind die Werte der Widerstände
 1.1.5. Die hier sind die Werte der Widerstände
 1.1.6. Die hier sind die Werte der Widerstände
 1.1.7. Die hier sind die Werte der Widerstände
 1.1.8. Die hier sind die Werte der Widerstände
 1.1.9. Die hier sind die Werte der Widerstände
 1.1.10. Die hier sind die Werte der Widerstände

1.1.11. Die hier sind die Werte der Widerstände
 1.1.12. Die hier sind die Werte der Widerstände
 1.1.13. Die hier sind die Werte der Widerstände
 1.1.14. Die hier sind die Werte der Widerstände
 1.1.15. Die hier sind die Werte der Widerstände
 1.1.16. Die hier sind die Werte der Widerstände
 1.1.17. Die hier sind die Werte der Widerstände
 1.1.18. Die hier sind die Werte der Widerstände
 1.1.19. Die hier sind die Werte der Widerstände
 1.1.20. Die hier sind die Werte der Widerstände

1.1.21. Die hier sind die Werte der Widerstände



1.1.22. Die hier sind die Werte der Widerstände

Room: Camino Real
 Building (CR) 230

2013 Glendale Middle School Warm up Speech and Debate
 Competition

Round Start: 12:30

Ballot Due: ASAP

Negative
 Flip for sides : Nova 42 Academy JNTL
 #1 John Nahas & Tiana Lopez #2

Affirmative
 Flip for sides : New West Charter GNAS
 #1 Grace Nelson & Aidan Shev #2

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Michelle Britton

Kudos

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Comments: Aff: 1a. Casually open with solid sourced examples and reasons to defend after stating contentions. Virtually no eye contact, seem to be reading canned speech off paper.
 2a. Opens calmly, stating key arguments towards establishing foundation on contentions. Provides solid statistics and facts to support effective management of flu and eye contact.
 1b. Begins calmly, adding on to foundation established initially. Builds on key points, attempting to provide a defense for case.
 2b. Briefly closes on points and dismisses opponent claims as irrelevant.

Neg: 1a. Opens with immensely intense tone, citing a few initial examples for initial contentions. Continues to back further contentions with angry statistics and examples. Uses harsh tone to convey points properly. Little to no eye contact.
 2a. Opens strongly, using effective tone of voice, stating substantial sources and facts to build upon case. Struggles to refute opponent's claims. Moderate eye contact.
 1b. Harshly attacks opponent's claims with little to moderate success.
 2b. Lightly summarizes points of contention. Claims opponent's claims faulty.

AFF

Flip for sides : Nova 42 Academy JNTL - NEG
John Nahas & Tiana Lopez

NEG

Grace(2) Aiden(1)

John(1) Tiana(2)

Policy is bad

Flip for sides : New West Charter GNAS - AFF
Grace Nelson & Aidan Shev

Policy is good

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

BSPOLIVE

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Comments:

Aiden (AFF1): Great analogy with cigarettes.
 1. Harm is too large - cost in money, lives 516, 70k lives
 2. Not enough progress annually
 Good rebuttal on terrorism by attacking the profitability of the trade.

Grace (AFF2) Mexico lost 70k lives since 2007. US lost 33k citizens to 'DO'.

John (Neg1): Same lives - toy issue.
 1. Reduces terrorism - terrorism linked to drugs
 2.
 3. More aid to Latin Am decreases supply of drugs
 Good direct attack on 70k number from the opponents and the other arguments.
 Good bait on Hegemony & the constitution

Tiana (Neg2) Mexicans in favor of U.S. dump more.
 Increase in US funding reduces drug supply
 Your counter questions were not seemingly purposeful and appeared scattershot.

Decision. Very close debate. Neg had a stronger case. I felt AFF did not reciprocate the attack on the 270k lives presented by the NEG team.