

QUINN - Chair



THE COLLEGE of IDAHO

KAIC 106 Flight A

CSI CC vs.

BSU CS

* Flip *

Don't Disclose

IPDA Debate Ballot

5 Minutes Affirmative Constructive
2 minutes Cross Examination
6 minutes Negative Constructive
2 minutes Cross Examination

3 minutes 1st Affirmative Rebuttal
5 minutes Negative Rebuttal
3 minutes 2nd Affirmative Rebuttal

Affirmative COOK CSI Rate 26

Negative BSU Sullivan Rate 29

Rate each Debater 15-30. Please circle the winner.

Higher Education should be free

Reasons for Decision

aff - well done on overall delivery style, the speaking style is effective. Need to use your full ex - only used 1 min. If you want to run a value case - you need to set up a value case - all your pts are on cost - which is framed towards plan issues.

neg - ex excellent, the clarification is needed. Is it possible? Watch 3rd contention - you do have state teaching profs in the room - acknowledge you audience. Well done on persuasive tone.

RFD - aff - you have a problem, you asked for my criteria which was specific as to the impact and feasibility in the real world. True, you don't have to give me a plan - but you do need to show how it is possible. Your criteria and contentions do not support a value case.

The neg summarizes well the voting issues and provides an off-case argument that is answered by only 1 response of "she can't give a counter-plan" you neglect to support why this is a problem. Overall neg has more substance in the content of the arguments.

J Quinn

NNU

Judges Signature

School Affiliation

- the aff also leaves too much time out - needs to build up the refutation.

Rowing



THE COLLEGE of IDAHO



KAIC 100

CSI - CC vs. BSU CS

* Flip *

IPDA Debate Ballot

5 Minutes Affirmative Constructive
2 minutes Cross Examination
6 minutes Negative Constructive
2 minutes Cross Examination

3 minutes 1st Affirmative Rebuttal
5 minutes Negative Rebuttal
3 minutes 2nd Affirmative Rebuttal

Affirmative CSI CC Rate 25

Negative BSU CS Rate 27

Rate each Debater 15-30. Please circle the winner.

Reasons for Decision

2A - Says we are trying to create equality. Are you advocating change in SQ then? The neg never offers a CIP
The neg essentially says "Free" can't happen. There are always costs! She maintains Property Tax as a necessity for "Free" ed. This is not a CIP --- it is pointing out a flaw in the ability to "really" enact free education

I note Neg - Great Claim throughout all of the speeches
The aff says I'm not advocating for a plan but calls for a vote based upon aff "creating" a free ed.

Judge's Signature

School Affiliation

The aff is advocating for

very confusing and inconsistent @ the end of the round I have no idea what

~~KHANSEH~~

Bennett, M

KAIC
100

CSI CC vs.
BSU CS



THE COLLEGE
of IDAHO



Flip

IPDA Debate Ballot

- 5 Minutes Affirmative Constructive
- 2 minutes Cross Examination
- 6 minutes Negative Constructive
- 2 minutes Cross Examination

- 3 minutes 1st Affirmative Rebuttal
- 5 minutes Negative Rebuttal
- 3 minutes 2nd Affirmative Rebuttal

Affirmative CSI CC Rate 27

Negative BSU CS Rate 27

Rate each Debater 15-30. Please circle the winner.

Reasons for Decision

Higher education should be free.

AFF

You should answer questions straight on.

Neg -

Know your audience - professors paid by tax base

M. Bennett

CWI

Judges Signature

School Affiliation

G. Proberg - Chair

Cof IJR vs Whit JW



Flip

IPDA Debate Ballot

5 Minutes Affirmative Constructive
 2 minutes Cross Examination
 6 minutes Negative Constructive
 2 minutes Cross Examination

3 minutes 1st Affirmative Rebuttal
 5 minutes Negative Rebuttal
 3 minutes 2nd Affirmative Rebuttal

Affirmative C of I JR Rate X

Negative WHIT JW Rate +

Rate each Debater 15-30. Please circle the winner.

REASONS FOR DECISION

- GREAT CASE
- GOOD JOB keeping your cool even though his case is on another topic.

- GOOD QUESTIONS
- I really like your argument about crops.
- you are very talented, great analysis.

WEAKNESS

- I DON'T SEE THE LINK BETWEEN INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS AND DRUG LAWS
- Keep in the res probably means they already must be locked out.
- Probably address his case.

- USE ALL YOUR C-X TIME
- I DON'T THINK NET BENS IS ABUSIVE.
- Don't get pushed into the 'gateway drug' thing. your answer was fine till the peer pressure thing.
- I got your argument, but it seems more applicable to NPOA. ~~Keep~~ Keep is the 1 issue

RFD: Overcrowding is the last benefit I see the gov having but the ethical dilemmas proven by the Norway example and the ~~perception~~ perception of U.S. government outweigh on the Net Bens criterion.

Debra Groberg

BSU

Judges Signature

School Affiliation

Nailon

CofI JR vs Whit JW



THE COLLEGE of IDAHO



IPDA Debate Ballot

5 Minutes Affirmative Constructive
2 minutes Cross Examination
6 minutes Negative Constructive
2 minutes Cross Examination

3 minutes 1st Affirmative Rebuttal
5 minutes Negative Rebuttal
3 minutes 2nd Affirmative Rebuttal

Affirmative Cof I JR Rate _____

Negative Whit JW Rate X

Rate each Debater 15-30. Please circle the winner.

Reasons for Decision

AF strengths Good speaking skills

Neg strengths
Good speaking skills
interesting argument
great job forwarding your case

Weakness
Read res. first
their explanation of best their join
then could have been flushed out and
neg never actually stated marijuana was
a "gateway" drug
RFD. Creative round but too many drops on negative
argument and good forwarding of case

Weakness
if your given time - please stop
Not sure about ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~case~~ ~~un~~
completely different argument
was best way to go forward -
didn't need to run case

Judges Signature

School Affiliation

DouRaghi

CofI JR vs. Whit JW



THE COLLEGE of IDAHO



Flip

IPDA Debate Ballot

- 5 Minutes Affirmative Constructive
- 2 minutes Cross Examination
- 6 minutes Negative Constructive
- 2 minutes Cross Examination

- 3 minutes 1st Affirmative Rebuttal
- 5 minutes Negative Rebuttal
- 3 minutes 2nd Affirmative Rebuttal

Affirmative CofI JR Rate X

Negative Whit JW Rate X

Rate each Debater 15-30. Please circle the winner.

Reso: Keep them locked out → ^{of marijuana crime} ^{out} of connectural fac

Reasons for Decision

Aff

Strengths:

→ good job in CX

Weaknesses

- State your resolution first
- huge risk to not address his points
- use your last speech to wrap it up and make us believe that MJ should be the topic and not the lock out

Neg

Strengths:

→ great job tying your points/def analysis but also refuting his points

Weaknesses:

- you are not in a policy round..... "direct link", "Anti Value", etc. "Critique"
- manage time better

Melany Darghi

PSU

Judges Signature

School Affiliation

RFD: This was a really messy debate.... vote is for Neg because Aff dropped Neg's Argument's completely and

Douraghi

KAIC 103

CWI JH vs Unit KJ



Flip

IPDA Debate Ballot

- 5 Minutes Affirmative Constructive
- 2 minutes Cross Examination
- 6 minutes Negative Constructive
- 2 minutes Cross Examination

- 3 minutes 1st Affirmative Rebuttal
- 5 minutes Negative Rebuttal
- 3 minutes 2nd Affirmative Rebuttal

Affirmative CWI JH Rate X

Negative Unit KJ Rate X

Rate each Debater 15-30. Please circle the winner.

Res: Higher Ed should be free

Reasons for Decision

Aff

Strengths:

- > strong speaker
- > great organization

Weaknesses:

- > impact your contentions out a little more w/ further analysis
- > manage time better
- > if you addressed the opponents arguments, it was not clear to me. Be sure to refute your opponent. Its not my job to assume you are answering the Negs case.

Neg

Strengths:

- > great cx questions + response
- > loved how concise you were in your speech with still being able to refute

Weaknesses:

- > provide better roadmap/preview

Mullay Douraghi

RFSU

Judges Signature

School Affiliation

RFD: Neg wins because of better contentions and Aff dropped Negs case.

Nailon

KATC103

CW1 JH vs. Whit KJ



THE COLLEGE of IDAHO



Flip

Novice

IPDA Debate Ballot

- 5 Minutes Affirmative Constructive
- 2 minutes Cross Examination
- 6 minutes Negative Constructive
- 2 minutes Cross Examination

- 3 minutes 1st Affirmative Rebuttal
- 5 minutes Negative Rebuttal
- 3 minutes 2nd Affirmative Rebuttal

Affirmative CW1 JH Rate

Negative Whit KJ Rate

Rate each Debater 15-30. Please circle the winner.

Reasons for Decision

Neg was - better argument -> hit on more points

Strengths Good speaker clear
 concise argument
 Good point on other countries/
 free ed. Good hitting on older/wiser and
 in the final
 weakness
 feel like you could hit on his
 point of taxpayers being greater
 than students / who can also work and
 be taxpayers
 would like to have seen you discuss
 cost of HE to individuals / average
 cost per student in debt -> could
 have been a great point

Strengths Good speaking
 well thought out argument
 good hits on AF points
 good point on budget

Weaknesses
 felt like the argument that
 people are spoiled could have been
 flushed out more

RFD

Colin Nailon

BSU

Judges Signature

School Affiliation

Gröberg - Chair

KAIC 103

CWI JH vs. WHIT KJ
NOVICE



THE COLLEGE
of IDAHO



FIP

Don't
Disclose

IPDA Debate Ballot

- 5 Minutes Affirmative Constructive
- 2 minutes Cross Examination
- 6 minutes Negative Constructive
- 2 minutes Cross Examination

- 3 minutes 1st Affirmative Rebuttal
- 5 minutes Negative Rebuttal
- 3 minutes 2nd Affirmative Rebuttal

Affirmative CWE JH Rate _____

Negative WHIT KJ Rate X

Rate each Debater 15-30. Please circle the winner.

Strength
PM Reasons for Decision

- NICE THANKYOU'S!
- GREAT TIME MANAGEMENT
- I LIKE THE USE OF EMPIRICAL EXAMPLES.

Strength
LO

- VERY GOOD SPEAKER
- I LIKE THE PRAGMATISM ARGUMENT.
- GOOD JOB ADDRESSING HIS CASE.

Weakness
MB

- BE CAREFUL CALLING PEOPLE WHO ARE NOT COLLEGE EDUCATED IGNORANT
- TRY NOT TO USE META-STATEMENTS
IE. "I SHOULD NOT HAVE STARTED WITH VOTERS"
JUST BE CONFIDENT, YOU ARE DOING GREAT!

Weakness
DD

- OUTSOURCING IS NOT BRINGING PEOPLE TO THE US TO DO JOBS...
- BETTER EDUCATED PEOPLE ARE BETTER PEOPLE: BE CAREFUL...
- ~~NEVER~~ YOU USE A LOT OF MALE PRONOUNS, "HE, HIM," WHEN REFERING TO PEOPLE IN GENERAL

2FD: This comes down to whether or not now is a good time to pass this plan. It is conceded that it has worked in other countries, but it was never refuted that now is not a good time.

Debra Groberg

BSU

Judges Signature

School Affiliation

Adams

CofI St vs. Whit RB
(Aff) (Neg)



THE COLLEGE of IDAHO



IPDA Debate Ballot

5 Minutes Affirmative Constructive
2 minutes Cross Examination
6 minutes Negative Constructive
2 minutes Cross Examination

3 minutes 1st Affirmative Rebuttal
5 minutes Negative Rebuttal
3 minutes 2nd Affirmative Rebuttal

Affirmative ST-CofI Rate _____

Negative RB Rate _____

Rate each Debater 15-30. Please circle the winner.

US should adopt Nation Sales tax.

Reasons for Decision

aff = Really need to establish the harms of the status quo without that it's hard to "sell" your benefits. You just jumped right into plan w/o harms. Also would like lots more specifics. You also seem to cop an attitude during Q. You do have a very personable style everywhere else! (R's. Avoid sexist language - economist = 50 new!)

Neg - Good way to point out the flaws in her plan. Work on organization. Nice speaking! Try to look more confident about what you are saying. It almost looks like you are questioning what you are saying. Good voters.

Both = work on organization and not using the word "she" when referring to your opponent.

Both Flees

CS

Judges Signature

School Affiliation

Vander Boegh

Cof I ST
(aff)

vs. Whit RB
(neg)



THE COLLEGE
of IDAHO



IPDA Debate Ballot

5 Minutes Affirmative Constructive
2 minutes Cross Examination
6 minutes Negative Constructive
2 minutes Cross Examination

3 minutes 1st Affirmative Rebuttal
5 minutes Negative Rebuttal
3 minutes 2nd Affirmative Rebuttal

Affirmative Cof I ST Rate 22

Negative Whit RB Rate 25

The U.S. should adopt a national sales tax.

Rate each Debater 15-30. Please circle the winner.

disjointed reasons

Reasons for Decision

Get rid of income tax
Exclude tax on nutritious foods, medicine, public transit, thrift stores

no answer

Benefits:

People will eat healthier foods
Will promote public transit
Will boost economy

"Maybe they should find a closer job" if they can't use public transit

Allows for a fair tax across the nation
No tax cuts. It's a replacement tax
Getting rid of the IRS - gov't shouldn't charge at all

What defines it?

No reason to switch to national sales tax

Not equality

Transportation argument/rebuttal - don't get caught on the red herring!

Growth of gov't is not good, Nat'l sales tax raises gov't

Good call-out of contradiction in tax amounts
↳ the rest could be more clear/succinct

You contradict yourself by saying "people aren't happy if they're being taxed too much" but you just make the point that we'd have more money by spending less on taxes in your rebuttal

REASONS FOR DECISION: This was a debate rife with contradictions on both sides (listed below). However, I ruled in favor of Neg because Aff never made a firm rationale for switching taxes, other than saying "it's more fair", which could be valid w/more elaboration, but fell flat as is. Like the Neg, I wanted to hear more concrete reasons. Also, I was confused where this tax idea was coming from, and I thought the Aff could have explained the in's + out's better rather than just "getting rid of income tax, replacing w/sales tax"

CONTRADICTIONS:

Aff: "got rid of IRS" followed by "gov't won't charge at all"

Neg: Your point was that the idea was inaccurate as in gov't would have less \$ and people would have more, but you argued p...

Judges Signature

School Affiliation

more but I ran out of room!

Olson

C of I ST vs. Whit RB
(Aff) (Neg)



IPDA Debate Ballot

5 Minutes Affirmative Constructive
2 minutes Cross Examination
6 minutes Negative Constructive
2 minutes Cross Examination

3 minutes 1st Affirmative Rebuttal
5 minutes Negative Rebuttal
3 minutes 2nd Affirmative Rebuttal

Affirmative C of I ST Rate 24

Negative Whit RB Rate 25

Rate each Debater 15-30. Please circle the winner.

Reasons for Decision

Voted neg. b/c:

- 1) better use of time and organization
- 2) ^{ben. of} presumption
- 3) Aff's lateness in explaining what's wrong w/ status quo

Congratulations! And, nice round!

Aff: why to change is every important questions. Fairness was a great answer... just a little too late.

Judges Signature

School Affiliation

Adams -

Chair

KAIC 102

BSU SFs.

Whitman U



THE COLLEGE of IDAHO



Don't Disclose

Flip

IPDA Debate Ballot

- 5 Minutes Affirmative Constructive
- 2 minutes Cross Examination
- 6 minutes Negative Constructive
- 2 minutes Cross Examination

- 3 minutes 1st Affirmative Rebuttal
- 5 minutes Negative Rebuttal
- 3 minutes 2nd Affirmative Rebuttal

Affirmative BSU SF Rate _____

Negative Whit U Rate _____

Rate each Debater 15-30. Please circle the winner.

Reasons for Decision - Aff offered no voters and no criteria therefore I had to vote for Whitman.

Neg - please use all your time. You can do that by using more examples. You said, "The Great's didn't speak for all people" But that was it! Pound the point home by saying who they left out: Slaves, women, poor, etc.

Aff: you are quite theatrically, I would love more key analysis, evidence, organization instead.

Beth Hewes

CSI

Judges Signature

School Affiliation

OLSON

KAC 102

BSU SF vs.

Whitworth LL

(Flip)



THE COLLEGE of IDAHO



IPDA Debate Ballot

5 Minutes Affirmative Constructive
 2 minutes Cross Examination
 6 minutes Negative Constructive
 2 minutes Cross Examination

3 minutes 1st Affirmative Rebuttal
 5 minutes Negative Rebuttal
 3 minutes 2nd Affirmative Rebuttal

Affirmative BSU SF Rate 30

Negative Whitworth LL Rate 30

Rate each Debater 15-30. Please circle the winner.

Reasons for Decision

Very tight round and an excellent job to you both!
 In the end, ~~at~~ you have to look for little things & for me, it was the 2nd CX when whitworth agreed that the Tea Party and Occupy movements were both calling for change in leadership b/c they're not represented.

Excellent job!

Judges Signature

School Affiliation

VanderBoegh

KAIC 102

BSU SF vs Whit LL



THE COLLEGE of IDAHO



Flip

IPDA Debate Ballot

5 Minutes Affirmative Constructive
2 minutes Cross Examination
6 minutes Negative Constructive
2 minutes Cross Examination

3 minutes 1st Affirmative Rebuttal
5 minutes Negative Rebuttal
3 minutes 2nd Affirmative Rebuttal

Affirmative BSU SF Rate 29

Negative Whit LL Rate 28

America's Recession is one of Leadership

Rate each Debater 15-30. Please circle the winner.

Reasons for Decision

"Leadership" - people in govt making decisions for the masses
Not discussing economic recession.
Washington } #1
Jefferson } examples of great leadership
Adams }
#2 - Govt disunity = disservice
#3 - Dems - no conviction
"Regression of politics" "watered-down policies"
#1 - Causes failures + problems

"I want to agree, but that's not the case" - ?
Leadership was hollow / contradicts #2 + #3
Better leadership comes from varied thinking + ideas
Leadership doesn't determine problems
Recession is in citizenship - citizens are detrimental
Don't agree we lack people w/ conviction
People want "watered-down" politics, maybe, ^{hypothetical} assertion
What we really lack is conviction in citizens
"Follow" argument - got a little confusing
Recession in responsibility

Good Rebuttal!

We expect leaders to enact change
Party battle
People want change, were not confused
creating new parties

REASON FOR DECISION:

Good job ladies! Very impassioned delivery on both sides. I feel you both strayed away from the topic at times, but always came back to your main points. I ruled in favor of the Aff, mainly because the arguments consistently focused on "people want change" and was evidenced by new party creations (Tea Party). Neg. had a great counter by saying we've got a "recession in responsibility", but it lacked the backing to make it

Matthew
Judges Signature

School Affiliation

Resound w/ me. Very good though, and very close. Best of luck to both of you in the future!

Pagano

- Chair KAIC 104

Whit TW vs. CSI BS



THE COLLEGE of IDAHO



Don't Disclose

Flip

IPDA Debate Ballot

- ✓ 5 Minutes Affirmative Constructive
- 2 minutes Cross Examination
- 6 minutes Negative Constructive
- 2 minutes Cross Examination

- 3 minutes 1st Affirmative Rebuttal
- 5 minutes Negative Rebuttal
- 3 minutes 2nd Affirmative Rebuttal

Affirmative CSI BS Rate 20

Negative Whit TW Rate 30

Rate each Debater 15-30. Please circle the winner.


Reasons for Decision

The Federal Reserve's raise interest rate

Aff drops all of Neg's Analysis,

Ben: You did a good job of giving the general arguments against % being low. But you gotta put it into context.

Neg: Don't tease me by saying you are going to talk about Solow, but not doing it!



Judge's Signature

BSCU

School Affiliation

Peterson

KATIC 104

Whit TW vs. CSI BS



THE COLLEGE of IDAHO



Flip

IPDA Debate Ballot

5 Minutes Affirmative Constructive
 2 minutes Cross Examination
 6 minutes Negative Constructive
 2 minutes Cross Examination

3 minutes 1st Affirmative Rebuttal
 5 minutes Negative Rebuttal
 3 minutes 2nd Affirmative Rebuttal

Affirmative CSI BS Rate 20

Negative WHIT TW Rate 28

Rate each Debater 15-30. Please circle the winner.

Reasons for Decision

- AFF:
- Good hook — be sure you unpack it for us (explain it / connect it to case)
 - Good constructive speech
 - Half of this is confidence — keep practicing so you can own it!

- NEG:
- Good cross examination
 - Great delivery
 - Very knowledgeable on topic
 - Argument that loans leads to opportunities weaker than others presented — strive for consistency

[Signature]

Boise State

Judges Signature

School Affiliation

Mahler

KAC104

Whit-TW vs. CSI BS



THE COLLEGE of IDAHO



Flip

IPDA Debate Ballot

- 5 Minutes Affirmative Constructive
- 2 minutes Cross Examination
- 6 minutes Negative Constructive
- 2 minutes Cross Examination

- 3 minutes 1st Affirmative Rebuttal
- 5 minutes Negative Rebuttal
- 3 minutes 2nd Affirmative Rebuttal

Affirmative CSI. BS. Rate 21

Negative Whit. T.W. Rate 29

Rate each Debater 15-30. Please circle the winner.

Reasons for Decision

B.S. - make sure you use your time. Put your pen down! Stop clicking! distracting.
 - You were doing good! Don't give up! use your time!
 - Practice. It helps. 😊

T.W. - No clicking pens!
 - good organization of constructive.
 - Good arguments. Good cross X.
 😊 Well done. Good speaker. but even if you don't have a lot to say in rebuttal, do not give up + use your time!

It's definitely difficult to judge b/c ~~there~~ no one finished their last speeches.
 Use your time!

[Signature]
Judges Signature

BSU.
School Affiliation

PaganD

Whit SD (aff) vs. CSI AK (neg)



THE COLLEGE of IDAHO




IPDA Debate Ballot

5 Minutes Affirmative Constructive
2 minutes Cross Examination
6 minutes Negative Constructive
2 minutes Cross Examination

3 minutes 1st Affirmative Rebuttal
5 minutes Negative Rebuttal
3 minutes 2nd Affirmative Rebuttal

Affirmative Whit SD Rate 25

Negative CSI AK Rate 26



Rate each Debater 15-30. Please circle the winner.

Reasons for Decision

R: TOS 5 Adopt a national sales tax

Neg: Try to run your case first.

its pronounced sov-urn-tea.

✓ = RFD

~~Excessive burden on the economy and the poor~~

✓ Sales Tax is a regressive tax because poor people spend a higher percent of their income on purchases.

✓ Deflation due to increased cost of goods.

- conceded
1) Not all people who are 'poor' are below the line
2) ~~poor~~ Poverty exemption Analysis too late

Drop funding debate. Fiat checks. The debate should be post-fiat.

AFF you completely dropped all of your Economic benefits and only had defensive arguments on everything else.

Judges Signature

BSU

School Affiliation

Peterson

Whit SD (aff) vs. CSI AK (neg)



THE COLLEGE of IDAHO



IPDA Debate Ballot

5 Minutes Affirmative Constructive
2 minutes Cross Examination
6 minutes Negative Constructive
2 minutes Cross Examination

3 minutes 1st Affirmative Rebuttal
5 minutes Negative Rebuttal
3 minutes 2nd Affirmative Rebuttal

Affirmative WHIT SD Rate 27

Negative CSI AK Rate 25

Rate each Debater 15-30. Please circle the winner.

Reasons for Decision

Came down to voters for me, especially analysis of resolution & overarching issue/analysis of equality.

NEG

- Work on structure

AFF

- Make equal eye contact w/ whole audience
- Make better use of cross ex; rehashing small details won't help

[Signature]

Judges Signature

Boise State

School Affiliation

Mahler

KATIC 104

Whit SD vs. CSI AK
(aff) (neg)



THE COLLEGE of IDAHO



IPDA Debate Ballot

- 5 Minutes Affirmative Constructive
- 2 minutes Cross Examination
- 6 minutes Negative Constructive
- 2 minutes Cross Examination

- 3 minutes 1st Affirmative Rebuttal
- 5 minutes Negative Rebuttal
- 3 minutes 2nd Affirmative Rebuttal

Affirmative Whit SD Rate 26

Negative CSI AK Rate 28

Rate each Debater 15-30. Please circle the winner.

Reasons for Decision

SD - Good, confident speaker. 😊

- Good use of time in construction.
- Be more clear on your plan. I don't remember hearing anything about proportional tax until rebuttal.
- use your time in your rebuttal.

AK - Good arguments.

- Speeches done well.
- Good Cross X.
- Better overall arguments.

~~_____~~

Judges Signature

BSU.
School Affiliation