



2010 Steve Hunt Classic

Round #6
Miller 209

Toby McCartt

Aaron Baker

Judges Note: In this style of debate, teams are ranked 1 - 4 in lieu of a win-loss decision.

FIRST PROPOSITION College of Idaho FM		FIRST OPPOSITION Northwest BB	
Team Rank [1 - 4]	1	Team Rank [1 - 4]	2
Speaker [MAX 100] Leroy Fiscus	80	Speaker [MAX 100] James Bowsher	78
Speaker [MAX 100] Colum Miskelly	83	Speaker [MAX 100] Nik Borisov	79
SECOND PROPOSITION UP IM		SECOND OPPOSITION Boise State YM	
Team Rank [1 - 4]	3	Team Rank [1 - 4]	4
Speaker [MAX 100] Aiden Irish	77	Speaker [MAX 100] Bruce Younger	78
Speaker [MAX 100] Amber Diaz	79	Speaker [MAX 100] Dayna Mitchell	77

Comments and Reasons for Decision:

Whole debate was long on invective and stereotyping of different sides. Once again, lack of knowledge of history hurt all sides.

Judge's Signature, [Toby McCartt]:

6000901

Toby McCartt

Round 6 Debate

80
1st 1st Prop (Leroy): Hands out of pockets & don't read too much; good sign-posting at start; ① world support, ② Israeli support, ③ ^{united religious & social society (?)} end terror & violence (?); had some decent examples to support first 2; laid out arguments pretty well & organized

167
79
1st 1st Opp (Nik): "We should not get involved in this"; ① "Palestine non-negotiable"; ② Jerusalem cannot be shared capital
reputations: no Israeli support (400K settlers, "not giving them freedoms"); not realistic; Palestine will not disarm; cannot take land away from settlers; Peace talks haven't worked (Camp David as support, Palestinians do not want to negotiate); (keeps saying "unrealistic" to share Jerusalem w/o support)

157
83
2nd 1st Prop (Colum): "Mostly because it has happened & worked in the past"; reputations were good (especially N. Irish example); Pals have already compromised; Positive Arg: N. Ireland; really liked personal story

78
2nd 1st Opp (James): (They do "mingle" together; Jerusalem is a common ground b/w 3 religions); (cheerleading for segregation?); (Ireland is different because they're all Christian? "Hated" was "not" at the root of Ireland?) (What about Lebanon?); lots of hyperbole, but not really any points or argument that are not based on stereotypes or outright bias

79
1st 2nd Prop (Amber): Moral argument ("should be supported"); Pos. Point: cont. Benefits (reduce conflict), "take away ammunition" from Arab neighbors; decreasing extremism; called out false dichotomy of supporting Israel or supporting Palestine; liked when you sat down belligerent commenter with a "thank you" and moved on; called B.S. on Ireland being diff. because they were all Christian

156
78
1st 2nd Opp (Bruce): Sharing Jerusalem "doesn't seem feasible"; still waiting for an argument, I hear a lot of "I don't know..." and "I don't think..."; strange argument that because Israelis are coming into Pal. territory, so why would that stop?; "over 100 years of countless attempts..." (wrong)

77
156
2nd 2nd Prop (Aidan): Called Opp on "I don't know's"; summed up Prop's side, but not very clearly and very haltingly

77
2nd 2nd Opp (Dayna): "see capital as a kind of home, chaos will ensue w/ differing parenting goals"; "compromise is not an option"; "I disagree, it is not a practical option" is not a refutation, it's an opinion; reiterated claim that the two religions cannot live in peace, "unrealistic & naive" (repeated twice); sort of dropped off at end



2010 Steve Hunt Classic

Round #6
Miller 209

Aaron Baker

Toby McCartt

Judges Note: In this style of debate, teams are ranked 1 - 4 in lieu of a win-loss decision.

FIRST PROPOSITION College of Idaho FM		FIRST OPPOSITION Northwest BB	
Team Rank [1 - 4]	1	Team Rank [1 - 4]	2
Speaker [MAX 100] Leroy Fiscus	78	Speaker [MAX 100] James Bowsher	77
Speaker [MAX 100] Colum Miskelly	77	Speaker [MAX 100] Nik Borisov	76
SECOND PROPOSITION UP IM		SECOND OPPOSITION Boise State YM	
Team Rank [1 - 4]	3	Team Rank [1 - 4]	4
Speaker [MAX 100] Aiden Irish	75	Speaker [MAX 100] Bruce Younger	75
Speaker [MAX 100] Amber Diaz	77	Speaker [MAX 100] Dayna Mitchell	75

Comments and Reasons for Decision:

Judge's Signature, [Aaron Baker]:

6000902



2010 Steve Hunt Classic

Round #6
Miller 103

Kathryn Kenecki

John Henderson

Judges Note: In this style of debate, teams are ranked 1 - 4 in lieu of a win-loss decision.

FIRST PROPOSITION George Fox EK		FIRST OPPOSITION WU LC	
Team Rank [1 - 4]	2	Team Rank [1 - 4]	4
Speaker [MAX 100] Brice Ezell	92	Speaker [MAX 100] Rafael Baptista	80
Speaker [MAX 100] Stephen Kenyon	89	Speaker [MAX 100] Bill Casson	77
SECOND PROPOSITION Willamette AM		SECOND OPPOSITION Montana CH	
Team Rank [1 - 4]	1	Team Rank [1 - 4]	3
Speaker [MAX 100] Gregory Alderson	92	Speaker [MAX 100] Abigail Connolly	79
Speaker [MAX 100] Edward McGlone	92	Speaker [MAX 100] Hayden Hooker	85

Comments and Reasons for Decision:

Judge's Signature, [Kathryn Kenecki]:

6000201



2010 Steve Hunt Classic

Round #6
Miller 103

John Henderson

Kathryn Kenecki

Judges Note: In this style of debate, teams are ranked 1 - 4 in lieu of a win-loss decision.

FIRST PROPOSITION		FIRST OPPOSITION	
George Fox EK	2	WU LC	4
Team Rank [1 - 4]		Team Rank [1 - 4]	
Speaker [MAX 100]		Speaker [MAX 100]	
Brice Ezell 1	85	Rafael Baptista 1	75
Speaker [MAX 100]		Speaker [MAX 100]	
Stephen Kenyon 2	85	Bill Casson 2	75
SECOND PROPOSITION		SECOND OPPOSITION	
Willamette AM	1	Montana CH	3
Team Rank [1 - 4]		Team Rank [1 - 4]	
Speaker [MAX 100]		Speaker [MAX 100]	
Gregory Alderson 1	90	Abigail Connolly 2	80
Speaker [MAX 100]		Speaker [MAX 100]	
Edward McGlone 2	95	Hayden Hooker 1	75

Comments and Reasons for Decision:

1600 - Good starting point for the round / Excellent English analysis

10PP - operating from a thesis that all Palestinians are terrorists is starting off on the wrong foot

2600 - Best group yet - thank for the history

20PP - solid, but overlooked a lot of the prior discussion & held to 10PP premise that Palestinians are terrorists

Judge's Signature, [John Henderson]:

6000202



2010 Steve Hunt Classic

Round #6
Miller 208

Eric Chambers

Christy Bowman

Judges Note: In this style of debate, teams are ranked 1 - 4 in lieu of a win-loss decision.

FIRST PROPOSITION George Fox OO		FIRST OPPOSITION Northwest CM	
Team Rank [1 - 4]	1	Team Rank [1 - 4]	3
Speaker [MAX 100] Kaleb Olsen PM	90	Speaker [MAX 100] Gui Chevalier DLO	79
Speaker [MAX 100] Kylie Olsen DPM	90	Speaker [MAX 100] Justin Mburugu LO	75
SECOND PROPOSITION College of Idaho WA		SECOND OPPOSITION Northwest TT	
Team Rank [1 - 4]	2	Team Rank [1 - 4]	4
Speaker [MAX 100] Niki Ward MG	89	Speaker [MAX 100] Adam Thalhofer OW	60
Speaker [MAX 100] Alix Arons GW	87	Speaker [MAX 100] Jordan Tucker MO	85

Comments and Reasons for Decision:

Disclosed in round. Reason for OW's low speaker points was offensive statements: Islam = evil, peace impossible.

E- Chambers

Judge's Signature, [Eric Chambers]:

6000802

It supports an independent Palestinian state w/ Jerusalem as a shared capital

PM Khatib

will be east religious conflict
backgrounds
mass killings, genocide
UN = agent of action

1) genocide
Jerusalem analysis

2) regional stability
- key to middle east
- 2 states = stability

3) religious equality
Partner split
- UN
Human rights = essential
stability, equality

M7/1/ki

Line = conflict resolution
Terms Line and Humus

2 points and Humus
- extremists of the
- majority
- safety matters most
to majority
- Harav tried this before
- Sir both sides and act
if too.

- human rights → Jerusalem can be
shards
- Israel will retaliate
against who?
Position
- compromise
- Central Preservation
- Checkpoints → main function w/ exp
- Report want peace
- Diplomacy

10) Justice

- religious equality
- Zionists and Humus
- how does this
bring equality?
- 2 state would cause split
in Israel
- UN already tried, failed
- large offer was on the table
for Arab
- 2200 deaths

2 mins on folder

11) Jordan

extension
- bi-national resolution
- Settlements
- cracks set for control
refutation
- compromise
- possible b/c both
groups want complete
sovereignty
- governing vln

2 positive
- bi-national resolution
= Israel sets to
choose
- help Israel contain
w/ democracy

12) Welfare

Global Community benefits
UN = taking more assertive
action
this model is not peace talks

POI what about the fact that
other affected parties demand this
solution?
Human Rights
- regional stability
- security for at least
one side
POI how will plan be
implemented?
UN will boost the UN

13) Alibi

stereotypes
- Compromise eliminates extremism
- religious equality
- other religions also involved
model itself
- set model
- UN has military
personnel
- Plan has no control
- new model, not talks
- very good response
- POI good responses
- cultural and artifacts

14) Gw

Israel military response
- 2 state solution will be
ineffective and solve b/c
both parties don't want it.

- Pos. matter
- Israel = functioning democracy
- this is us
- tension between 2 nations

1:40 suitable

15) Adam

bring color
Shalom, Salom
opp push's bi-national
resolution
Americans can't understand situation

human rights
Islam can't allow anybody
else to exist
2 can't coexist

1) 150v
2) 290v
3) 100p
4) 200p



2010 Steve Hunt Classic

Round #6
Miller 207

DEAR SOME OF YOU,
MIGHT I RECOMMEND A SUIT...
LOVE, MARK

Mark Trux
Abigail Rine

Greg Young

Judges Note: In this style of debate, teams are ranked 1 - 4 in lieu of a win-loss decision.

FIRST PROPOSITION Willamette BM		FIRST OPPOSITION UP PH	
Team Rank [1 - 4]	4	Team Rank [1 - 4]	3
Speaker [MAX 100]	85	Speaker [MAX 100]	83
Spencer Baily		Vince Purchase	
Speaker [MAX 100]	82	Speaker [MAX 100]	84
Megan Manion		Josh Huyek	
SECOND PROPOSITION Portland State PK		SECOND OPPOSITION Willamette BC	
Team Rank [1 - 4]	2	Team Rank [1 - 4]	1
Speaker [MAX 100]	81	Speaker [MAX 100]	90
Aaron Powell		Samantha Briggs	
Speaker [MAX 100]	78	Speaker [MAX 100]	88
Stephanie Karp		Lindsay Cason	

3
1

5
7

2
4
6
8

7:30 KILLED YOU

Comments and Reasons for Decision:

SLOW DOWN - ANSWER FOR A CREATIVE DON'T THROW THINGS OUT THE MEN MIGHT - COULD JUST YOU. LO - YOU NEVER GIVE ME A ROADMAP FOR YOUR PART OF THE SPEECH. YOU ARE HAVE FAKE STRUCTURE IN HERE. MAKE IT UP TOP BUT NO SIGN POSTING THROUGHOUT NOT JUST IN PROTECTED TIME EVERYONE IS THINKING FASTER THAN YOU CAN TALK & YOU ARE TRIPPING OVER YOUR WORDS -> ALL OF YOU. WATCH THAT. IT HURTS WITH ME. IT'S ABOUT COMMUNICATION. ALSO - THE PM DEFINES TERMS & THEY ARE NEVER USED. HOW THE HELL AM I TO VOTE? FAIR = FUNCTIONALITY ?!!

Judge's Signature, [Abigail Rine]:

6000701

MARK TRUX
MURPHY
PACIFIC



2010 Steve Hunt Classic

Round #6
Miller 207

Greg Young

Abigail Rine

Judges Note: In this style of debate, teams are ranked 1 - 4 in lieu of a win-loss decision.

FIRST PROPOSITION Willamette BM		FIRST OPPOSITION UP PH	
Team Rank [1 - 4]	4	Team Rank [1 - 4]	3
Speaker [MAX 100] Spencer Baily	75	Speaker [MAX 100] Vince Purchase	76
Speaker [MAX 100] Megan Manion	78	Speaker [MAX 100] Josh Huyek	78
SECOND PROPOSITION Portland State PK		SECOND OPPOSITION Willamette BC	
Team Rank [1 - 4]	2	Team Rank [1 - 4]	1
Speaker [MAX 100] Aaron Powell	80	Speaker [MAX 100] Samantha Briggs	78
Speaker [MAX 100] Stephanie Karp	76	Speaker [MAX 100] Lindsay Cason	81

Comments and Reasons for Decision:

Judge's Signature, [Greg Young]:

6000702



2010 Steve Hunt Classic

Round #6
Temp Geary

John Nelson

Gary Gillespie

Judges Note: In this style of debate, teams are ranked 1 - 4 in lieu of a win-loss decision.

FIRST PROPOSITION Boise State CB		FIRST OPPOSITION Portland/ George Fox	
Team Rank [1 - 4]	2	Team Rank [1 - 4]	1
Speaker [MAX 100] Brittany Cantrell	95	Speaker [MAX 100] <i>PILAR BEAR</i> Quinton Bianton	80
Speaker [MAX 100] Nik Bjurstrom	75	Speaker [MAX 100] Jess Smith	96
SECOND PROPOSITION UP PH2		SECOND OPPOSITION Boise State WM	
Team Rank [1 - 4]	4	Team Rank [1 - 4]	3
Speaker [MAX 100] Amanda Pilcher	75	Speaker [MAX 100] Julien Woodbuy	95
Speaker [MAX 100] Logan Heyerly	83	Speaker [MAX 100] Kat Mahler	70

PM

L.O.

Comments and Reasons for Decision:

Excellent analysis that government presented with the superior need for the people of ISRAEL both palestinians and the jewish people need to get along and create a daily plan to allow people to live in peace. The 10 month freeze is working this is a fact therefore we need to extend it prior to the completion of the 10 month freeze. (chinese taiwan example) Explain a gov't plan that will work & why.

John Nelson

University of Oregon

Judge's Signature, [John Nelson]:

6001202



2010 Steve Hunt Classic

Round #6
Temp Geary

Gary Gillespie

John Nelson

Judges Note: In this style of debate, teams are ranked 1 - 4 in lieu of a win-loss decision.

FIRST PROPOSITION Boise State CB		FIRST OPPOSITION Portland/ George Fox	
Team Rank [1 - 4]	2	Team Rank [1 - 4]	1
Speaker [MAX 100] Brittany Cantrell PM	72	Speaker [MAX 100] ^{Pal/2v} Quinton Blanton	72 ✓
Speaker [MAX 100] Nik Bjurstrom	72	Speaker [MAX 100] Jess Smith LO	73 ✓
SECOND PROPOSITION UP PH2		SECOND OPPOSITION Boise State WM	
Team Rank [1 - 4]	3	Team Rank [1 - 4]	4
Speaker [MAX 100] Amanda Pilcher LG	70	Speaker [MAX 100] Julien Woodbuy 72	72 x ✓
Speaker [MAX 100] Logan Heyerly	70	Speaker [MAX 100] Kat Mahler L 60	60 x ✓

Comments and Reasons for Decision:

Judge's Signature, [Gary Gillespie]:

6001201



2010 Steve Hunt Classic

Round #6
Temp Monteith

Shannon Valdivia

Brian Swafford

Judges Note: In this style of debate, teams are ranked 1 - 4 in lieu of a win-loss decision.

FIRST PROPOSITION Northwest KM <i>4th</i>		FIRST OPPOSITION Humboldt RC <i>3rd</i>	
Team Rank [1 - 4]		Team Rank [1 - 4]	
Speaker [MAX 100] <i>Hamas want Israel obliterated - Not Peace</i>	Shelbe Kukowski <i>74</i>	Speaker [MAX 100]	Sammi Rippetoe <i>74</i>
Speaker [MAX 100]	Josh Michel <i>74</i>	Speaker [MAX 100] <i>Constantaneously? is that a word?</i>	Chris Chutuk <i>74</i>
SECOND PROPOSITION Portland State EB <i>2nd</i>		SECOND OPPOSITION Northwest MH <i>1st</i>	
Team Rank [1 - 4]		Team Rank [1 - 4]	
Speaker [MAX 100]	Seth Evans <i>80</i>	Speaker [MAX 100]	Max Mooney <i>82</i>
Speaker [MAX 100] <i>The Conflict between The Jews / Arabs was gone or very before 1948 state creation</i>	Taylor Brown <i>76</i>	Speaker [MAX 100]	Calvin Horne <i>82</i>

Comments and Reasons for Decision:

Judge's Signature, [Shannon Valdivia]:

MHCC

6000601



2010 Steve Hunt Classic

Round #6
Temp Monteith

Brian Swafford

Shannon Valdivia

Judges Note: In this style of debate, teams are ranked 1 - 4 in lieu of a win-loss decision.

Table with 4 columns: Speaker, Role, Score, and Rank. Rows include Northwest KM (Shelbe Kukowski, Josh Michel), Portland State EB (Seth Evans, Taylor Brown), Humboldt RC (Sammi Rippetoe, Chris Chutuk), and Northwest MH (Max Mooney, Calvin Horne).

Comments and Reasons for Decision:

- PM- that's a nice jacket... to bad it doesn't have the rest of the suit with it. And I can't see your eyes due to your hair.
LO- Don't scratch those points at the podium. Know your thoughts before you stand to speak.
DPM- Impact your arguments better. "No state wants death" - Not true, Hamas wants Israel destroyed. It's their mission. Israelis, not Israelites.
DLO - soccer?... Football, please. Actually, a discussion of soccer hooligans would be appropriate to this metaphor.
MG- Israel is pronounced weird. And wearing a t-shirt really isn't biz professional.
MO- Israelis, not Jews. And how could Palestine "earn the right" to be a state.
GW- Just from where you were sitting, your white socks are distracting. As for arguments, your delivery is very slow with a lot of pauses. This throws off your pacing.
OW- Jeans & flannel shirt don't convey an image of professionalism. Focus your analysis. You jump all over the place and don't give me concrete examples.

Judge's Signature, [Brian Swafford]:

6000602

Handwritten signature of Brian Swafford

The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions. It emphasizes that every entry should be supported by a valid receipt or invoice. This not only helps in tracking expenses but also ensures compliance with tax regulations. The second part of the document provides a detailed breakdown of the company's revenue streams. It identifies the primary sources of income and analyzes their contribution to the overall financial performance. The third part of the document outlines the company's financial goals for the upcoming year. It includes a comprehensive budget and a strategy for achieving these goals. The final part of the document provides a summary of the key findings and recommendations. It highlights the areas where the company is performing well and identifies the challenges that need to be addressed. Overall, the document provides a clear and concise overview of the company's financial health and future prospects.

U U



2010 Steve Hunt Classic

Round #6
Miller 105

Margaret Hardy

Jeff Stoppenhagen

Judges Note: In this style of debate, teams are ranked 1 - 4 in lieu of a win-loss decision.

FIRST PROPOSITION Pacific Lutheran CR		FIRST OPPOSITION Linfield DM	
Team Rank [1 - 4]	4	Team Rank [1 - 4]	3
Speaker [MAX 100] Andrew Chadwell	87	Speaker [MAX 100] Emily Van Doozer	91
Speaker [MAX 100] Princess Reese	88	Speaker [MAX 100] Clara Martinez	90
SECOND PROPOSITION Northwest ME		SECOND OPPOSITION Linfield MT	
Team Rank [1 - 4]	1	Team Rank [1 - 4]	2
Speaker [MAX 100] Elizabeth Moberg	93	Speaker [MAX 100] Rachel Mills	97
Speaker [MAX 100] Kirsty Eary	92	Speaker [MAX 100] Sylvan Tovar	89

PM

DPM

MG

GN

DL

LO

GW

MO

Comments and Reasons for Decision:

Everyone - ask more questions! Especially near the beginning.

Pacific Lutheran - PM, esp. - work on organization. Also, don't base your case on shaky philosophical claims without justifying them - like "people have a right to live where they want"?

Linfield - don't leave people standing up too long, don't hold open while you talk. Your tagline seemed a little vague & very hopeless - "peace or a Palestinian state" ...? Why?

Northwest - work on being flexible & changing your arguments as the debate developments. Don't insist that the other side offers nothing if they just offered a plan.

Margaret Hardy
Judge's Signature, [Margaret Hardy]

Linfield - I want to hear more unique argumentation from you.

Everyone - be more careful w/ your vocab - Palestinians are not "palestines", their religion is not called "The Arab religion", etc.

6000401

TH supports an independent Palestinian state w/
Jerusalem as a shared capital.



Indep. Palestinian State

Jeff Stoppenhagen

Margaret Hardy

Judges Note: In this style of debate, teams are ranked 1 - 4 in lieu of a win-loss decision.

FIRST PROPOSITION Pacific Lutheran CR		FIRST OPPOSITION Linfield DM	
Team Rank [1 - 4]	4	Team Rank [1 - 4]	3
Speaker [MAX 100] Andrew Chadwell 6:00 PM	74	Speaker [MAX 100] Emily Van Doozer 7:00 DLO	76
Speaker [MAX 100] Princess Reese 6:30 DPM	75	Speaker [MAX 100] Clara Martinez 6:20 LO	79
SECOND PROPOSITION Northwest ME		SECOND OPPOSITION Linfield MT	
Team Rank [1 - 4]	1	Team Rank [1 - 4]	2
Speaker [MAX 100] Elizabeth Moberg 6:40 MG	86	Speaker [MAX 100] Rachel Mills 6:50 DM	80
Speaker [MAX 100] Kirsty Eary 7:00 GW	85	Speaker [MAX 100] Sylvan Tovar 6:30 MO	78

Comments and Reasons for Decision:

2nd position teams did best job of fulfilling role responsibilities.


Structure needs to be used better by both sides. Make sure to take questions to further debate.

2nd prop did best job of combining org of fulfilling role.

All were persuasive & passionate for their arguments - well done.

Judge's Signature, [Jeff Stoppenhagen]:

6000402





2010 Steve Hunt Classic

Round #6
Miller 102

Andrew Hardee

Evan Whipple

Judges Note: In this style of debate, teams are ranked 1 - 4 in lieu of a win-loss decision.

FIRST PROPOSITION Willamette MR		FIRST OPPOSITION Boise State PD	
Team Rank [1 - 4]	2	Team Rank [1 - 4]	4
Speaker [MAX 100] 2 Anthony Macuk	92	Speaker [MAX 100] 2 Sam Pagano	86
Speaker [MAX 100] 1 Tejewara Reddy	93	Speaker [MAX 100] 1 Mallory Douraghi	87
SECOND PROPOSITION George Fox SB		SECOND OPPOSITION Humboldt VH	
Team Rank [1 - 4]	3	Team Rank [1 - 4]	1
Speaker [MAX 100] 1 Jennifer Salame	89	Speaker [MAX 100] 2 Emily VanGerpen	96
Speaker [MAX 100] 2 Heather Brown	87	Speaker [MAX 100] 1 Ezra Hayman	90

Comments and Reasons for Decision:

* Humboldt and Willamette made for a tough decision. Willamette brought strong ~~argument~~ structure for the round but ultimately Humboldt's second speech cleared the mud, simplified what should be considered, and provided a definable lens through which to view the resolution.

Judge's Signature, [Andrew Hardee]:

6000102



2010 Steve Hunt Classic

Round #6
Miller 319

Morgan Chinn

Bohn Lattin

Judges Note: In this style of debate, teams are ranked 1 - 4 in lieu of a win-loss decision.

FIRST PROPOSITION				FIRST OPPOSITION			
Humboldt BB			2	Portlan State SF			1
Team Rank [1 - 4]				Team Rank [1 - 4]			
Speaker [MAX 100]				Speaker [MAX 100]			
Adam Brougher	PM		77	Katie Slayden	LO		78
Speaker [MAX 100]				Speaker [MAX 100]			
Colleen Brougher	DPM		74	Sarah Freedman	DLO		74
SECOND PROPOSITION				SECOND OPPOSITION			
Mt Hood CH			3	Boise State DH			4
Team Rank [1 - 4]				Team Rank [1 - 4]			
Speaker [MAX 100]				Speaker [MAX 100]			
Kevin Craig	GW		75	Sabonn Dammarell	OW		73
Speaker [MAX 100]				Speaker [MAX 100]			
Dalton Hellman	MG		74	Lathan Hartvigsen	MO		74

Comments and Reasons for Decision:

Judge's Signature, [Morgan Chinn]:

6001102

This house supports indep Pol tab w/ der as a share



2010 Steve Hunt Classic

**Round #6
Miller 319**

Bohn Lattin

Morgan Chinn

Judges Note: In this style of debate, teams are ranked 1 - 4 in lieu of a win-loss decision.

FIRST PROPOSITION Humboldt BB			FIRST OPPOSITION Portlan State SF		
Team Rank [1 - 4]		2	Team Rank [1 - 4]		1
Speaker [MAX 100]			Speaker [MAX 100]		
Adam Brougher	PM	77	Katie Slayden	LO	78
Speaker [MAX 100]			Speaker [MAX 100]		
Colleen Brougher	D	74	Sarah Freedman	DP	74
SECOND PROPOSITION Mt Hood CH			SECOND OPPOSITION Boise State DH		
Team Rank [1 - 4]		3	Team Rank [1 - 4]		4
Speaker [MAX 100]			Speaker [MAX 100]		
Kevin Craig	Whip	75	Sabonn Dammarell	Whip	73
Speaker [MAX 100]			Speaker [MAX 100]		
Dalton Hellman	MG	74	Lathan Hartvigsen	MO	74

Comments and Reasons for Decision:

Bohn Lattin

Judge's Signature, [Bohn Lattin]:

6001101



2010 Steve Hunt Classic

Round #6
Miller 102

Evan Whipple

Andrew Hardee

Judges Note: In this style of debate, teams are ranked 1 - 4 in lieu of a win-loss decision.

FIRST PROPOSITION Willamette MR		FIRST OPPOSITION Boise State PD	
Team Rank [1 - 4]	2	Team Rank [1 - 4]	4
Speaker [MAX 100] Anthony Macuk	80	Speaker [MAX 100] Sam Pagano	80
Speaker [MAX 100] Tejewara Reddy	85	Speaker [MAX 100] Mallory Douraghi	80 80
SECOND PROPOSITION George Fox SB		SECOND OPPOSITION Humboldt VH	
Team Rank [1 - 4]	3	Team Rank [1 - 4]	1
Speaker [MAX 100] Jennifer Salame	83	Speaker [MAX 100] Emily VanGerpen	83
Speaker [MAX 100] Heather Brown	80 80	Speaker [MAX 100] Ezra Hayman	85

Comments and Reasons for Decision:

Judge's Signature, [Evan Whipple]:

6000101



2010 Steve Hunt Classic

Round #6
Miller 205

Zubin Bagai

Jackson Miller

Judges Note: In this style of debate, teams are ranked 1 - 4 in lieu of a win-loss decision.

FIRST PROPOSITION Portland State SM		FIRST OPPOSITION Willamette SISU	
Team Rank [1 - 4]	3	Team Rank [1 - 4]	1
Speaker [MAX 100] Stephanie Stanley DPM(2)	73	Speaker [MAX 100] Serene LO(1)	80
Speaker [MAX 100] Kimberly Moorhead PM(1)	73	Speaker [MAX 100] Marta Tarantsey DLO(2)	80
SECOND PROPOSITION Humboldt RK		SECOND OPPOSITION George Fox HJ	
Team Rank [1 - 4]	4	Team Rank [1 - 4]	2
Speaker [MAX 100] Paul Ridley GW(2)	73	Speaker [MAX 100] Josiah Hotovec OW(2)	77
Speaker [MAX 100] Kelly Knox MG(1)	70	Speaker [MAX 100] Andrew Janzen MO(1)	75

Comments and Reasons for Decision:

1st Gov
 - lack organization on args.
 - need better support than simply stating the idea.


1st opp
 Good points, good passion.
 Road mapped well, but didn't stick to structure.
 Good response to questions.

2nd Gov
 Spoke well, but arguments not logically sound, ~~as~~ lacking substance.

2nd opp
 Spoke very well. Provided context w/ history lesson & stories. Supported 1st opp case. Kind of exaggerated/misunderstood Gov case at times.

Judge's Signature, [Zubin Bagai]:

6000502



2010 Steve Hunt Classic

Round #6
Miller 305

John Jablonski

Michael Favale

Judges Note: In this style of debate, teams are ranked 1 - 4 in lieu of a win-loss decision.

FIRST PROPOSITION Mt Hood SB		FIRST OPPOSITION Portland State MG	
Team Rank [1 - 4]	4	Team Rank [1 - 4]	2
Speaker [MAX 100] Rob Sepich	87	Speaker [MAX 100] Garrett Mutchler	86
Speaker [MAX 100] Jordan Bradford	75	Speaker [MAX 100] Corrine Gilbertson	78
SECOND PROPOSITION Boise State GB		SECOND OPPOSITION Willamette LH	
Team Rank [1 - 4]	1	Team Rank [1 - 4]	3
Speaker [MAX 100] Debra Groberg	86	Speaker [MAX 100] Alexandra Lantz	88
Speaker [MAX 100] Lauren Bramwell	87	Speaker [MAX 100] Derek Hanson	86

Comments and Reasons for Decision:

Judge's Signature, [John Jablonski]:

6001002



2010 Steve Hunt Classic

Round #6
Miller 305

Michael Favale
John Jablonski
*West
Avalon
Sun*

Judges Note: In this style of debate, teams are ranked 1 - 4 in lieu of a win-loss decision.

FIRST PROPOSITION Mt Hood SB		FIRST OPPOSITION Portland State MG	
Team Rank [1 - 4]	4	Team Rank [1 - 4]	2
Speaker [MAX 100] Rob Sepich	79	Speaker [MAX 100] Garrett Mutchler <i>182</i>	85
Speaker [MAX 100] Jordan Bradford <i>1st 155</i>	76	Speaker [MAX 100] Corrine Gilbertson <i>164</i>	79
SECOND PROPOSITION Boise State GB		SECOND OPPOSITION Willamette LH	
Team Rank [1 - 4]	1	Team Rank [1 - 4]	3
Speaker [MAX 100] Debra Groberg <i>182</i>	85	Speaker [MAX 100] Alexandra Lantz <i>157</i>	83
Speaker [MAX 100] Lauren Bramwell <i>178</i>	83	Speaker [MAX 100] Derek Hanson <i>162</i>	79

Comments and Reasons for Decision:

Judge's Signature, [Michael Favale]:

6001001



2010 Steve Hunt Classic

Round #6
Miller 206

Kelly Welch

Lindsay Bing


Judges Note: In this style of debate, teams are ranked 1 - 4 in lieu of a win-loss decision.

FIRST PROPOSITION Humboldt MB		FIRST OPPOSITION Linfield ZT	
Team Rank [1 - 4]	1	Team Rank [1 - 4]	3
Speaker [MAX 100] Aurora Maddocks	79	Speaker [MAX 100] Nick Zimmers	80
Speaker [MAX 100] Sean Burns	82	Speaker [MAX 100] Linh Tang	78
SECOND PROPOSITION Willamette LP		SECOND OPPOSITION College of Idaho DS	
Team Rank [1 - 4]	2	Team Rank [1 - 4]	4
Speaker [MAX 100] Astra Lincoln	78	Speaker [MAX 100] Katie DeVeny	71
Speaker [MAX 100] Maxwell Peterson	81	Speaker [MAX 100] Ana Sanchez	71

Comments and Reasons for Decision:

Judge's Signature, [Kelly Welch]:

6001302



2010 Steve Hunt Classic

Round #6
Miller 206

Lindsay Bing

Kelly Welch

Not
Award
Sun

Judges Note: In this style of debate, teams are ranked 1 - 4 in lieu of a win-loss decision.

FIRST PROPOSITION				FIRST OPPOSITION			
Humboldt MB			1	Linfield ZT			3
Team Rank [1 - 4]				Team Rank [1 - 4]			
Speaker [MAX 100]				Speaker [MAX 100]			
Aurora Maddocks	1		80	Nick Zimmers	1		77
Speaker [MAX 100]				Speaker [MAX 100]			
Sean Burns	2		80	Linh Tang	2		77
SECOND PROPOSITION				SECOND OPPOSITION			
Willamette LP			2	College of Idaho DS			4
Team Rank [1 - 4]				Team Rank [1 - 4]			
Speaker [MAX 100]				Speaker [MAX 100]			
Astra Lincoln	1		78	Katie DeVeney	1		76
Speaker [MAX 100]				Speaker [MAX 100]			
Maxwell Peterson	2		79	Ana Sanchez	2		75

Comments and Reasons for Decision:

Judge's Signature, [Lindsay Bing]:

6001301



2010 Steve Hunt Classic

Round #6
Miller 208

Christy Bowman
Eric Chambers

Available
for
elims

Judges Note: In this style of debate, teams are ranked 1 - 4 in lieu of a win-loss decision.

FIRST PROPOSITION George Fox OO		FIRST OPPOSITION Northwest CM	
Team Rank [1 - 4]	3	Team Rank [1 - 4]	2
Speaker [MAX 100] 1 Kaleb Olsen	81	Speaker [MAX 100] 2 Gui Chevalier	80
Speaker [MAX 100] 2 Kylie Olsen	79	Speaker [MAX 100] 1 Justin Mburugu	81
SECOND PROPOSITION College of Idaho WA		SECOND OPPOSITION Northwest TT	
Team Rank [1 - 4]	4	Team Rank [1 - 4]	1
Speaker [MAX 100] 1 Niki Ward	79	Speaker [MAX 100] 2 Adam Thalhofer	82
Speaker [MAX 100] 2 Alix Arons	79	Speaker [MAX 100] 1 Jordan Tucker	83

Comments and Reasons for Decision:

George Fox: You are both awesome speakers. Just work on the examples to support the claims.

Northwest CM: You guys get better and better each time you debate. Keep it up and you should have a bright debate career.

Northwest TT: You have the knowledge, you just have to be organized!

College of Idaho: You are wonderful speakers! Work on the specific knowledge!

CBowman

Judge's Signature, [Christy Bowman]:

6000801





Aaron McIlvain

Courtney Walsh

Judges Note: In this style of debate, teams are ranked 1 - 4 in lieu of a win-loss decision.

FIRST PROPOSITION Portland State OT		FIRST OPPOSITION Lewis and Clark CM	
Team Rank [1 - 4]	3	Team Rank [1 - 4]	1
Speaker [MAX 100] Shayna O'Dea PM	82	Speaker [MAX 100] Fiona Corner DLO	84
Speaker [MAX 100] Steven Taylor DPM	81	Speaker [MAX 100] Robert Monteiro MO	83
SECOND PROPOSITION Willamette AF		SECOND OPPOSITION Humboldt BW	
Team Rank [1 - 4]	2	Team Rank [1 - 4]	4
Speaker [MAX 100] Daniel Adams Whip	83	Speaker [MAX 100] Matt Baker Whip	80
Speaker [MAX 100] Jay Fabian MG	83	Speaker [MAX 100] Jake Weinstock AMO	80

Comments and Reasons for Decision:

PM: I get lost on your case clarify positions more.

DPM: You make great claims but I need examples to support.

MG: Great args but I need examples or warrants to support. You end with 2 min. Expand args and extend.

GWhip: Be nicer when dismissing? Work on examples and warrants.

LO: Don't wait until last minute to cover case.

DLO: I get a lot of rehash and no real extension on ARGs.

MO: Why is Communism bad? I need examples and to know why I should vote the way on this issue. You end with 2 min left use this time to expand args.

OWhip: Don't use personal anecdotes. I get a lot of examples out of your speech expand on them.

Aaron McIlvain
Judge's Signature, [Aaron McIlvain]:

6000302



Courtney Walsh

Aaron McIvain

Judges Note: In this style of debate, teams are ranked 1 - 4 in lieu of a win-loss decision.

FIRST PROPOSITION Portland State OT		FIRST OPPOSITION Lewis and Clark CM	
Team Rank [1 - 4]	(3)	Team Rank [1 - 4]	(1)
Speaker [MAX 100] Shayna O'Dea PU	83	Speaker [MAX 100] Fiona Corner	89
Speaker [MAX 100] Steven Taylor	86	Speaker [MAX 100] Robert Monteiro	90
SECOND PROPOSITION Willamette AF		SECOND OPPOSITION Humboldt BW	
Team Rank [1 - 4]	(2)	Team Rank [1 - 4]	(4)
Speaker [MAX 100] Daniel Adams	88	Speaker [MAX 100] Matt Baker	82
Speaker [MAX 100] Jay Fabian	88	Speaker [MAX 100] Jake Weinstock	82

Comments and Reasons for Decision:

- status quo will not work
- PM did good job, good framework

(competition clothes would be good)

macro/micro
 access communication
 breaks right to exist does not
 give them rights to invade &
 overpower

Judge's Signature, [Courtney Walsh]:

Creates an impossible security system.

Terrorists make bad politicians
win on positive matter

Star Wars comparison
 I really hoped this would work for you but it didn't :(

6000301



TH SUPPORTS AN INDEPENDENT PALESTINIAN STATE

Jackson Miller

Zubin Bagai

Judges Note: In this style of debate, teams are ranked 1 - 4 in lieu of a win-loss decision.

W/ JERUSALEM AS A SHARED CAPITAL

FIRST PROPOSITION	
Portland State SM	
Team Rank [1 - 4]	3
Speaker [MAX 100]	77 77
Speaker [MAX 100]	73 73
Speaker [MAX 100]	PM
Speaker [MAX 100]	73
SECOND PROPOSITION	
Humboldt RK	
Team Rank [1 - 4]	4
Speaker [MAX 100]	74
Speaker [MAX 100]	M6
Speaker [MAX 100]	75

FIRST OPPOSITION	
Willamette SISU	
Team Rank [1 - 4]	1
Speaker [MAX 100]	LO
Speaker [MAX 100]	76
Speaker [MAX 100]	76
SECOND OPPOSITION	
George Fox HJ	
Team Rank [1 - 4]	2
Speaker [MAX 100]	75 75
Speaker [MAX 100]	MO
Speaker [MAX 100]	76 76

G1) Comments and Reasons for Decision:
 (PM) - Preview your points of (+) MATTER + signpost throughout your speech. All of your positive matter ran together b/c the structure was lacking.
 (PPM) Same good refutation of the (LO) (+). your NEW (+) needed more support and development. I'm not convinced that you can simply "enfranchise" PEACE.

G2) (M6) you spent way too much time on REVISION. AS A SECOND TEAM, you MUST devote adequate time to your (+) MATTER.
 (Gw) more direct comparison of specific arguments & examples in the round was needed overall.

O1) (LO) - more explanation / analysis was needed on your first point of (+) MATTER. you seemed to drift away from the U.N. ISSUES & ON TO OTHER TOPICS HERE. ALSO, DON'T STEAL your PARTNER'S POINT!
 (DLO) why would the U.N. & U.S. remain SILENT about an ISRAELI ATTACK on PALESTINE IF IT BECOMES A STATE? THE LOGIC HERE EVADES ME

O2) (MO) How did a joint council overseeing JERUSALEM move into a "SHARED GOVT" where JERUSALEM had to share MIKE SECRETS? REFORMATION?
 (OW) some decent summary questions, but a little more discussion of SPECIFIC ARGUMENTS / EXAMPLES was needed.

Jackson Miller
Judge's Signature, [Jackson Miller]:

6000501

