

334

Kiana Savcedo-Moreno - ELAC

*** Please Wait For ALL 3 Judges ***

Open Pub.-Forum Round: Semifinals

Room: E3 431

2018 SCJFL SoCal Champs

Round Start: 4:30

Ballot Due: Soon

Neg

Flip for sides :

Flintridge LJCN

Aff

Flip for sides :

Magnet JATK

Teams: Magnet Learning Academy JATK & Flintridge Preparatory School - La Canada, CA LJCN

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Comments:

Neg def-e / just. 'structural oppression' FW. Aff, plz. Quantify your benefits. When you're not sure how a word is pronounced, you can look it up on Youtube. Neg just restated case in CX, - Aff, control better. There is a way to be 2nd mile, but more forceful. Love 2 PRO - but please spend less time commenting & decreasing time.

(Can I GET FW CLASH PLEASE.

FW - I default rule util. No real clash here. I guess I'm sympathetic to Jtr. opp. because there are more nuanced args.

(N1) - I see how Hqs are at higher risk for abuse; these laws aren't sufficiently enforced.

(N2) - PROFITABILITY and WAGE aren't strong links, but LEVERAGE it. There are more legal threats / sexual harassment.

(A1) - I know some Hqs are paid more, BUT AMERICANS ARE KICKED OUT. The impact of helping big companies isn't fleshed out.

(A2) - WASH - helps some, hurts others.

(A3) - dumb if there's a shortage, but there is no innovation, and Neg can't do work.

Aff final speech was brilliantly delivered, but barely covered the flow.

So, more innovation, more American are kicked out, more legal threats, harassment, higher risk for domestic violence. and RACISM - flows on the back

334 **~~Ian Lampert~~ - iLEAD**
*** Please Wait For ALL 3 Judges ***

Open Pub.-Forum Round: Semifinals

Room: E3 431

2018 SCJFL SoCal Champs


Round Start: 4:30

Ballot Due: Soon

Flip for sides : Aff Magnet

Flip for sides : Neg Flintridge

Teams: Magnet Learning Academy JATK & Flintridge Preparatory School - La Canada, CA LJC
Above, **CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.**


JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

 iLead
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Comments:

334 **Ashley Ramirez - ECC**
*** Please Wait For ALL 3 Judges ***

Open Pub.-Forum Round: Semifinals

Room: E3 431

2018 SCJFL SoCal Champs

Round Start: 4:30

Ballot Due: Soon

Flip for sides :

Neg: Flintridge Preparatory School

Flip for sides :

Aff: Magnet Learning Academy

Teams: Magnet Learning Academy JATK & Flintridge Preparatory School - La Canada, CA LJC�

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Comments:

333

Arthur Kozloyan - ELAC

*** Please Wait For ALL 3 Judges ***

Open Pub.-Forum Round: Semifinals

Room: E3 451

2018 SCJFL SoCal Champs

Round Start: 4:30

Ballot Due: Soon

Flip for sides : Wilshire (AFF) ^{Rank: 1st}

Flip for sides : Magnet (NEG) ^{Rank: 2nd}

Teams: Magnet Learning Academy DGPM & Wilshire Academy ALCL

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Comments:

AFF : * good example of legal migrants
no jobs by them CREATING businesses
- you're proposing ideas for jobs & that
they will fill them, but not how
- that's not how GDP works, it's not a
job issue, it's a capital issue

NEG : - slow down.
- you explain "structural violence"
negative impacts but NOT how it achieves that
* /- while it is important that cheap labor
abuse is rampant, many other factors play into this
which can easily be refuted if not careful

* thank you for maintaining your composure
* also able to deflect those questions
strategically

C.O.X. : * that is a terrible answer. never do that, please.
(don't say you win by getting the judge's ballot)
- you need to chill, don't act like that & respect
your competitors
* posing hard questions

AFF : * okay, that was a funny
punny-joke, but a little cliché. good one lol.
* good refutation of abuse & how pay
- missed opportunity to drive the point home

NEG : * good AFF block off for visas
- you still did not explain structural violence ~~how~~
* good job bringing out the non-econ side how it works in regards to this issue
- using textbook definitions to make a point doesn't always work
- you refuted your own
point by saying they complement workers

AFF:

* good job keeping your stance when bombarded

- layoffs $\neq$ more job spots
* good, exposed the idea of m.c.
illegal immigration

* good job maintaining self control

AFF

- the 5 trillion is an extremely hypothetical number
* points out the universal applicability of AFF prog.

CX | NEG:

~~you have the burden of finding out~~

- you're creating a loop of confusion by including percent of one: perpetually asking the same questions
* kept cool throughout CX

- you're describing a situation of correlation NOT causation
- it's more of a cynical problem of nothing happens, that is the literal definition of insanity.

- ~~why~~ why is being at the mercy of their husbands a point you're still trying to make? It's important by itself, BUT NOT THE MAIN IDEA

- Base Am. has a terrible GDP

NEG

- now you're repeating the problems you did not fully explain how they work & why they happen

CONC

333

Zane Billie - Marlborough
Luna Perez - ELAC

*** Please Wait For ALL 3 Judges ***

Open Pub.-Forum Round: Semifinals

Room: E3 451

2018 SCJFL SoCal Champs

Round Start: 4:30

Ballot Due: Soon

Flip for sides : aff = Wilshire

Flip for sides : neg = magnet learning

Teams: Magnet Learning Academy DGPM & Wilshire Academy ALCL

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Comments:

333 **Deven Cooper - Marlborough**
 *** Please Wait For ALL 3 Judges ***

Open Pub.-Forum Round: Semifinals

Room: E3 451

2018 SCJFL SoCal Champs

Round Start: 4:30

Ballot Due: Soon

Flip for sides : Wilshire Academy ALCL [Pro] _{aff}

Flip for sides : Magnet Learning Academy DGPM [neg] _{con}

Teams: Magnet Learning Academy DGPM & Wilshire Academy ALCL

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Comments:

I voted negative because they won their framing of S.V. and that focus on the econ is not a good idea to do for when ppl are getting hurt based on other arguments

- Also there was no opposition to the bank log questions and arguments that shape many of the workers from getting in any way



340

Wm Perez
 Abraham Rincon - ELAC

*** Please Wait For ALL 3 Judges ***

Open Pub.-Forum Round: Finals

Room: E3 155 **525**

2018 SCJFL SoCal Champs

Round Start: 7:15

Ballot Due: ASAP

Flip for sides : AFF - Magnet Academy
Lori Jang, Colin Ng

Flip for sides : NEG - Flintridge Prep
Pranac Moodgalya, Pooen Gupta

Teams: Flintridge Preparatory School - La Canada, CA LJCN & Magnet Learning Academy DGPM .
Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

[Signature]
 JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

ELAC
 JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Please WAIT for all 3 judges!!!

Comments:

AFF:

- Speaking & speeding was on point
- over all impacts cut weight ~~at~~ your opponents
- rebuttal was well structured
- analysis was good

NEG:

- Make sure you articulate when you spread
- You could have linked your arguments to the framework ~~to~~ you all set
- You could have pointed out new Aff ~~impacts~~ impacts don't suffer the status quo however
- work on rebuttal
- need to improve on analysis and linkage

252

340

~~eddie 092~~
~~Okxana Vega~~ - ELAC

*** Please Wait For ALL 3 Judges ***

Open Pub.-Forum Round: Finals

Room: ~~E3 155~~

525

2018 SCJFL SoCal Champs

Round Start: 7:15

Ballot Due: ASAP

Flip for sides :

AFF - Prangu mardalyi - Deven Givpts

Flip for sides :

NEG - Lori Janb - Collins
 Flintridge
 prep.

Teams: Flintridge Preparatory School - La Canada, CA LJCN & Magnet Learning Academy DGPM

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Edie Per
 JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

ELAC
 JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Please WAIT for all 3 judges!!!

Comments:

AFF

NEG

RFD: 1. Clarity

2. able to adapt
 , more to AFF

3. rebuttle

1952

Open Pub.-Forum Round: Finals

2018 SCJFL SoCal Champs

Ballot Due: ASAP

Aff: Magnet DGRN

Neg: Flintridge LTCN

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

A. f. f.

- First speaker
- Speak up a bit - I have to change my phone in the back and sometimes I miss things
- Good handling of cross-ex, turning their own case against them
- Love the integration of multiple visual examples: really demonstrates why the NCSA system as a whole works better together
- If a more clear response system is well however, tell me the intention you are responding to, and how many ~~how~~ you have. Then just basically go down their ~~main~~ flow and elaborate on the responses
- Careful on cross-ex tone (second speaker) you may come off as condescending to others (not to me), but may come off as others. Trying to be more sassy, not condescending.
- As well as the neg: solid summary speech
- You should have stressed framework a bit more. If you can respond to case and still win, does not that not ~~may~~ prove a win for you?
- Yes!!! Voting issues!!! Thank you!!!

speaker 1: Be more involved in cross fire
I don't hear her at all and I need that unity
'For the final focus,' I know that you are
telling me why none of their impacts
happen, but I would love a response
talking about how even if their impacts
happened, they overestimate their impacts,
methodology, practicality, etc.
'A little more energy': speaker 2: sometimes
I can't hear ~~you~~
'Try to structure the final focus around
key issues

UN GRADING

252 1001

Here. I need to know a couple things
1) What the voting issues are
2) The reason why they have 0 impacts
Be more structured on that, like,
there are three voting issues,
#1: → lets start with #1,
#2: → then #2...
Don't end with a quote it takes
unnecessary time

RFD: ^{Aff} ~~was~~ was: 1) more clear; had better structure
2) responses: Aff's responses were more clear
3) speaks: Aff just fundamentally had more
energy, dedication, etc. just basics.
4) cross-ex: Aff took over; turned a lot of arguments